

Academic Senate Youngstown State University Youngstown, Ohio 44555



ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES

May 4, 2005

Note: The next regular meeting of the Academic Senate is scheduled for Wednesday, September 14, at 4:00 p.m. Please submit agenda items and cover sheets for the September Senate meeting to Bob Hogue by noon on Tuesday, September 6, at the latest. Provide both a hard copy and a disk or electronic copy of your report and cover sheet in *Word* or rich text format. A downloadable cover sheet is available at the Academic Senate web site [hit "cancel" if asked for a password]:

http://cc.ysu.edu/acad-senate/index.html

Note 2: If you want to read or print the pdf version of these minutes and don't have *Adobe Acrobat Reader*, you may download the program at the following link: http://www.adobe.com/products/ acrobat/readstep2.html>.

To save paper, use the Acrobat Reader menus or toolbar to print the file, not the menus or toolbar in your web browser.

Click on the links in the table to go directly to a specific section of the minutes.

Senate Executive Committee/OFC	Elections & Balloting Committee	Academic Programs Committee
Undergraduate Curriculum Committee	Ad Hoc Committee on Student Academic Grievance Procedures	New Business

Sign-in Sheet

Download or Print a PDF version of the minutes

Top of Page

Call to Order:

Tom Shipka, chair of the Academic Senate, called the Senate to order at 4:05 p.m.

Top of Page

Minutes of the Previous Meeting:

Minutes of the April 6, 2005, meeting were approved as posted. To view the minutes, go to http://www.cc.ysu.edu/acad-senate/minapr05.htm.

Top of Page

Senate Executive Committee (SEC) / Report from the Chair / Ohio Faculty Council Report: Tom Shipka, Chair of the Senate, reported:

Welcome to the last Senate meeting of the 2004-2005 academic year. As is my practice, I will consolidate my several reports into one.

1. The Provost Search Committee has screened approximately 90 applicants, conducted video or telephone interviews of 8 candidates, and invited three applicants for campus interviews. Robert Herbert, Dean of Liberal Arts at Stephen F. Austin University, will be here tomorrow and Friday. Robert Rossman, Dean of the College of Applied Science and Technology at Illinois State University, will be here next Monday and Tuesday. Julia To Dutka, Associate Provost, Capital University, will be here next Wednesday and Thursday. The itinerary for the first two candidates is set and the one for Dr. To Dutka is being finalized as we meet.

The Faculty Forum for Dr. Herbert is at 2:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. tomorrow in Kilcawley 2068, and Dr. Herbert's meeting with Department Chairpersons is Friday at 9:30 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. in the Schwebel Reception Room in Kilcawley Center.

The Faculty Forum for Dr. Rossman is next Monday at 2:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. in the Schwebel Reception Room in Kilcawley Center, and Dr. Rossman's meeting with Department Chairpersons is next Tuesday from 9:30 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. in Kilcawley 2068.

When you attend one of the scheduled sessions with our visitors, you will be given an evaluation form. Please fill it out and place it in a box before you leave the room so that the Search Committee will have your input immediately. These candidates are involved in other searches, and it is essential that the Search Committee and President Sweet move quickly in the next week to identify and recruit the candidate that we want.

The resumes of these finalists and other information about their campus visits are being sent via YSU Cue-Mail.

I want to thank Gwen Latessa from Dr. Cyndy Anderson's office and the staff in Media Services for their terrific work on behalf of the committee.

- 2. The Senate Executive Committee has met to discuss the issue of faculty evaluation of administrators. The Executive Committee asks Senators to contact us with your comments about this possibility. Unless there is significant support within the Senate to undertake an initiative in this area, we plan no further steps. The members of the Senate Executive Committee are Ken Miller from Education, Jane Reid from the William College of Business Administration, David Kurtanich from Engineering and Technology, Dennis Morawski from Health and Human Services, Sunil Ahuja from Arts and Sciences, Dennis Henneman from Fine and Performing Arts, Robert Bolla, Dean of Arts and Sciences, representing the Administration, Justin Truitt, a student, and myself.
- 3. A number of Senators are retiring this year, and today's Senate meeting is their last. I thank them on behalf of the Senate and the faculty for their dedicated service. Special recognition goes out to Jim Morrison and Charles Singler, two long-time faculty members, Senate leaders, and department chairs, who have played pivotal roles in the Senate over the years. Since I became Senate chair, Jim and Charles have kindly agreed to represent me in several campus committees which I could not cover due to schedule conflicts and time constraints. I am grateful to Jim and Charles and I wish them well in what I know will be active retirements.
- 4. Finally, I want to thank our Senate Secretary, Bob Hogue, and our Parliamentarian, Bill Jenkins, for their outstanding service this past year. I also want to thank committee chairs who provided the leadership necessary to implement the Senate Charter and Bylaws.

This concludes my report.

