TO: UNIVERSITY SENATE MEMBERS
FROM: VERA JENKINS
Secretary of the Senate
SENATE MEETING: Friday, October 17, 1969-4:00 p.m. IN THE ENGINEERING SCIENCE AUDITORIUM (Room 273).

AGENDA FOR MEETING:

1) President will call meeting to order
2) Approval of minutes of previous meeting (Friday, June 6, 1969)
3) Introduction of new Senate members (Vera Jenkins)
4) Report of Constitution and Bylaws Committee (Dr. David Behen)
a) Nominations of Faculty-to-be members of Committee on Constitution and Bylaws:
(At least 10 to be nominated; 5 to be elected.
Election by closed mail ballot).
5) Report of Executive Committee of Senate (Dr. Hahn)
6) Report of Senate Standing Committees:
7) Faculty Affairs Committee (Dr. Raymond Hurd)

Other Material on a Tenure Recommendation will be sent to Senate members
7) Report by Dr. Lawrence Looby - Chairman of Continuing Education (Technical \& Community College)
8) Unfinished Business
9) New Business
10) Remarks by President Pugsley

SENATE MINUTES

## YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY Friday, October 17, 1969

PRESENT: Mr. Hankey, Mr. Miner, Mr. Hare, Mrs. Miner, Mrs. Dykema, Mr. Boland, Mr. Fortunato, Mr. Gutknecht, Jr., Mr. Teodorescu, Mr. Grim, Mr. Solomine, Jr., Mis Botty, Mr. Jones, Mr. Brennan, Mr. Crites, Mr. Rosenthal, Mrs. Budge, Mr. Kermani, Mr. Behen, Mr. Hurd, Mr. Hotchkiss, Mr. Letchworth, Miss Boggess, Mis Turner, Lt. Col. Connolly, Mr. Snyder, Mr. Williamson, Mr. Deiderick, Mr. Foster, Mr. Petrych, Mr. Painter, Mr. Reilly, Mr. Swan, Mrs. Clayton, Mr. Hammack, Miss Feldmiller, Mis Murphy, Mr. Paraska, Mr. Cernica, Mr. Riley, Mr. Swartz, Mr. Ringer, Mr. Hibbeler, Mr. Vanaman, Mr. Asneer, Mr. Klasovsky, Mr. Rook, Mr. Flad, Mr. Kelley, Mr. Sturm, Mrs. Worley, Mr. Henkel, Mr. Wales, III, Mr. Naberezny, Mr. Bright, Mr. Luginbill, Miss DeCapita, Mis Cunningham, Mr. Ward, Mr. Fdgar, Mr. Rishel, Mr. Gillespie, Mis Mackall, Miss Boyer, Mr. Byo, Mr. Cohen, Mr. D'Isa, Mr. Tarantine, Mr. Richley, Mis Niemi, Mr. Mavrigian, Mr. Beckman, Mr. Yozwiak, Mr. Looby, Mr. Dillon, Mr. Harris, Jr., Mr. Greenman, Mr. Van Zandt, Sister M Conroy, Miss Pfau, Mr. Foldvary, Mr. von Ostwalden, Mr. Fukui, Mr. Smith, Mr. Dykema, Mr. Hahn, Mr. Jonas, Mr. Evans, Mr. Dobbert, Mr. Chrisp, Miss Jenkins, Vice President Coffield and President Pugsley.

## PRESIDING: PRESIDENT ALBERT L. PUGSLEY TTME: 4:00 p.m. (ES AUDIT.)

The President called for the approval of the minutes of the previous meeting (Friday, June 6, 1969). There being no corrections or additions the minutes were declared approved as distributed.

The Secretary introduced the new Senate members (Ex-Officio, and elected). See the attached corrected list of University Senate members for names and numbers from each school.


## RBPORT OF CONSTIIUIION AND BYLAWS COMMIIIE

This report was given by the Chairman, Dr, David M. Behen.
Dr. Behen stated this was the final report to the University Senate of the 1968-69 Senate Committee on Constitution and Bylaws.

