

ACADEMIC SENATE AGENDA
Wednesday, April 1, 2015, 4:00 P.M.
Room 132, DeBartolo Hall

AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order**
- 2. Approval of Minutes for March 4, 2015 meeting** – The minutes can be downloaded at the following URL: <http://academicsenate.yosu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/Academic-Senate-Meeting-Minutes-March-2015.compressed.pdf>
- 3. Senate Executive Committee Report** – Chet Cooper, Senate Chair
- 4. Report of the Charter and Bylaws Committee – No Report**
- 5. Ohio Faculty Council Report** – Ken Learman, Representative
- 6. Report of the Elections and Balloting Committee** – Ken Learman, Committee Chair- **No Report**
- 7. Reports from Other Senate Committees**
 - a. Academic Events Committee– No Report
 - b. Academic Programs Committee – No Report
 - c. Academic Research Committee – No Report
 - d. Academic Standards Committee (M. Slavens and J. Schriener-Briggs, Co-Chairs) – Attachment [1](#)
 - e. General Education Committee (Joe Palardy, Chair) – No Report
 - f. Honors Committee – No Report
 - g. Library Committee – No Report
 - h. Professional Conduct Committee (Ken Learman, Chair) – No Report
 - i. Student Academic Affairs Committee – No Report
 - j. Student Academic Grievance Committee (Teri Riley, Chair) – No Report
 - k. Undergraduate Curriculum Committee (Karen Giorgetti, Chair) – No Report
- 8. Unfinished Business**
- 9. New Business**
 - a. **HLC Update- Kevin Ball**
 - b. **Prior Learning Assessment Proposal Draft**
- 10. Adjournment**

Attachment 1

COVER SHEET TO BE ATTACHED TO ALL REPORTS SUBMITTED TO THE ACADEMIC SENATE

Name of Committee Submitting Report _____**Academic Standards**_____

Committee Status: (elected chartered, appointed chartered, ad hoc, etc.) **Appointed**

Names of Committee Members **Michael Slavens, Jacob Schriener-Briggs, Rebecca Curnalia, Tom Diggins, Chris Bellas, Melinda Wolford, Betty Greene, David Stout, Bill Buckler, Bill Vendemia, Joe Mosca, Teri Riley, Denise Walters Dobson, Jeanne Herman, Karen Giorgetti (ex officio), Loren Lease (ex officio)**

Please write a brief summary of the report the Committee is submitting to the Senate:

The committee voted to modify the Academic Dismissal Policy as pursuant to the attached document. After the warning and probation, the first suspension results in the inability to return for one Fall or Spring semester, an academic year after the second suspension, and two academic years after the third suspension. There is no guarantee to be readmitted after the third suspension.

Do you anticipate making a formal motion relative to the report? _____ **Yes**_____

If so, state the motion:

The ASC moves that the Senate adopts the revised Grade Requirements section of the undergraduate bulletin as shown in the attached document.

If substantive changes in your committee recommendation are made from the floor, would the committee prefer that the matter be sent back to committee for further consideration? **Yes**

Other relevant data:



Chair

Grade Requirements

Four categories of academic standing are established: Good Standing, Warning, Probation, and Suspension. These categories are intended to signify a student's progress toward graduation, to provide an opportunity for making improvements and achieving academic success, and to provide more effective academic advising.

To be considered in good academic standing, a student must have a minimum, cumulative 2.00 grade point average.

"Warning" and "Probation" indicate that grade standards consistent with graduation requirements are not being met. Under these conditions, an advisor's approval of course load is required prior to continuing studies at the University.

"Suspension" means that a student is separated from the University for a period of time.

A student whose cumulative grade point average falls below 2.00 will be placed on warning.

A student who has been on warning and who fails to bring his or her cumulative grade point average up to the minimum by the end of the following semester will be placed on probation for the next semester. A probationary student who has failed to bring his or her cumulative grade point average up to 2.00 by the end of the probationary semester will be suspended unless the student averages at least 2.00 for that semester in which case they will be continued on probation.

Following the first suspension, a student will not be permitted to enroll again until a fall or spring semester has passed. After the second suspension, a student will not be allowed to enroll for a complete academic year. Reinstatement after either the first or second suspension is determined by the dean (or designee) of the college from which the student was suspended, or, if the student enrolls in another college, by the dean (or designee) of the new college.

After the third suspension, a student will not be allowed to enroll for two complete academic years. A student may petition for Academic Readmission prior to the semester for which the student seeks readmission. Two college reviewers will determine the conditions under which the student may return. One reviewer must be an academic advisor; the second must be the student's academic department chairperson or designee. The decision of the two reviewers is final. Readmission after a third suspension is neither automatic nor guaranteed.