	Top of Page
Charter & Bylaws Committee: No report.	
	Top of Page
Elections & Balloting Committee: The results of elections so far have been appended agenda for this meeting in Attachment 1 . At-large elections are still in progress.	d to the
	Top of Page
Academic Standards Committee: No report.	
	Top of Page
<u>Academic Programs Committee:</u> Committee Chairperson Sunil Ahuja reported. Its by the committee are shown in <u>Attachment 2</u> .	ems approved
	Top of Page
<u>Undergraduate Curriculum Committee:</u> A list of approved courses is contained in A	Attachment 3.
	Top of Page

Ad Hoc Committee on Student Academic Grievance Procedures:

Gabriel Palmer-Fernandez presented a report on the Committee's consideration of student grievance procedures. A summary of the changes that the committee recommends is contained in Attachment 4. Dr. Palmer-Fernandez moved that the Academic Senate Adopt the following language as a complete replacement for Section B of Article IX of *The Code*:

ACADEMIC PROCEDURES

Section B. Undergraduate Student Academic Grievance Procedure

1. Introduction

- a. This section applies to students taking courses in pursuit of an associate's or bachelor's degree, and other students taking courses for undergraduate credit.
- b. Grievances filed concerning academic matters must conform to the process described in this section.
- c. The Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee, an appointed chartered subcommittee of the Academic Senate, is the sole body responsible for adjudicating grievances concerning academic matters. The Student Academic Affairs Committee, an appointed chartered committee of the Academic Senate, is the sole appeals body for decisions made by a Grievance Hearing Panel of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee. Any grievances concerning academic matters filed and adjudicated by bodies or processes not specified in this section are null and void.
- d. This procedure is not intended, nor should it be used, as a means of modifying, changing, or addressing University policies which are mandated by the Board of Trustees or adopted by any policy-making agency of the University.

2. Academic Matters That May Be Grieved

- a. Academic matters that may be grieved are the following:
 - (1) Sanctions imposed by faculty regarding academic dishonesty. See Section A, Academic Honesty, above.
 - (2) Material deviation from the grading scale or weight distribution indicated on the course syllabus by the faculty member, to the detriment of the individual student or the entire class.
- b. Other areas of contention between a student and a faculty member may not be grieved under this section. The student should consult the department Chair of the faculty member's department or the Dean of the college housing the faculty member's department for further advisement in these situations.

- 3. Parties Directly Involved In The Grievance Procedure
 - a. The parties directly involved in the grievance procedure are as follows:
 - (1) Student. The student who files the grievance.
 - (2) Faculty member. The faculty member against whom the grievance is filed.
 - (3) Associate Provost for Academic Administration, or designee.
 - (4) Department chair. The Chairperson of the department in which the faculty member resides.
 - (5) Dean. The Dean of the college in which the faculty member's department is housed.
 - (6) Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee. An appointed chartered subcommittee of the Academic Senate charged with adjudicating undergraduate student academic grievances. The Subcommittee is composed of six faculty members, with representation from each undergraduate college of the University; six undergraduate students, with representation from each undergraduate college of the University; and the Associate Provost for Academic Administration, or designee, who serves as Judicial Chair.
 - (7) Grievance Hearing Panel. Conducts the formal hearing and renders a decision about the grievance. The Panel is drawn from the membership of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee and consists of a minimum of two faculty members, two undergraduate students, and the Judicial Chair.
 - (8) Student Academic Affairs Committee. An appointed chartered committee of the Academic Senate charged with making policy recommendations related to the academic sector of student affairs. In the context of this section, the Committee may hear an appeal of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision.
 - (9) Appeal Panel. Considers appeals of decisions rendered by a Grievance Hearing Panel. The Panel is drawn from the membership of the Student Academic Affairs Committee and consists of a minimum of two faculty members, two undergraduate students, and the Chair of the Student Academic Affairs Committee.
 - b. Further descriptions of the duties and functions of some of the parties listed above are given later in this section.

c. In all steps of the grievance process, both the student and the faculty member may avail themselves of the services of an advisor. Such an advisor may be drawn from within or outside the University community.

4. Overview of the Grievance Process

- a. Depending upon the disposition of the grievance, there are three possible phases of the grievance process.
- b. The three phases are:
 - (1) Pre-Grievance hearing activities
 - (2) Grievance hearing
 - (3) Appeal
- c. Agreement may be reached between the student and the faculty member at any time during the process. Such an agreement ends the grievance.
- 5. Phase One: Pre-Grievance hearing activities
 - a. Upon discovery of an event the student wishes to grieve, the student may first attempt to resolve the conflict through discussion with the faculty member.
 - b. If the matter is not resolved from any such student / faculty member discussion, the student must then initiate discussion with the department chair.
 - c. If the matter is not resolved from the student / chair discussion, the student must then initiate discussion with the dean. This discussion with the dean is to occur as soon as possible after the student's discussion with the department chair in order to meet the time deadline discussed in item d. immediately below.
 - d. If the matter is not settled following these discussions, the student must submit a written statement describing the complaint on the standard Grievance Form, available from the Office of the Provost. This completed form must be submitted to the Office of the Provost no later than 5:00 PM the fourth Friday in the semester following the incident. Specifically, the Grievance Form for incidents occurring in Fall semester must be filed no later than 5:00 PM of the fourth Friday of the subsequent

Spring semester; the Grievance Form for incidents occurring in Spring or Summer semesters must be filed no later than 5:00 PM of the fourth Friday of the subsequent Fall semester.