The Committee went through the Constitution and Bylaws and revised these instruments by incorporating in new drafts all changes to date required by actions of the University Senate during the past year. No other changes were made. The new drafts were prepared by Miss Vera Jenkins, Secretary of the Senate, and the Committee appreciates her kindness and assistance. The new drafts were distributed to the Faculty at the General Faculty Meeting on Tuesday, September 23, 1969.

As changes were made in either the Constitution or Bylaws only in conformity with Senate actions, no further action on the new drafts is required, However,

SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.: (October 17, $\overline{1969)}$
REPORT OF CONSTITUTION AND B YLAWS COMMITTEE CONT:D.: (Dr. Behen)
Dr. Behen stated he knew this Committee (or perhaps more properly the successor Committee) will appreciate having called to attention any errors of commision or omission that may have unfortunately been committed.

The Comittee further reported:

1) That present Article III, Section 2, of the Constitution has been rendered obsolete, in the Committee's opinion, by changes in the structure of the University's administration, The Conmittee recommends that the Senate and the new Constitution and Bylaws Committee take this point under consideration.
2) The Committee received from the Senate Executive Committa@ on October 13, 1969, a reconmendation that examination be made of certain provisions relating to the membership and electors of the Senate. The Committee transmits this recommendation to the successor Committee, having had no time to deliberate the matter.
3) The Committee cal is attention of the new Constitution and Bylaws Committee to the Senate Motion of May 2, 1969 (ref : Senate Minutes, that date, page 13) that a study be made of the constitutionality of a proposal to admit a student representative to regular meetings of the Senate. Although mindful of the importance of the proposal, and of the desirability of all reasonable expedition, we decided to postpone action to the new academic year. It is our feeling that the proper Cormittee and the Senate will wish to make a most searching examination and thorough deliberation of the points involved; even the brief preliminary consideration at the May Senate meeting revealed that the proposal raises fundamental questions respecting the constitutional nature of the Senate body, going far beyond the simple question of the propriety and wisdom of admission of an additional person to the Senate floor.

Dr. Behsm moved acceptance of the above report,
Seconded. CARRIED UNANDMOUSLY.
Corments:

1) What Section of the Constitution was rendered obsolete? Dr. Behen stated: Article III, Section 2 of the Constitution, The Committee thinks it should be examined.
2) There is no statement in the Constitution as to what constitutes a quorum in the Senate. Assumed to be a majority of the membership. Influence support on a significant number of members present in order to be legal. This would be a matter for consideration by the new Committee.

SENATE MNUIES CONT'D.: (October 17, 1"9\%9)

## NOMINATIONS FOR COMMITTEE ON CONSTIIUIION AND BYLAKS

(After names of 10 nominees had been made it was Moved and Seconded that the nominations be closed).

The following ten (10) nominations were made for the Codttee on Constitution and Bylaws as required by the Bylaws, (Bylaw III, Section 2 d):
(1) David M. Behen
(6) Jack D. Foster
(2) John R. Boland
(7) Henry N. Fukui
(3) Alfred I. Bright
(8) John L. Grim
(4) Frank A. DIIsa
(9) Robert R. Hare
(5) Christine R. Dykema
(10) Joseph Solomine, Jr.

Election of the five (5) members of the Committee will be by CLOSED MAIL BALLOT.

## RBPORT OF EXBCUIIVE COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE:

This report was given by the Chairman, Dr. Philip J. Hahn.
Dr. Hahn reported: "The Excecutive Committee of the Senate recommends to the Constitution and Bylaws Committee that the membership structure of the Senate, definition of electors and related matters be examined. If amendments are to be proposed they should be brought to the Senate in time to be effective this academic year.

May 2, 1969 the Senate passed a Motion to create an Ad Hoc Committee to recommend a policy about "Pass-Fail" grading. The charge of the Committee is in the minutes of the Senate and includes the holding of open hearings and that the Committee have administrative, faculty and student representation.

The Committee includes:

| Dean Swartz (or someone he may appoint) | Robert R. Hare |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mary B. Smith | Vern Kagarice |
| Dean Scriven | Larry Esterly |
| Clyde A. Painter | 3 Students |

(Since the Senate Executive Committee did not specify a Chairman, it is suggested that Robert R. Hare, the representative from the Academic Affairs Committee, call the first meeting).

