

ACADEMIC SENATE  
Minutes

February 3, 1982

CALL TO ORDER

Upon establishing that a quorum was present, Dr. Jean Kelty called the meeting to order at 4:05 p.m.

CORRECTIONS OF MINUTES OF DECEMBER 2, 1981

Dr. Largent noted that changes were needed as follows:

Page 1, last paragraph, 3rd sentence -- "Now Ohio Board of Regents must report back to the legislature in September with some type of plan in regards to this action;"

Page 1, last paragraph, last sentence -- Delete the statement "especially those in Western Pennsylvania". There is a dialogue between Ohio and Kentucky and Ohio and West Virginia but none mentioned about Pennsylvania.

Other changes that were noted:

Page 2, 3rd paragraph from the bottom, 2nd sentence -- "...however, if a course presents physical danger to an audit student--"

Page 2, last paragraph, 1st sentence -- "Further discussion evolved around the use of an audit course grade in itself;"

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF DECEMBER 2, 1981

The minutes of December 2, 1981 were approved as submitted with proper editorial corrections being made.

REPORT FROM CHARTER AND BY LAWS COMMITTEE - none

REPORT FROM SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - no official report

Dr. Edward Largent, representative of the Advisory Committee to the Chancellor, reported on his visit to the Faculty Advisory Committee Meeting held on January 26, 1982, in Columbus. He stated that the main topic discussed at this meeting was the impending budget cuts and that the Chancellor has communicated with the student government representatives and administration about these cuts. A copy of the minutes from this meeting is attached.

REPORT FROM ELECTIONS AND BALLOTING COMMITTEE - none

REPORT FROM ACADEMIC PLANNING COMMITTEE

In the absence of Larry Hugenberg, Dr. Agnes Smith reported on the progress of the committee. Copy of this report is attached.

A question was asked as to how long ago did the Vice President of Academic Affairs finish the proposal on the "Master Plan" and was it now with the President. Dr. Smith noted that it was finished in November and the committee has had an opportunity to examine the model used to draw up the "Master Plan"; but, they have not seen the plan itself.

CURRICULUM REPORT - for attachment only since no courses have been challenged.

REPORT FROM ACADEMIC STANDARDS AND EVENTS COMMITTEE

Motion was moved that "the physical examination requirement for all students at the time of admission to the university be eliminated." "It is assumed, however, that the current requirement for a physical examination prior to admission to Health and Physical Education activities classes continue to be required."

Discussion of this motion evolved around the pros and cons of having the physical prior to admissions, or just prior to taking a Health & Physical Education class.

In opposition to this motion, it was noted that it would be more convenient if all students had the physical prior to admissions than to have to worry about it at the time of taking a H & PE class. Dean Sutton noted a possible problem of the legal liability of the University if there were a problem to occur with a student's health while on campus.

In support of the motion, it was reported that it would eliminate the need for a physical exam by those students not taking Health and Physical Education classes, saving them the time and expense. This would apply to many non-traditional students, older students, and part-time students.

It was reported that a physical examination is not currently a requirement for admission to the university and is not practiced as a requirement for admission in all cases.

Vote on motion. Motion passed.

Dr. Roberts reiterated the point that he understands that a physical examination is not now a requirement for admission to the university, so the senate just voted for something which has no impact. He felt that the motion should read, "The requirement of a physical examination for all students for registration in the university, be eliminated."

Dr. Roberts did not wish to make an amendment to the motion, however.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS - none

NEW BUSINESS - none

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 4:40 p.m.

*Cynthia A. Peterson*

## INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO Academic SenateDATE February 3, 1982FROM Lawrence Hugenberg, Chair, Academic Planning CommitteeSUBJECT Progress Report of Committee

The Academic Planning Committee has met regularly since their initial meeting in late October, 1981. Minutes of many of these meetings have been submitted, or will be submitted, to the Executive Committee.

The current membership is:

## (8) Faculty:

Lawrence Hugenberg (chair)  
 Jack Bakos  
 Dean Brown  
 Mary Beaubien  
 Agnes Smith  
 Lauren Schroeder  
 Fred Feitler  
 Don Hovey

## (3) Administrators:

Bernard Gillis, Academic Vice  
 President  
 David Ruggles, Dean, Education  
 Ben Yozwiak, Dean, Arts and  
 Sciences

## (2) Students:

Ray Nakley, President of Student  
 Government  
 Scott Smith

During our meetings thusfar, we have had lengthy discussions of the role of the Committee as well as an introduction to the "science" of planning. One of the problems encountered by the Committee has been the ambiguity of the charge given by the Faculty Senate. As a result we have spent a great deal of our meeting time trying to ascertain for ourselves what it is we ought to be doing.