- e. The Associate Provost for Academic Administration will assess the validity of the complaint within fifteen University working days of receipt of the Grievance Form, the seventh Friday of the semester.
 - (1) If the complaint is assessed as non-grievable, the student is informed in writing by the Associate Provost for Academic Administration and the matter is closed.
 - (2) If the complaint is assessed as grievable, the Associate Provost for Academic Administration initiates Phase Two of the grievance process.

6. Phase Two: Grievance Hearing

- a. Within five University working days of initiating Phase Two of the grievance process, the Office of the Provost shall distribute copies of the completed grievance form to the student, faculty member, department chair, appropriate dean, and Chair of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee. In addition, the Office of the Provost shall distribute a copy of this grievance procedure, an outline of each party's rights and obligations, and an Acknowledgment/Response Form to the faculty member, department chair, and dean.
- b. Within six University working days of receiving the completed grievance form, the copy of the grievance procedure, and the outline of each party's rights and responsibilities, the faculty member, department chair, and dean must return the Acknowledgment / Response Form to the Office of the Provost. All these documents together constitute the Grievance Packet.
- c. The Office of the Provost shall submit the entire Grievance Packet to the Chair of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee within two University working days of receipt of all Acknowledgment/Response Forms.
- d. Upon receipt of the completed Grievance Packet, the Chair of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee will form a Grievance Hearing Panel to consider the complaint.
- e. A hearing date, time, and place will be established by the Chair of the Student

Academic Grievance Subcommittee. All affected parties will be notified so as to afford the parties an opportunity to be present. If a faculty member cannot or refuses to participate in the hearing, the faculty member's department chair shall provide a substitute who will exercise all the rights and responsibilities of the absent faculty member.

f. Grievance hearing principles and procedures

- (1) No member of a Grievance Hearing Panel will hear a case directly affecting him/her.
- (2) Prior to the hearing, the Grievance Hearing Panel members shall review all written materials in the Grievance Packet submitted by the affected parties.
- (3) The Grievance Hearing Panel shall consider only the information contained in the Grievance Packet.
- (4) During the hearing, the following rights are guaranteed to the student and the faculty member: the right to be present; the right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice; the right to speak in support of their argument; the right to present information directly supporting their written items in the Grievance Packet, including oral testimony; and the right to refute information presented. Accompanying advisors may not speak or present testimony.
- (5) After hearing both sides, the Grievance Hearing Panel shall meet in closed session to review the information presented and reach a decision.
- (6) Both parties shall be informed of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision at the conclusion of the Panel's deliberations.

g. Documentation of Grievance Hearing Panel's decision

- (1) A written statement of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision shall be prepared and signed by the Panel's chair.
- (2) This written statement of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision shall be forwarded to the student, faculty member, department chair, dean, and provost within three University working days of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision.
- (3) The forwarding of the written statement of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision ends the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee's involvement in the disposition of the grievance.

(4) A file of all pertinent documents of all grievances shall be kept by the Office of the Provost.

7. Phase Three: Appeal

- a. There are three bases upon which a written appeal of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision may be based:
 - (1) New information. Information not available at the time of the original hearing is found by the student or faculty member.
 - (2) Insufficient evidence. The student or faculty member believes that evidence presented was not sufficient to justify the decision.
 - (3) Procedural violations. The student or faculty member alleges one or more violations of the procedure leading up to and including the Grievance Hearing.
- b. Either the student or the faculty member may file a written appeal of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision. Such an appeal shall be filed at the Office of the Provost within six University working days of receipt of the written notification of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision.
- c. The Office of the Provost shall forward the written appeal to the Chair of the Student Academic Affairs Committee within two University working days.
- d. If the basis of the appeal is new information or insufficient evidence as noted in item a. above, the appeal shall be reviewed by a quorum of the Student Academic Affairs Committee within twelve University working days of receipt by the Chair of the Student Academic Affairs Committee of the written appeal forwarded from the Office of the Provost. Such appeals are not heard as original cases and may be concluded by the Student Academic Affairs Committee on the basis of the written information provided.
- e. If the basis of the appeal is alleged procedural violations as noted in item a. above, within twelve University working days of receipt of the written appeal forwarded from the Office of the Provost, the Chair of the Student Academic Affairs Committee shall convene an Appeal Panel to consider the appeal. This panel shall rule only on whether procedural violations occurred.

- (1) If the Appeal Panel rules that no procedural violations occurred, the decision of the Grievance Hearing Panel is upheld and the matter is closed.
- (2) If the Appeal Panel rules that procedural violations occurred, the case will be remanded to the full Student Academic Affairs Committee for further deliberation. Within twelve University working days of the Appeal Panel's finding of procedural violations, a quorum of the full Student Academic Affairs Committee shall undertake a full examination of the case. The decision reached by the Student Academic Affairs Committee is final and may not be appealed.
- f. The Chair of the Student Academic Affairs Committee shall communicate decisions rendered on appeals cases within three University working days to the Office of the Provost.

Motion was seconded, and discussion was invited on the motion.