A Joint Standing Committee called "Audio-Visual Media Committee" has been created with the following membership:

Chairman, Larry DiRusso. . . . . . . .Education
(to be appointed). . . . . . . . . . .Schl. of Brs. Administration (to be appointed). . . . . . . . . . .School of Music
Jack Foster. . . . . . . . . . . . .Technical \& C College
Russell Hibbelar. . . . . . . . . . . School of Engineering
George Kelley. . . . . . . . . . . . Biology Department
Dan Elser. . . . . . . . . . . . Speech \& Drama Department
Loretta Liptak. . . . . . . . . . . Health \& Physical Educ. Dept.
Joe Koss. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Economics Department
Joseph Babisch. . . . . . . . . . . A r t Department
Ex-Officio: Dr. Winston Estleman, Director of Audio-Visual Media
Ex-Officio: Stephen Greevich, Director of Radio Station

SENATE MNUIES CONT'D.: (October 17, 1969) REPORT OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE CONT'D. : (Dr. Hahn)
AUDIO-VISUAL MEDIA COMMITTEE CONT'D.:
A communication from Dr. Eshleman received yesterday suggests a revision in the charge made up by the Senate Executive Committee over a week ago, His suggestions seem to be better than the formulation of the Senate Executive Committee and reads as follows:
uTo recommend policies, programs, and procedures for the establishment, development, organization, and administration of audiovisual media services for the University."

An Ad Hoc Committee to study University-wide class scheduling was established. This Comittee is to make recommendations to the Senate early in the Winter Quarter concerning length of courses in credit hours and times for scheduling. The members are:

Bernard Yozwiak Howard Mettee
Thelma Miner John Grim
Nicholas Paraska
Gilbert Williamson
Joseph Swartz
Karl Dykema
Since a Chairman was not specified, Dr, William H Coffield has agreed to have his office arrange the first meeting.
(Dr. Coffield suggested at today's Senate meeting that Dean Scriven be added to this Committee. This is due to the fact that the charge is broader than $D_{r}$. Coffield at first thought).
 mittees. Dr, Hahn stated they were not, only $A D H O$. "B-15 is the Audio-Visual Media Committee" but the others will be dissolved when their work is done.

The President stated the Senate Executive Committee had not asked for a Motion on these matters. These are administrative, Dr. Hahn stated they were AD HOC Committees only, with the exception of the one designated B-15.

Frank A. Fortunato replaces Frank Smith as Chairman of Admission Policy Subcommittee of Academic Affairs, (A3-a).

The Publication Board Committee ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{L}_{4}$ ) should appear in the Document on Committees with Six (6) students, Four (4) faculty, two Ex-officio members, and the Chairman.

## REPORT OF FAQULTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE:

This report was given by the Chairman of this Committee, Dr. Raymond Hurd. Dr. Hurd stated the Faculty Affairs Committee would like to see us become a part of the Ohio Faculty Senate,