A second, and perhaps more important, problem encountered by the Academic Planning Committee is the fact that we entered the University's planning process when it was already approximately one-half completed by the Academic Vice President. When Vice President Gillis arrived at the University he was charged with the responsibility of drafting a "Master Plan" for the University. With all the resources available to him, he set out to complete his task. With the abundance of resources necessary to complete the planning task, the Vice President worked diligently to complete the "Master Plan." No Faculty Senate Committee has, in realistic terms, the time or the access to the necessary information to complete the planning process.

At this point, the Committee decided to wait for the "Master Plan" from the Vice President's Office. The Committee has yet to see even the drafts of the plan. President Coffelt is reading the draft of the Master Plan and it will be released upon his completion and review.

A third problem was the suggestion that the Academic Planning Committee set as a priority the completion of a method of program review within the University. Further discussion followed related to the parts of the review process. The argument centered around the complex issues of having a "qualitative" or a "quantitative" review. Questions were raised about the correlation between program review and the current "state-of-the-budget" within the State of Ohio.

Possible Solutions and/or Directions:

At the last meeting of the Academic Planning Committee, two priorities for the Committee emerged. The first is to establish the method for facilitating review, criticism, praise and/or input by the University Community into the Master Plan, once delivered to the individuals of the community.

The second priority was to establish potential guidelines and procedures for the next planning process undertaken by the University. With input from the Academic Vice President and in association with the Faculty Senate; hopefully, there can be established a clear "planning procedure."

Chairperson's Agenda:

For the next meeting of the Academic Planning Committee, the chair is suggesting the possibility of establishing three ad-hoc committees to review particular issues of importance. They are:

- (1) An ad-hoc committee to review the planning process undertaken by the Vice President and to plan the next planning process.
- (2) An ad-hoc committee to create procedures for the systematic evaluation and review of the "Master Plan" when provided to the faculty.
- (3) An ad-hoc committee to investigate any possible Faculty Senate response to the impending budgetary crisis to be experienced in the near future.

For any recommendations to emerge from these ad-hoc committees, they will need the approval of the entire Academic Planning Committee.

Prognosis:

At the present time it is difficult to determine the chances for any "successes" for the Academic Planning Committee. Much of what we accomplish will be determined by how much time is left in the Academic Year once the "Master Plan" is distributed to all faculty members (which is the plan of the Vice President).

Regular meetings of the entire committee and the ad-hoc committees will be required over the next 4 to 4 and-a-half months.

We look forward to making some recommendations to the Faculty Senate sometime in Spring Quarter. However, as previously mentioned, this depends upon the completion and distribution of the Master Plan.

FACULTY ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
TO THE CHANCELLOR OF THE  
OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS

Minutes of the Meeting of January 26, 1982.

Chairman Gump called the meeting to order with the following members present: R. Boyer, J. Carson, G. Clark, J. Coady, P. Falkenstein, H. Flory, S. Givens, R. Gump, E. Hauser, P. Jastram, J. Jordan, E. Largent, J. McComb, H. Munro, G. Nankervis, D. Pabst, J. Rakowsky, E. Redstone, R. Rolwing, and S. VanderArk.

MORNING SESSION

Minutes The Minutes of the meeting of December 15, 1981 were approved as circulated with the correction of the date from December 16 to December 15.

Exigency or Retrenchment Planning The bulk of the morning session was devoted to a sharing of information of the exigency or retrenchment plans that the various schools in the state have in place. It was agreed that each school with a written policy would submit it to Jeremy Rakowski of Lorain Community College who would duplicate them and send them to every school that desired it. (In the afternoon session those schools identified themselves.) It became clear that the most detailed and complete plans existed at those schools which had collective bargaining agreements. Those schools were Cincinnati, Cuyahoga, Kent State, Lakeland C.C., Lorain C.C., Ohio U., and Youngstown. Wright State has a faculty developed and Board approved plan. Bowling Green, Miami, Ohio State, and Toledo are working on plans which are at various stages of progress.

Miscel- Three other items were touched upon during the morning. Chair Gump said he was delaying any further discussion of the foreign graduate student issue. Boyer circulated a release from E.F. Hutton which indicated that it might be possible to develop an I.R.A. Account through an established retirement system. Jastram touched briefly on the budget question indicating that the financial prognosis might be partially politically colored.

AFTERNOON SESSION

Budget Crisis CM started off extended discussion of the budget crisis by assuring FAC that the problem was real. He stated that the fault did not lie with the new subsidy formula, at all, but with the miscalculations of OBM and the continuation of the recession. He cautioned that the precise nature of the crisis and the solution(s) to it were not yet clear. What was clear was that education was one of the primary areas from which funds could be garnered with less pain and immediate havoc.