Michael Finney, President of YSU-OEA and Professor of English, explained YSU-OEA's role and stake in this matter: Article 25.8 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement refers to a Senate policy of May 31, 1989. A couple of years ago there was a procedural objection, and we tried to find that policy. When we found it, we discovered that it was outdated. The Code had been updated without coming back to Senate and YSU-OEA for approval. This was one of the items that led to this Ad Hoc Committee. This is a matter for negotiations. Ron Chordas and I will recommend to the joint negotiating teams that these changes be approved and incorporated into the Agreement. But any changes after that would then require negotiations. I know there are some amendments to be made, and I can't guarantee that I would support the changes if those amendments are approved.

Cynthia Hirtzel: A question: The Agreement says that faculty must provide a graded piece of work prior to the drop date. Why is that not included? That can really harm a whole class. Can this be added as a friendly amendment? J.T. Holt: Student Government looked this over and wants to propose two motions. The first of which may cover this. J. T. Holt introduction Motion #1, to append the following item to Section B, Article 2A: ''(3) Failure by the faculty member to uphold any obligations, as stated in the contracts agreed to by the faculty member, to the detriment of the individual student or the entire class." Motion was seconded. J.T. Holt: All we're trying to do is ensure that contractual obligations are adhered to and not broken in such a way as to be of detriment to the student. Students should have due process. Vern Haynes: Agreement already requires this. If it is not done, that's an Administration action that needs to be taken. Senate does not have the right to intervene in the collective bargaining process. If this passes, there would not be any legal way for enforcement. This introduces the Senate as an arbitrator, and it will introduce huge problems. David Porter: Students have some very good points, but I'm concerned about the phrase "uphold any obligations" -- it is possibly too vague. David Porter Moved to amend the language of the Holt amendment to read,"Material breach of faculty contractual obligations, to the detriment of the individual student or the entire class." Motion was

seconded. Gabriel Palmer-Fernandez: This is too broad. Shouldn't it be narrowed to include teaching-related contractual matters? David Porter: I am amenable to changing it. Vern Haynes: Grievances can take over a year. How will the timelines be affected. Gabriel Palmer-Fernandez moved to amend David Porter's amendment to read, "Material breach of faculty contractual obligations as specified in the article on Teaching Rights and Responsibilities in the Collective Bargaining Agreement, to the detriment of the individual student or the entire class." Motion passed. A vote was then taken on the amended original motion. Holt Motion #1 passed as amended.

J.T. Holt then introduced Motion #2, to append the following item to Section B, Article 2A: "Circumstances other than those mentioned in items (1), (2), and (3) above that are deemed grievable in a formal statement written by the Dean of the college housing the faculty member's department". Motion was seconded. Vern Haynes: I've specialized in grievances, and I see a world of problems with this. This motion will doom it in terms of the collective bargaining process. This would give unilateral control to the administration. It is too broad. I've seen too many cases in which administrators all took one side and an arbitrator ruled against it. J.T. Holt: We understand this concern, but we would like to think that the person in that position would have enough sense to determine if the grievance is worthy. David Porter: Vote against this. The amendment we just approved covers what is needed, and this one is dilatory. A vote was then taken on Holt Motion #2: Motion failed.

A vote was then taken on the main motion as amended. Motion Passed.

[Secretary's note: The complete amended and approved language is included below:]

ACADEMIC PROCEDURES

Section B. Undergraduate Student Academic Grievance Procedure

1. Introduction

- a. This section applies to students taking courses in pursuit of an associate's or bachelor's degree, and other students taking courses for undergraduate credit.
- b. Grievances filed concerning academic matters must conform to the process described in this section.
- c. The Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee, an appointed chartered subcommittee of the Academic Senate, is the sole body responsible for adjudicating grievances concerning academic matters. The Student Academic Affairs Committee,

an appointed chartered committee of the Academic Senate, is the sole appeals body for decisions made by a Grievance Hearing Panel of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee. Any grievances concerning academic matters filed and adjudicated by bodies or processes not specified in this section are null and void.

d. This procedure is not intended, nor should it be used, as a means of modifying, changing, or addressing University policies which are mandated by the Board of Trustees or adopted by any policy-making agency of the University.

2. Academic Matters That May Be Grieved

- a. Academic matters that may be grieved are the following:
 - (1) Sanctions imposed by faculty regarding academic dishonesty. See Section A, Academic Honesty, above.
 - (2) Material deviation from the grading scale or weight distribution indicated on the course syllabus by the faculty member, to the detriment of the individual student or the entire class.
 - (3) Material breach of faculty contractual obligations as specified in the article on Teaching Rights and Responsibilities in the Collective Bargaining Agreement, to the detriment of the individual student or the entire class.
- b. Other areas of contention between a student and a faculty member may not be grieved under this section. The student should consult the department Chair of the faculty member's department or the Dean of the college housing the faculty member's department for further advisement in these situations.

3. Parties Directly Involved In The Grievance Procedure

- a. The parties directly involved in the grievance procedure are as follows:
 - (1) Student. The student who files the grievance.
 - (2) Faculty member. The faculty member against whom the grievance is filed.
 - (3) Associate Provost for Academic Administration, or designee.
 - (4) Department chair. The Chairperson of the department in which the faculty member resides.