Information pertinent to this, and a Summary of the Constitution and Bylaws of the Ohio Faculty Senate had been distributed to all Senate members several days ago.
SENATE MNUIES CONTיD.: (October 17, 1969)
RPORT OF FACUTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE CONT'D: ( Dr . Hurd)

```

MOTION: Dr. Raymond Furd stated the Faculty Affairs Committee wishes to move adoption of the "enabling resolution" contained in the Preamble:
that the electors of representatives to the Ohio Faculty Senate shall be those faculty eligible for election to the Youngstown State University Senate plus Department Chairmen, and
that those eligible for election as representatives to the Ohio Faculty Senate shall be the electors, Seconded. AYES HAVE IT. MOTION CARRIED.
(See page \#7 after Comments).

\section*{COMMENTS:}
1) The membership fee for this will be paid by whom?

Dr. Coffield told Dr. Hurd technical matters would have to be checked but the University did not take a negative point of view.

This would probably have to go to the Board of Trustees according to Dr. Pugsley.
2) YSU would have 3 members? Wo would pay the expenses? Is this legitimate University business?

Dr. Pugsley said this would probably be settled by the State-wide Universities.

Anticipate to pay the expenses the same way other professional travel is paid possibly.
3) Letter from Mr. Moore of Steering Committee received by Dr. Pugsley.

Wants to review the Master Plan. It should not be inferred, but selecting the faculty members who would be on this Committee, is important. The Chancellor is setting up about thirty (30) Committees and many faculty members would be on some of these Committees.
4) What have some of the other schools done?

It takes 40 delegates before the creation of such a body. Approximately twenty-five (25) are available from schools who have approved.
5) The money is going to have to come from some place. We may have to raise about \(\$ 2500\), to \(\$ 3000\). per year. How can we vote before we know the financing answer?
6) Our maximum contribution would be \(\$ 2100\).

It would be a mistake to not act upon this today because of the financing.
7) Do you not underestimate the expenses when you say \(\$ 21003\)
8) Why is it important to vote on it now?

Ans.: This organization will come into being and this University should participate and be one of the Chartered members.
9) Wy do we have to be a Charter member? Couldn't we join later?

Ans.: We could join later but it seems best to do so now,

\section*{COMMENTS CONTיD.:}
10) Determination of exact amount of financing has not yet been made and will probably be weeks or months before it is determined.

The maximum (Bylaws specify) assessment to be \(\$ .15\) per FTE student. But this is not the exact amount,

The Board of Regents has responsibility for the Master Plan, This group would have an interest in the revision of the Master Plan, and to influence them as they saw fit.
11) Thinks this organization could do that function stated in \(\# 10\) above,
12) Is this a popularity contest or a balanced representation? When will this be considered?
Ans,: Possibly a function of the Executive Committee of the Senate when the election, etc., would take place.

Dr. Pugsley asked: Are the representatives of any given Institution allowed to speak or are they giving individual opinions?

The Board of Trustees might set a certain policy. This University cannot be committeed to two different things at the same time. What basis does it have? Understands that there should be a visible faculty voice so that the public would understand the problem of higher education in a better way.

Dr. Clyde Vanaman stated he thought this was the way it was done.
13) My eventually develop a faculty viewpoint. If your view does not coincide with University group probably could not get it to be faculty financed,
14) If you act in accordance with that (\#13); there is no other group other than this group (University Senate).
15) What rights have the academic Deans when they meet; what actions do they recommend? What power do they have? Business Managers and Director of Admissions, etc., in regard to this?

Dr. Pugsley stated there are Advisory Groups. Committee (D-1), State Faculty Advisory Committee states: To represent the University on the Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor and the Ohio Board of Regents. The representative for Youngstown State University is Frank J. Tarantine.

