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## MINUTES

ACADEMIC SENATE
October 1, 1976

PRESENT: T. Alderman, J. Bakos, P. Baldino, W. Barsch, G. Beelen, D. Bensinger, M. Braden, B. Brothers, F. Blue, R. Burkholder, D. Byo, F. Castronovo, M. Householder, G. Sutton, D. Cline:s, W. Cochran, I. Cohen, H. Cox, J. Cramer, E. T. Deiderick, J. Del Bene, R. DiGuilio, T. Dobblestein, C. Dykema, Vice President Edgar, E. Eminhizer, L. Esterly, J. Conser, R. Foulkes, R. Gould, P. Hahn, S. Hanzely, L. Hopkins, M. Horvath, D. Hövey, H. Jeffrey, E. Juhasz, C. Jukich, J. Kearns, J. Kirschner, M. Kohn, E. Largent, B. Lateef, G. Letchworth, L. Liptak, C. Lovas, A. Matyze, C. McBriarty, D. Milley, A. Moore, J. Morris, J. Morrison, M. Murphy, J. Naberezny, G. Owens, N. Paraska, L. Rand, S. Roberts, L. Satre, G. Schoenhard, M. Siman, S. Skarote, A. Smith, C. Smith, S. Sniderman, D. Suchora, J. White, B. Yozwiak, L. Zaccaro, L. Zona, K. Feld, B. Yeaton, J. Kelty.

The meeting was called to order at 4:05 p.m. by Dr. Luke Zaccaro, Chairman.

## REMARKS

Dr. Zaccaro called attention to the "No Smoking" signs in the auditorium.
It was noted that there has not been a full organization of committees and chairmen. Until they are determined, we will not be able to distribute a complete list as requested at the June meting.

It was requested that all committees have organizational meetings as soon as possible to decide on chairmen and let Dr. Zaccaro or Dr. Ameduri know the results.

The Revised Charter and By-Laws will be distributed as soon as the information is available.

## MINUTES

Dr. Zaccaro noted that a correction should be made on page 5, paragraph 4, of the June 4, 1976 minutes. The word "dispersions" should be changed to "aspersions." With this correction the minutes were approved.

## COMMITTEE REPORTS

Charter and Bylaws Committee: No report.
Executive Committee: Dr. Ameduri reported that the committee has not been able to meet as a group because Fine Arts and CAST representatives have not been reported. He also stated that no replacements or reappointments could be made until the committee has full membership.

Curriculum Committee: Dr. Braden reported in the absence of Dr. Robinson. She moved for approval of Management 735, Communications for Management and Business. The motion was seconded by Dr. Largent. A vote was called for and the motion carried.

Research Committee: Dr. Dobbert (chairman of last year's committee) reported for Dr. Ahmed, acting chairman of this year's committee. He asked for someone to motion that this report be discussed since he is not presently a member of the Senate. The motion was made by Dr. Krishnan and was seconded by Dr. Baldino to approve Motion 1 of report to the Senate dated 5/19/76 and circulated with the agenda for the $6 / 4 / 76$ meeting. Motion 1 was read.

Dean Paraska asked for the meaning of 'deans should assist.'i Dr. Dobbert replied that the intent was to make some contingency funds available. Dr. Hahn asked if the word "expected" meant that research needs to be budgeted, and requested that the word be deleted. It was pointed out by Dr. Krishnan that there is no contingency item in department budgets for research.

Dr. Dobbert pointed out that budqet committees could add some money under duplication funds category. Dr. Cox asked for the meaning of "duplication of papers." The explanation was that pupers presented to conferences could be duplicated for distribution to participants at the door or at some previous time. Motion carried.

Motion 2: Dr. Roberts moved for approval of motion 2. Second by Dr. Cohen.
Dr. Krishnan moved to amend to include "access to use building and computer facilities." Dr. Dobbert asked what is implied by "computer facilities." The computer is down at 4 on Saturda, and is not operating again until 7:30 a.m. Monday. Dr. Krishnan st.ited that fazulty should have access to terminals rather than having to wait on computer personnel.

Dr. Zaccaro ruled that the discussion should center on the amendment.
Dr. Eminhizer stated that the policy is that authorized persons may have access by contacting the police force. Dr. Dobbert noted that there is no system for reporting to the police. If a person is required to leave the building, there is no way to return without notifying the police. Keys should be issued.

A vote was taken on the amendment. It was defeated 27-32.
Mr. Senario asked if the committ e contacted Mr. Kress. The answer was no, but the committee did contact $\operatorname{Dr}$. Kr ll. Dr. Hanzely inquired as to whom the recommendation is directed, and stated that faculty members should have access to buildings. Will this be policy or recommendation? Dr. Cox noted that this would be a policy statement, it would be well publicized.

Dr. Koknat said that keys are not available in all departments. Dr. Cohen asked how passage of this motion would change the present situation.

Further discussion included existing key policies (none known), building security procedures and location of campus police.

Dr. Yozwiak noted that if there is something wrong with the policy on "access to buildings", then a specific recommendation should be made.

Dr. Cohen moved to return the motion to committee with the recommendation that they come to Senate with specific recommendations about keys and other areas of concern. Second by Baldino. Motion to return to committee carried.

Motion 3: Motion 3 was moved by Dr. Krishnan. It was seconded by Baldino.
Mr. Krishner stated that "are urged" should be replaced by "should." Discussion followed. Among points raised were: that motion implies that chairmen and committees are not doing it already; should chairmen or researcher define "block of time" needed?; Could one faculty member infringe on another?

Dr. Dobbert stated that the intent is not to constrain chairmen. Dr. Krishnan said that the idea is commendable. How is it determined if faculty member is conducting research and needs time block requested? Dr. Dobbert replied that academic freedom does not mean freedom from being challenged.

Call for question. Motion carried.
Motion 4: Dr. Dobblestein moved Motion 4. Second by Dr. Krishnan.
Dr. Rand stated that the argument for placing in the library is that library has more working hours than graduate office. Does time difference warrant library space requirement. Faculty interest has been minimal. Feels space and time is not justified.

The chair recognized Dr. Koknat, member of Research Committee (not a Senate member). It is the responsibility of the Senate to set policy. University Research Committee obtains proposal from members of the university. Number of incoming proposals are decreasing. To make it easier to apply for a grant, we propose that copies of proposals be placed in the library. Froposals would be available 15 hours a day and one weekends when a professor has free tine. This would make access available and would perhaps increase research. Urged acceptance. Motion carried.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS None.
NEW BUSINESS
Hone.
ADJOURMMENT Motion by Krishner. Second by Slawecki. Meeting adjourned at 4:55.