- (5) Dean. The Dean of the college in which the faculty member's department is housed.
- (6) Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee. An appointed chartered subcommittee of the Academic Senate charged with adjudicating undergraduate student academic grievances. The Subcommittee is composed of six faculty members, with representation from each undergraduate college of the University; six undergraduate students, with representation from each undergraduate college of the University; and the Associate Provost for Academic Administration, or designee, who serves as Judicial Chair.
- (7) Grievance Hearing Panel. Conducts the formal hearing and renders a decision about the grievance. The Panel is drawn from the membership of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee and consists of a minimum of two faculty members, two undergraduate students, and the Judicial Chair.
- (8) Student Academic Affairs Committee. An appointed chartered committee of the Academic Senate charged with making policy recommendations related to the academic sector of student affairs. In the context of this section, the Committee may hear an appeal of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision.
- (9) Appeal Panel. Considers appeals of decisions rendered by a Grievance Hearing Panel. The Panel is drawn from the membership of the Student Academic Affairs Committee and consists of a minimum of two faculty members, two undergraduate students, and the Chair of the Student Academic Affairs Committee.
- b. Further descriptions of the duties and functions of some of the parties listed above are given later in this section.
- c. In all steps of the grievance process, both the student and the faculty member may avail themselves of the services of an advisor. Such an advisor may be drawn from within or outside the University community.

4. Overview of the Grievance Process

- a. Depending upon the disposition of the grievance, there are three possible phases of the grievance process.
- b. The three phases are:

- (1) Pre-Grievance hearing activities
- (2) Grievance hearing
- (3) Appeal
- c. Agreement may be reached between the student and the faculty member at any time during the process. Such an agreement ends the grievance.
- 5. Phase One: Pre-Grievance hearing activities
 - a. Upon discovery of an event the student wishes to grieve, the student may first attempt to resolve the conflict through discussion with the faculty member.
 - b. If the matter is not resolved from any such student / faculty member discussion, the student must then initiate discussion with the department chair.
 - c. If the matter is not resolved from the student / chair discussion, the student must then initiate discussion with the dean. This discussion with the dean is to occur as soon as possible after the student's discussion with the department chair in order to meet the time deadline discussed in item d. immediately below.
 - d. If the matter is not settled following these discussions, the student must submit a written statement describing the complaint on the standard Grievance Form, available from the Office of the Provost. This completed form must be submitted to the Office of the Provost no later than 5:00 PM the fourth Friday in the semester following the incident. Specifically, the Grievance Form for incidents occurring in Fall semester must be filed no later than 5:00 PM of the fourth Friday of the subsequent Spring semester; the Grievance Form for incidents occurring in Spring or Summer semesters must be filed no later than 5:00 PM of the fourth Friday of the subsequent Fall semester.
 - e. The Associate Provost for Academic Administration will assess the validity of the complaint within fifteen University working days of receipt of the Grievance Form, the seventh Friday of the semester.
 - (1) If the complaint is assessed as non-grievable, the student is informed in writing by the Associate Provost for Academic Administration and the matter is closed.
 - (2) If the complaint is assessed as grievable, the Associate Provost for Academic

Administration initiates Phase Two of the grievance process.

6. Phase Two: Grievance Hearing

- a. Within five University working days of initiating Phase Two of the grievance process, the Office of the Provost shall distribute copies of the completed grievance form to the student, faculty member, department chair, appropriate dean, and Chair of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee. In addition, the Office of the Provost shall distribute a copy of this grievance procedure, an outline of each party's rights and obligations, and an Acknowledgment/Response Form to the faculty member, department chair, and dean.
- b. Within six University working days of receiving the completed grievance form, the copy of the grievance procedure, and the outline of each party's rights and responsibilities, the faculty member, department chair, and dean must return the Acknowledgment / Response Form to the Office of the Provost. All these documents together constitute the Grievance Packet.
- c. The Office of the Provost shall submit the entire Grievance Packet to the Chair of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee within two University working days of receipt of all Acknowledgment/Response Forms.
- d. Upon receipt of the completed Grievance Packet, the Chair of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee will form a Grievance Hearing Panel to consider the complaint.
- e. A hearing date, time, and place will be established by the Chair of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee. All affected parties will be notified so as to afford the parties an opportunity to be present. If a faculty member cannot or refuses to participate in the hearing, the faculty member's department chair shall provide a substitute who will exercise all the rights and responsibilities of the absent faculty member.

f. Grievance hearing principles and procedures

- (1) No member of a Grievance Hearing Panel will hear a case directly affecting him/her.
- (2) Prior to the hearing, the Grievance Hearing Panel members shall review all written materials in the Grievance Packet submitted by the affected parties.