There is a Committee of the Graduate Deans that has responsibility of Graduate Programs; Inter-University Council; Business Managers have a group; Admissions Officers have a group, etc. They make recommendations and then these are brought to the attention of the Chancellor,

Ohio College Association is another organization. Many here participate in these organizations,

The Inter-University Council is a group that was effective in years past since it was there before the Chancellor's Office was in existence.

How are they financed?
Ans.: Dr. Pugsley stated through an Institutional membership,

SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.: (October 17, 1969)
REPORI QF FACOLTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE CONT'D.: (Dr. Hurd) COMMENTS CONT'D.:
16) Relative to cost: Will a permanent office with a Staff be established in Columbus? This would make it more costly than just travel expenses.

Ans. = Yes. (Article V, Structure, Constitution and Bylaws of Ohio Faculty Senate), which states: WThis article calls for the maintenance of a permanent office and the employment of an executive secretary and suitable staff at the earliest possible date."
17) If passed, when would our membership take effect?

Ans. - When 40 delegates are approved.
How can University arrange for it when arrangements are already made?
Ans.: It would come out of unallocated reserve.
18) Is this an Ohio State AAUP? Is it separated from Private and Public? Not clear. Are we in a position to obligate the other faculty members for financing?
19) Does not think we are obligating faculty members. If funds not available there is the alternative should the finances not become effective (\#17).
20) Is there the fund implication of working under a "closed shop"?

Ans.: You could join any other organization if you wanted to.
It is difficult to define a State Institution in Ohio any more.
2.1) Dr. Vanaman stated: Operate to provide a responsible body of the Ohio Universities which at present we do not have. The faculty members do not have a representative body.

NOTION: Dr. Robert Ward moved that this be sent back to Committee for further study.
Seconded, NO'S HAVE IT. (See page \#7 after Comments).
COMMENTS:
1) This comes to us today after careful study by the Faculty Affairs Committee. They are in touch with what is going on.
2) Thinks the concern here today:
1) we need to have some clarification of funding within reasonable time.
2) should we assert ourselves if we go to \(q\) peal to the full faculty for support?
Should permit an expression. Is a referendum desirable? Hopes the committee would study these 2 questions.

Dr. Pugsley stated it was difficult for him to take a matter of this kind to the Board of Trustees. It is taking into account, one way or'another, the matter of funding from University Funds. Is this what you want me to do?
3) If this is referred back to Committee then Dr. Pugsley would have nothing to give to the Board of Trustees.
4) Was the possibility, by voting for this, favoring such an organization, and when it comes into being find we cannot belong to it possibly?

SENATE MINUIES CONT'D.: (October 17, 1969)
REPORT CF FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMIIEE CONT \({ }^{\prime} D_{n}:\) (Dr. Hurd)
COMMENIS CONT \({ }^{1} D_{0}:\)
5) Clearly stated in Summary of Constitution and Bylaws of Ohio Faculty Senate assessment per FIE student.
6) After you approve financing for this probably hear from Biology Department for payment of membership in Biological Organizations.
7) Membership now stands at 25 delegates. Forty (40) delegates would be required to finalize it. These 25 members are from sister Institutions to YSU. If any problems involved they must have solved them.

QUESTION CALHD FOR: AMENDMENT: NO'S HAVE IT, (To send it back to Committee),
Back to the Original Motion:
1) Faculty really need to be involved in decisions more than they are. This organization would be useful not only to this School but also to the other State Schools in Ohio.

Dr. Vanaman stated at this point: H thinks Ohio State University will ratify this at their Senate meeting very soon. Strongly urge support of this.

QUESTION CALLED FOR: TO ADOPT THE PROPOSAL. (See page \#4). Must have \(2 / 3\) majority.