CM made several additional points. Among them were:

- 1) He hopes he can delay implementation of the cutbacks past February 1.
- 2) He does not anticipate any tax increase before the fall elections;
- 3) He thinks it possible that the legislature will reappropriate the budget for the second year of the present biennium;
- 4) He is sure that education is going to have to find ways to work with less and to make decisions on priorities. This process, he asserted, will be harder on some than it will be on others;
- 5) He urged FAC and everyone else to contact their legislator(s) in an effort to lessen the impact on higher education of the budget cuts;
- 6) He asserted that OBOR will take a very cool view of any new program development except where it can be done on a trade off basis; and,
- 7) He sees at this point only a black hole for the years beyond 1983.

Miscel-  
lany

In answer to the question of whether capital improvements would continue, CM stated that OBOR is being urged to continue moving. He also informed FAC that it now appears that the final draft of the Master Plan should be ready by May.

The next meeting of FAC will be on Wednesday, March 10, 1982 and the following on Wednesday, April 14, 1982. Both meetings will be in the OBOR Conference Room with the morning session starting at 10:30 a.m. and the afternoon at 1:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

*Stuart R. Givens*

Stuart R. Givens  
Secretary

ARTS & SCIENCES

Thomas Dobbelstein  
 William Eichenberger WE  
 Beverly Gartland BLG  
 Martin Greenman MG  
 Susan Mason SM  
 Earl Harris EH  
 Richard Bee RB  
 Edwin V. Bishop EVB  
 Hugh Earnhart HE  
 Jack Neville JN  
 John W. Manton JWM  
 James Morrison JM  
 James P. Poggione JPP  
 Nicholas Sturm NS  
 Mario Veccia MV  
 Sidney Roberts SR  
 Gratia Murphy GM  
 Lowell Satre LS  
 Larry Esterly LE  
 Jean Kelty JK  
 Anthony Stocks AS  
 Frederick Blue FB  
 George Beelen GB  
 Taghi Kermani TK  
 Ikram Khawaja IK  
 William Jenkins WJ  
 Agnes Smith AS  
 Friedrich Koknat FK  
 Mark Masaki MM  
 John White JW  
 William Binning WB

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Winston Eshleman W.E.  
 Joseph Kirschner JK  
 George Levitsky GL  
 Jack Dunsing JD  
 L. Hill LH  
 Peter Baldino PB  
 Edward Tokar ET  
 Edgar Cobett EC

SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Yucel Tokuz YT  
 Peter Botros PB  
 Wade Driscoll WD  
 Robert McCoy RM  
 Richard Jones RJ  
 John Peterson JP

FINE AND PERFORMING ARTS

Darla Funk DF  
 Joe Babisch JB  
 Alfred Owens AO  
 Donald Byo DB  
 Edward Largent EL  
 Joseph Lapinski JL  
 Elaine Juhasz EJ

ADMINISTRATION

Taylor Alderman TA  
 Charles McBriarity CB  
 James Scriven JS  
 Neil Humphrey NH  
 Bernard Gillis BG  
 James Douglass JD  
 John Yemma JY  
 Frank Siebold FS  
 Lee Rand LR  
 Solly Notkins SN  
 Bernard Yozwiak BY  
 Nicholas Paraska NP  
 Robert Dodge RD  
 William McGraw WM  
 George Sutton GS  
 David Ruggles DR

STUDENT MEMBERS

Cynthia Beckes CB X  
 James C. Nevis JCN  
 Edgar Manning EM  
 Paul M. Avdey Jr. PMAJ  
 Laurie Airhart LA  
 Rainer Kangas RK  
 Nan Hudak NH  
 Brenda Cipriano BC  
 Catherine Simpson CS  
 Crystal Shells CS  
 Mark Mook MM  
 Karen Lewandowski KL  
 Ray Nakley RN  
 \* Ed Salata ES  
 \* Jeff Laret JL  
 \* Dean Deperro DD

BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Virgil Lang VL  
 Stanley Guzell SG  
 Donald Mathews DM  
 Dennis Bensinger DB  
 Mervin Kohn MK  
 Terry Deiderick TD  
 Raymond Shuster RS  
 Jane Simmons JS

APPLIED SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

Mary Beaubien MB  
 Joan Boyd JB  
 Ralph Crum RC  
 Cynthia Peterson CP  
 Patricia McCarthy PM  
 C. Allen Pierce CAP  
 Virginia Phillips VP  
 Audrey Owens AO

\*Term Expires June 15