- (3) The Grievance Hearing Panel shall consider only the information contained in the Grievance Packet.
- (4) During the hearing, the following rights are guaranteed to the student and the faculty member: the right to be present; the right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice; the right to speak in support of their argument; the right to present information directly supporting their written items in the Grievance Packet, including oral testimony; and the right to refute information presented. Accompanying advisors may not speak or present testimony.
- (5) After hearing both sides, the Grievance Hearing Panel shall meet in closed session to review the information presented and reach a decision.
- (6) Both parties shall be informed of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision at the conclusion of the Panel's deliberations.
- g. Documentation of Grievance Hearing Panel's decision
 - (1) A written statement of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision shall be prepared and signed by the Panel's chair.
 - (2) This written statement of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision shall be forwarded to the student, faculty member, department chair, dean, and provost within three University working days of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision.
 - (3) The forwarding of the written statement of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision ends the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee's involvement in the disposition of the grievance.
 - (4) A file of all pertinent documents of all grievances shall be kept by the Office of the Provost.

7. Phase Three: Appeal

- a. There are three bases upon which a written appeal of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision may be based:
 - (1) New information. Information not available at the time of the original

hearing is found by the student or faculty member.

- (2) Insufficient evidence. The student or faculty member believes that evidence presented was not sufficient to justify the decision.
- (3) Procedural violations. The student or faculty member alleges one or more violations of the procedure leading up to and including the Grievance Hearing.
- b. Either the student or the faculty member may file a written appeal of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision. Such an appeal shall be filed at the Office of the Provost within six University working days of receipt of the written notification of the Grievance Hearing Panel's decision.
- c. The Office of the Provost shall forward the written appeal to the Chair of the Student Academic Affairs Committee within two University working days.
- d. If the basis of the appeal is new information or insufficient evidence as noted in item a. above, the appeal shall be reviewed by a quorum of the Student Academic Affairs Committee within twelve University working days of receipt by the Chair of the Student Academic Affairs Committee of the written appeal forwarded from the Office of the Provost. Such appeals are not heard as original cases and may be concluded by the Student Academic Affairs Committee on the basis of the written information provided.
- e. If the basis of the appeal is alleged procedural violations as noted in item a. above, within twelve University working days of receipt of the written appeal forwarded from the Office of the Provost, the Chair of the Student Academic Affairs Committee shall convene an Appeal Panel to consider the appeal. This panel shall rule only on whether procedural violations occurred.
 - (1) If the Appeal Panel rules that no procedural violations occurred, the decision of the Grievance Hearing Panel is upheld and the matter is closed.
 - (2) If the Appeal Panel rules that procedural violations occurred, the case will be remanded to the full Student Academic Affairs Committee for further deliberation. Within twelve University working days of the Appeal Panel's finding of procedural violations, a quorum of the full Student Academic Affairs Committee shall undertake a full examination of the case. The decision reached by the Student Academic Affairs Committee is final and may not be appealed.
- f. The Chair of the Student Academic Affairs Committee shall communicate decisions rendered on appeals cases within three University working days to the Office of the

Provost. Top of Page **Unfinished Business: None.** Top of Page New business: A presentation on the Campus Master Plan was given by Hunter Morrison and David Reed. Top of Page Adjournment: The Academic Senate adjourned at 5:25 p.m. Top of Page Print or Read a PDF File of May Sign-in Sheet Top of Page

Return to Top of Page

Return to Senate Homepage

For further information, e-mail **Bob Hogue**.

COVER SHEET TO BE ATTACHED TO ALL REPORTS SUBMITTED TO THE ACADEMIC SENATE

Date _	May, 2005	Report Number	er (For Senate Use Only)
Name	of Committee Su	ıbmitting Report _	Elections and Balloting
	,	ected chartered, app	pointed chartered, ad hoc, etc.) _Elected
	tte M. Burden, Re		rine Greig, Hazel Pierson, Jane Reid, Misook
	ts for Arts & Scie Department Ser Chester Diana Fr Gary Wr Daryl M Rick Sh Sandra S Jeffrey I Annette Gabriel	nmary of the report ence Senate At-Large nators for 2006-200 Cooper, Biology agan, Biology alker, Biology lincey, Chemistry ale, English Stephen, English Dick, Geology Burden, Mathema Palmer-Fernandez, ipka, Philosophy	07: tics
Do yo	ou anticipate maki	ng a formal motion	n relative to the report?No
If so,	state the motion:		
would	the committee pr	•	recommendation are made from the floor, er be sent back to committee for further
Other	relevant data:		
			Annette M. Burden, Jane Reid

Annette M. Burden, Jane Re Co-Chairs

COVER SHEET TO BE ATTACHED TO ALL REPORTS SUBMITTED TO THE ACADEMIC SENATE

Date _	_April 25, 2005	Re	port Number (For Senate Use Only)
Name	of Committee Submitting	Report _	Academic Programs Committee
Comn	nittee Status: (elected chartAppointed	-	ppointed chartered, ad hoc, etc.)
Akpoı Bill V	n, Lauren Cummins, Maria endemia, Marty Countryma	Delost an (acad	2005 members are Sunil Ahuja (chair), Kathy t, Howard Mettee, Greg Moring, Elvin Shields, demic advisor), Bege Bowers (ex officio), Jim icio, UCC chair), Jeff Turose (student).
Please	write a brief summary of t	he repo	ort the Committee is submitting to the Senate:
			ng 11 proposals. They were circulated, no
			ng reported for informational purposes only.
	and Theater. APD#018M-05 – Nonprof Marketing/Management/APD#019P-05 – Nonprof Marketing/Management/APD#020M-05 – Applied APD#022P-05 – Respirate APD#024P-05 – Commun Theater. APD#026M-05 – Graphic APD#029M-05 – Art & TAPD#032M-05 – Painting APD#034M-05 – Photographical APD#0	fit Lead Account Account Account I History Fory The Mication Cechnology For No	ership Certificate Program – CHANGE –
			on relative to the report?No
would	the committee prefer that	the matt	e recommendation are made from the floor, ter be sent back to committee for further
Other	relevant data:		
			_Sunil Ahuja, Chair