AYES HAVE IT. MOTION CARRIED.

2nd PART GF REPORT GF FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMIIIEE:
This report was made by a member of the Faculty Affairs Committee, Joseph J. Koss.

Mr. Koss stated the Faculty Affairs Committee had deliberated many months on this report which regards Tenure at Youngstown State University.

A recommendation of the Faculty Affairs Committee to the University Senate regarding Rank and Tenure had been distributed earlier to Senate members; this recommendation was dated October 13, 1969. Mr. Koss stated he had been authorized to recommend it to the Senate. Another paper distributed at meeting today which would clarify some of the points of October 13, 1969 paper.

MOTION: Mr. Koss moved adoption of the Recommendation of the Faculty Affairs Committee by the University Senate on Rank and Tenure dated October 13, 1969.
Seconded. by Dr. Hahn.
Mr. Koss withdrew his motion. (SEE BELOW).

\section*{COMMENIS:}
1) In view of recommended changes are the recommendations in accordance with those of AALP on Rank and Tenure?

Ans. : No. The AAUP's are longer.
Dr. Pugsley stated that reference was made to the paper of October 13, 1969 but there were two (2) papers. The Motion is for which one?

Ans.: Mr. Koss stated October 13, 1969. Disregard the revision of October 16, 1969.
(CONT 1D. NEXT PAGE)

SENATE MINUIES CONT'D. \({ }^{\text {- }}\) (October 17, 1969)
REPORT QF FACDLTY AFFAIRS COMMIIEE CONT'D.: (2nd Part)

\section*{COMMENIS CONT \({ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{1}}\) :}
2) One Senate member stated: Comes to \(m y\) attention there is a possibility in eligibility to offer Motion on the floor by someone who is not a member of the Senate.
3) Dr. Hahn stated that this question had come up, There was a person who made a report last year and not a member of the Senate.

Dr. Pugsley asked Mr. Koss if he were willing to withdraw his Motion and have someone else make the Motion. Mr. Koss was willing to stand aside.

MOTION: Dr. Raymond Hurd moved adoption of the Recommendation of the Faculty Affairs Committee by the University Senate on Rank and Tenure - this Recommendation dated October 13, 1969. Seconded by Dr. Behen.

MOTION: Mr. Karl Dykema moved to refer this Recommendation back to Committee. Seconded. AYES HAVE IT. (SEE AFIER COMMENT \#L BELOW). (THIS WAS RESULT \().\)
COMMENTS:
1) Suggested this be tabled until some later time,
2) What is the second paper dated October 16, 1969 for?

It is for the clarification of certain points on the paper dated October 13, 1969.

At this point, Dr. Pugmiey called attention to the lateness of the hour; suggested the possibility of having another Senate meeting to continue this discussion or did the Senate members want to continue? (This was the evening of the Skeggs Lecture Series; Speaker - Senator Barry Goldwater), The members wanted to continue for just a short time.
3) Dr. Robert Hare stated part of the revised source was at his suggestion. It was his intention for the first paper ( \(10-13-69\) ) to be presented and then he wanted to have it defeated; then discuss the points in the second paper (10-16-69).

Њ stated there are some discrepancies with this and the Faculty Handbook, Tenure at this University is an incredible tangle of confusion. Language used in Bylaws, etc., not clear. Substitute Motion was for more clarification.
4) Dr. Hurd stated it was the intention of the Faculty Affairs Committee to hold Open Hearings on matter of Tenure.

MOTION: Mr. Karl Dykema moved to refer this back to Committee. Seconded. AYE3 HAVE IT.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS: NONE
NEW BUSINESS: NONE*

This report was given by Dr. Lawrence Looby, Chairman of Continuing Education, Technical and Community College,

Dr. Looby stated Continuing Education is relatively big business - 28 million adults enrolled in the programs in the country and \(\$ 18\) billion spent yearly by corporations, and industries training employees, etc., in the society, Want to work with Community Institutions in identifying Community Problems.

We are trying to provide educational programs in Ehgineering, Business, Medical Technology, Advertising, etc., and for occupational positions.

Formats for programs will vary. There will be Workshops, Seminars, etc.