MEMO

Bob Hogue, Secretary Academic Senate TO:

FROM:

Gregg Sturrus, Chair University Curriculum Committee

SUBJECT: Completed Course Proposals

DATE: May 2, 2005

UCD#	Catalog #	Course Title	Action
072-05	CLTEC 2603	Topics in Clinical Laboratory	Add
		Technology	
076-05	ISEGR 4881	Competitive Manufacturing	Add
		Management	
077-05	MECH 2606	Engineering Materials	Change
078-05	MTEGR 2606	Engineering Materials	Change
084-05	MTEGR 3753	Materials Used in Electrical Devices	Add
086-05	PSYCH 3712	Industrial /Organizational	Change
		Psychology	

UCD#	Catalog #	Course Title	Action
073-05	PSYCH 4834	ABA Principles II:Behavioral	Add
		Assessment and Methodology	
074-05	PSYCH 4835	ABA Principles III:Behavioral	Add
		Change Procedures	
075-05	PSYCH 3734	ABA Principles I:Applied Behavior	Change
		Analysis	
089-05	MECH 4808,4809	Mechanical Systems Design I,II	Change
090-05	ART 4805	Urban Internship in Art	Add
091-05	ART 4866	Business Skills for the Artist	Delete
092-05	ART 1520	Introduction to Visual Skills	Delete
093-05	COMST 1530	Communication Theory	Change
094-05	ECE 2629	Best Practices in ECE	Add
097-05	GERMN 3751	Cultural Heritage II	Change
098-05	GERMN 3750	Cultural Heritage I	Change
099-05	PHYS 5826	Nuclear Physics	Change
100-05	PHYS 4805	Undergraduate Physics Research	Change
101-05	PHYS 5835	Spectroscopy	Change
102-05	PHYS 5830	Condensed Matter Physics	Change

UCD#	Catalog #	Course Title	Action
060-05	RESPC 4840	Respiratory Seminar	Delete
079-05	ISEGR 3723L	Manufacturing Processes Laboratory	Change
080-05	MTEGR 3723L	Manufacturing Processes Laboratory	Change
081-05	MECH 3723	Manufacturing Processes	Change
082-05	ISEGR 3723	Manufacturing Processes	Change
083-05	MTEGR 3723	Manufacturing Processes	Change
085-05	MECH 3723L	Manufacturing Processes Laboratory	Change
105-05	GERMN 3705	German Film & Culture since 1950	Add
106-05	GERMN 3725	Phonetics & History of the	Add
		Language	
107-05	GERMN 4861	Literary Trends since 1950	Add
108-05	BIOL 3716	Molecular Microbiology 1:Nucleic	Add
		Acids	
109-05	RESPC 4842	Respiratory Seminar 2	Add
110-05	RESPC 4838	Respiratory Seminar 1	Add

These course proposals have completed the approval process. Please include them in the May Senate Minutes as a contributed report from the University Curriculum Committee. If there is any problem getting them in, please let me know. Thank you.

Report of the Committee on Student Grievance Procedures Academic Senate 4 May 2005

Background

The Ad Hoc Committee for Student Grievances was appointed by the Academic Senate some 18 months ago. Its charge was to update Art IX. Academic Procedures, Section B Undergraduate Student Academic Grievance Procedure of The Code.

The Committee consisted of representatives from Student Government, Faculty, Faculty Union, and Administration. The members were: Angela Mavrikis, President, SGA, J.T. Holt, VP, SGA, Joe Mosca, chair, Bill Buckler, Ron Chordas, Greg Claypool, Michael Finney, and myself.

Synopsis of Proposed Procedures

The proposed Procedures contain seven sections. A very brief outlined is given below:

1. Introduction

- identifies the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee as the sole body for adjudicating grievances concerning academic matters and the Student Academic Affairs Committee as the sole appeals body for decisions made by the Grievance Hearing Panel.
- 2. Academic Matters That May Be Grieved
 - identifies two matters that may be grieved: namely, sanctions imposed by faculty regarding academic dishonesty and material deviations from grading scale or weight distribution as specified in course syllabus, to the detriment of an individual student or the entire class.
- 3. Parties Directly Involved In the Grievance Procedure
 - these are the student, the faculty member, the Associate Provost for Academic Administration, or designee, the department chair, the dean.
 - from Senate, the following: Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee, the Grievance Hearing Panel, the Student Academic Affairs Committee, and then an Appeal Panel
 - o note that the Office of Student Life and the Office of the Vice President for Student Affairs are no longer parties to this procedure
- 4. Grievance Process
 - o 3 Phases: Pre-Grievance Hearing; Grievance Hearing, Appeal

5. Phase One

- o the student "may" attempt to resolve the matter by meeting with the faculty member, rather than the student "should be encouraged" to do so.
- o absent resolution of the matter, the student must initiate discussion with department chair
- o absent resolution of the matter with the chair, the student must initiate discussion with the dean
- o absent resolution of the matter, the student must file a formal statement with the Office of the Provost

- o the Associate Provost for Academic Administration shall then determine the merits of the complaint and either dismiss it or initiate Phase Two of the process
- 6. Phase Two: Grievance Hearing
 - o timelines are specified for distribution of complaint, for response by faculty member, chair and dean, for Office of Provost to submit Grievance Packet to the Chair of the Student Academic Grievance Subcommittee, and for chair of that Subcommittee to appoint a Grievance Hearing Panel
 - o Chair of Subcommittee then assigns date, time, and place for the hearing
 - o hearing principles, procedures, and rights of the parties are specified, so too is the disposition of documents

7. Phase Three: Appeal

- o provides grounds for appeal; specifies timelines
- o if ground of appeal is either new information or insufficient evidence, then the appeal shall be reviewed by a quorum of the Student Academic Affairs Committee
- o if ground of appeal is procedural violation(s), then a panel will be convened to consider the appeal
- o if Appeal Panel concludes that a procedural violation occurred, the case is sent to the full Student Academic Affairs Committee
- o a quorum of that Committee will examine and decide the case. Its decision is final.

Motion

To approve the revised Undergraduate Student Academic Grievance Procedure.

YSU ACADEMIC SENATE ATTENDACE ROSTER – May 4, 2005

At Large Peter Beckett, Psychology Chet Cooper, Biology Vernon Haynes, Psychology Daryl Mincey, Chemistry Jim Morrison, Psychology David Porter, Political Science Rick Shale, English Sandra Stephan, English Thomas Shipka, Phil. & Rel. Studies. Jamal Tartir, Mathematics	Arts and Sciences Departmental (2003-05) Sunil Ahuja, Political Science Isam Amin, Geol. & Env. Sci. Kevin Ball, English Annette Burden, Mathematics Paul Gordiejew, Sociology Robert Kramer, CSIS Gabriel Palmer-Fernandez, Phil. Mike Serra, Chemistry	Departmental (2004-06) Joseph Palardy, Economics Diane Barnes, History Bill Buckler, Geography Iole Checcone, Foreign Lang. Diana Fagan, Biology William Rick Fry, Psychology Tom Oder, Physics & Astronomy
At Large Rangamohan Eunni, Management Ram Kasuganti, Management Sheen Liu, Accounting & Finance	Business Administration At Large, continued Tom Rakestraw, Management Jane Reid, Marketing J. M. R. Her	Departmental Birsen Karpak, Management Ray Shaffer, Accounting & Finance Mark Toncar, Marketing
At Large Sylvia Imler, Teacher Education Margaret Briley, Teacher Educ. Lauren Cummins, Teacher Educ.	Education At Large, continued Patricia Hauschildt, Teacher Educ. Sally Lewis, Teacher Education	Departmental Janet Williams, Teacher Education Donald Martin, Counseling G. Edirisooriya, Educ. Admin.
At Large David Kurtanich, Technology Robert McCoy, Mech. & Indust Eng. Douglas Price, Civil/Env/Chem Eng.	Engineering and Technology Departmental Irfan Khan, Civil/Env/ Chem Eng. Dan Laird, Technology	Departmental (continued) Philip Munro, Elec. & Comp. Eng. Elvin Shields, Mech. & Indust. Eng.
At Large Phil Chan, Art Laura Buch, Music Darla Funk, Music Dennis Henneman, Commun/Theater	Fine and Performing Arts At Large (continued) Christine McCullough, Art John Murphy, Commun/Theater Kut Katherine Umble, Music	Departmental Michelle Nelson, Art Frank Castronovo, Commun/Theater Till Meyn, Music
At Large Louise Aurilio, Nursing Janice Elias, Human Ecology Jean Hassell, Human Ecology Patricia Hoyson, Nursing Christian Onwudiwe, Criminal Just.	Health and Human Services Departmental Kathylynn Feld, Health Professions Elaine Greaves, Criminal Justice Shirley Keller, Social Work Nancy Landgraff, Physical Therapy	Departmental (continued) John Neville, Human Perf./Exer. Sci. Louise Pavia, Human Ecology Sharon Phillips, Nursing
Robert Bolla Ge Philip Ginnetti Joh	Administration Ity Jo Licata Orge McCloud On Yemma Inthia Anderson Administration Jonelle Beatri	Paul Kobulnicky Thomas Maraffa
At Large Brett Hudspeth, A&S Robert McGovern, A&S Sonal Patel, A&S Jeff Turose, WCBA Josh Sturges, WCBA	Students School / College Erin Burns, A&S Cassandra Flora, Education Jennifer Davidson, E&T Carmen DiPinti, F&PA Christa Flora, HHS Amanda Mielke, WCBA	Student Government Angela Mavrikis, President JH JT JT Justin Truitt, 2 nd VP

Casey Annico, Graduate Studies