The Continuing Education Department is responsible to the Dean of the Technical and Community College, Serves all academic departments. In order to be successful we must have support of the Faculty and your Departments and the Senate. We are trying to design programs that were successful in other Institutions. Hope the faculty in your Departments will be able to come over to the Department at times.

\section*{REMARKS BY PRESIDENT PUGSLEY:}

Dr. Pugsley expressed his personal appreciation and commendation to the Faculty and our students at YSU for the way they handled themselves during the Vietnam Moratorium on Wednesday, October 15, 1969. The image of this University has been enhanced in the way it was handled.

Looking ahead into the future. I believe the Institution must regard and you willingly must regard issues of this kind as matters of personal concern; do the personal concerns in non-class hours; do not interfere with the class hours and University work.

The School Paper "Jambar" issued today stated the President would make a report to the Senate. Dr. Pugsley stated: I just finishedit.

Dr. Pugsley stated he is not a person who believes in reprisals. We join together, Њ stated that a repeated or continued behavior of ignoring University policy would have to be a discussion with the person or persons concerned.

Let's join together and meet these problems as they come. Do not place your personal beliefs ahead of University policy.

Respectfully submitted,

Vera Jenkins
SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

This is a copy of Dr, Irwin Cohen's report on the October 6 meeting of the Board of Regents Faculty Advisory Committee.

The Senate Executive Committee asked the Secretary of the Senate to include this material with the University Senate minutes of October 17.


\section*{DR, COHEN'S REPORT AS FOLLOWS:}
1. A summary, "Major Legislation Affecting Higher Education. . . 1969", was distributed and discussed. The following items, among others, were included.
a) the five universities listed below are required to observe the indicated maximum FTE autumn enrollments (central campus) as of October, 1971:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ohio State. . }, 40,000 \quad \text { Bowling Green. . . } 15,000 \\
& \text { Kent State. . }, 20,000 \\
& \text { Miami. . . . . . } 15,000
\end{aligned}
\]
Ohio. . . . . 20,000

None of these now exceeds the limit, although Kent State is close.
b) An instructional grant program was initiated. Grants are to be based on family income and will go up to \(\$ 900\) at institutions where instructional charges are \(\$ 1000\) or more and up to \(\$ 300\) otherwise (i.e., at State institutions), The program will be initiated by September, 1970.
c) Provision for service credit for TIAA coverage toward STRS was made for cases where a private institution "is absorbed by a university."
2. The Board of Regents will set up 30 committees to consider revising the Master Plan. Each institution will, through its President, designate a representative on each relevant committee. Most committees will be substantive (egg. .teacher education, pharmacy). The committees will seek to identify problems, define goals, find what resources are needed, and discuss possible courses of action, Faculty and student involvement are expected at the institutional level.
3. The Board is planning to introduce a "Planning, Programming Budget System''. A manual on this approach to budgeting will be prepared and distributed. Budgeting in this system will be initiated at the department level, brought together at the University level, then brought together again at the Board.
(CONT'D. NEXT PAGE)
4. The level of tuition support will be changed as follows:

Lower Division, 350 to 470; technical education, 350 to 800;
Upper Division and Professional, 1000 to 1100 ; Masters'
and Graduate Professional, 1500 to 1800; Doctoral 4800 to 3990; medical 4900 to 4950.
5. A study on the possibility of a new State Medical College has been initiated by Chancellor Millett. Quick action is not expected.
6. Ohio is now about midway among the states in per capita support of higher education. In percentage increase per biennium, Ohio was first in 1967-69 and second in 1969-71. The increase in state support for 1969-71 over 1967-69 at some Ohio schools:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Cincinnati. . 78 & Bowling Green. . 32 \\
\hline Akron. - . 52 & Kent. . . . . . 27 \\
\hline Toledo. . , . 52 & Miami . . . . . . 20 \\
\hline Cleveland . . 48 & Ohio State. . . .19 \\
\hline Youngstown. . 41 & Ohio . . . . . . 18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
7. Next meeting's: Monday, November 3, and Thursday, December 11.
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\title{
SENATE MEMBERS-YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY CORRECTED LIST--TUESDAY. OCTOBER 21. 1969 \\ ELECTED MEMBERS
}

COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
Paul Beckman
David M Behen
Frederick Blue
Pauline Botty
John Brennan
Alfred Bright
Mary Alice Budge
Irwin Cohen
Sister Mary Conroy
Harold Crites
Jane Cunningham
Thaddeus Dillon
Guido A. Dobbert
Christine Dykema
Elmer Foldvary
Henry Fukui
Philip J. Hahn
Clyde Hankey
Robert R. Hare
Joel Henkel
Raymond Hurd
David S. Ives

Taghi Kermani
Gus Mavrigian
Thelma Miner
Ward Miner
Gratia Murphy
Esther P. Niemi
Bruce T. Riley
Sidney I. Roberts
Hassan Ronaghy
Lewis Rosenthal
A. W. Skardon, Jr.

Morris Slavin
Robert K. Smith
Joseph Solomine
Leonard Spiegel
Elizabeth Sterenberg
Nicholas Sturm
Paul Van Zandt
Peter von Ostwalden
Inga Worley
Warren Young
(43)

SCHL. BUS. ADMINISTRATION
John Boland
E. Mark Evans

Frank A, Fortunato
John L. Grim
William J. Gutknecht, Jr.
Vera Jenkins
William Petrych
Edward T. Reilly
(8)

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION
J. Leonard Azneer

Wilbert Hammack Clyde Vanaman
(3)

SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
Mehdi Ghaffarzadeh
Russell Hibbeler
Frank J. Tarantine Gilbert R. Williamson (4)

TECHNICAL \& C COLLEGE
Violet Boggess
John Terlecki
(2)

ELECTED TOTAL: 62
EX- OFFICIO TOTAL: 62

\section*{124}

President Pugsley
Vice Pres. Coffield
Vice Pres. Coffelt
Vice Pres. Rook
Dean Earl E. Edgar
Dean J. Gillespie
Dean Edith Painter
Dean Karl Dykema
Dean Robt.L. Miller
Dean Jos, Swartz
Dean M Charignon
Donald Byo (Act. Dean)
Dean Nicholas Paraska
Dean Darrell Rishel
Dean James Scriven
Mary B. Smith
William Livosky
Philip A. Snyder
George Jones
Geo. E. Letchworth Ronald Jonas

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS

Shaffiq Ahmed
Ivis Boyer
John Cernica
Marvin Chrisp
Ruth B. Clayton
Lt. Col. R. Connolly
Gilda DeCapita
(Act.Chm.) E. Terry
Deiderick
Frank D'Isa
Leslie S. Domonkos
Frank Ellis
Donald Elser
Ilajean Feldmiller
William S. Flad
Marguerite Foley
Jack Foster
Martin A. Greenman
C. Earl Harris, Jr.

Sanford Hotchkiss
Geo. W. Kelley, Jr.

James Kiriazis
Michael Klasovsky
Raymond Kramer
Lawrence Looby
Paul Luginbill
Emily Mackall
(Act. Chm.)R.M. Magner
Jon Naberezny
Clyde A. Painter
Margaret Pfau
Leon Rand
Victor A. Richley
Lewis Ringer
William Shipman
Robert Sorokach
William O. Swan
Dumitru Teodorescu
Me Turner
John Wales, III
Robert E. Ward
Bernard J. Yozwiak

BALLOT FOR SENATE COMMITTEE ON CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 5)
 with your name written on the slip that is taped to the envelope.

Please return this to Miss Vera Jenkins, Secretary of the Senate, Mailroom Box \#457 - by FRIDAY, OCTOBER 31 at 4:00 D.m.

NO BALLOIS WILL BE COUNIED IF RECEIVED AFTER THAT TIME,

A-1 CONSTITUTION AN3 BYLATS COMITTEE
a-3 agaderic arfains corittee
A-3a ADMISSICNS FOLICY SUBCOMEITTEE
A-3b ADVANCED PLACEETT SUBCCMITTEE
A-3c SUBCOMTITEE ON TEMBCOK COMFLATMS
A-4 CURRICULUA COATITTEE
A-4a HONORS COURSES AND FROGRAS SUECORITTEE
A-5 LIBRARY COMSTTTEE
B-I ATHLETIC COUNCIL
b-2 calmdar and coordination comuttee
T-つa sffital munts suecomittee
B-3 COMFUTER COMITTEE
B-4 FACULTY AFFAIRS COMITTEE
B-5 STUDENT AFFAIRS COMATTEE
B-5a FOREIGN STUDENTS SUBCOMITTEE
B-5b SCHOLADSHIP AND FINANCIAL ATD SUBCOMITTEE
B-6 ALUIAI AFFAIPS CONATTEE
B-7 UNIVERSITY RESEARCH COUNCIL
B-8 COUXCIL ON TEACHER EDUGATION
b-9 health and safety comittee

Philip Hahn
Margaret Ffau
Clyde Vanaman
Margaret Ffau
Nargaret Fiau
Margaret Pfau
Frank Tarantine
Irwin Cohen
Frank Tarantin2
Edward T. Reilly
Edward T. Reilly
Irwin Cohen
Edward T. Reilly
Irwin Cohen
Edward T. Reilly
Thaddeus Dillon
Margaret Ffau
Irwin Cohen
Clyde Vanaman
Edward T. Reilly
b-io STudent acadeac guidance and zegistration comitter Thaddeus Dillon
B-11 COUNCIL CN CONTINUING EDUCATICN
B-12 COMATTEE ON STUDENT DEVELOFAEN?
443 DISCIPLINE CONITTTEE
Donald Byo
B-14 ADVISORY COMITTEE FOR RADIO BROADCASTIN
B-15 AUDIOVISUAL SERVICTS COMNITTEE
Donald Byo
Clyde Vanaman```

