## ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES

MARCH 4, 1992
CALL TO ORDER

## RECEIVED

MAR 131992

Chair Jenkins announced a quorum and called the meeting to order at 4:03 p.m.

## MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 5, 1992

D. Hovey moved that the Minutes of the February 5, 1992, Senate meeting be approved as distributed. The motion was seconded by P. Baldino. Motion approved.

## ELECTIONS AND BALLOTING COMMITTEE

No report.

## CHARTER AND BYLAWS COMMITTTEE

No report.

## SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTTEE REPORT

It is no secret that the state of Ohio faces difficult financial times. Youngstown State University has been affected already by budget cuts and apparently will continue to be affected in the upcoming fiscal year. In addition, the Board of Regents is examining the possibility of returning to the funding formula used prior to 1980. Such a development would have a horrendous impact on our institution. Thus, the Senate Executive Committee is recommending that the Senate pass a resolution directing the Senate Executive Committee to draft a letter to our representatives and the appropriate budget committees of the Ohio Senate indicating the adverse impact that any reduction in funding levels would have on our institution and the status of higher education.

There is a letter at the back of the auditorium that you can pick up drafted by the Ohio University Senate. It is their hope that we might do something similar. The Governor recently made a commitment to elementary and secondary education. Someone is going to take a hit.

## Motion To Request Senate Executive Committee To Draft a Letter

W. Jenkins moved that the Chair of the Senate draft a letter to be forwarded to representatives and appropriate budget committees. Motion seconded.
G. Kombluth--What was the budget formula before 1980 ?

Chair--It would take at least an hour to explain. I do not feel that I am up to speed on this topic. It is not based on reclassification. There are certain areas receiving funding that would not receive funding if we reverted to the earlier funding formula.
G. Sutton--The funding formula back in the ancient days was based strictly on student credit hours production. We moved to a system that included Student Services funding based on head count, Maintenance funding based on capital value, and Library funding based on the number of programs.

## Report on Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor

D. Rost--This seems an appropriate place to give the Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor report.

On Thursday, the Govemor announced that he would not advocate any additional cuts to $\mathrm{K}-12$ for 1992-1993. There are three major areas of moneys at the state level: 1) Medic-Aid and Human Services -- untouchable and uncontrollable, 2) K-12 -- now in a hold-harmless situation, and 3) Higher Education.

In 1991-1992 there will be a $\$ 275$ million shortfall. $\$ 100$ million came from a rainy day fund, $\$ 22$ million from accelerated collections (a one-time help), $\$ 55.7$ million from higher education, $\$ 88.3$ million from $K-12$, plus some other small bits.

In 1992-1993 a $\$ 500$ million shortfall is predicted, some to be covered by the sin taxes proposed but not yet in place and some to be covered by privatization of the liquor business. That leaves higher education.

The sense seems to be that the Governor will not budge on this. He feels there is a lot more money being spent in higher education than there needs to be.

This goes with a general question. What will be the public's will to support higher education? What will be the public's ability to support higher education? We seem to be at a cross-roads point. The nation seems to be moving away from the GI Bill commitment following the war.

There are some actions underway to promote advocacy of higher education. There has been a study group of Trustees formed to discuss this also. The Boards of Trustees have been asked to provide a member each to this group.

We need to work more closely with the two- and four-year institutions to pull together. The split on the subsidy system we had last year was very divisive and very dangerous. We must avoid that sort of action.

There was some discussion and questions on the activities of the Managing for the Future Task Force, state wide. I'll not go into that at this time as I need to share this with our local Task Force first.

Question--When will they make a decision on Funding?
D. Rost--The report will probably be out in June or July. The decision could be made at any time. The impression, however, is that the Task Force will be in the business of slash and not mildly.

## Motion Passes.

I am sure that everyone is also aware of the status of the presidential search. The Search

Committee has narrowed the field to three candidates -- Dr. Leslie Cochran of Southeast Missouri State University, Dr. Janet Greenwood of the University of Bridgeport, and Dr. H. Ray Hoops of the University of Mississippi. They will be coming on campus starting next Wednesday, March 11 through Monday, March 16. The Board of Trustees has limited the interviewing bodies to a small number of preselected individuals. In the case of the faculty, the Board selected the Senate Executive Committee and the Graduate council as the appropriate bodies since they have been elected by the faculty. Let me also note that some questions have been raised about the records of some of the semi-finalists. The reason why they might make it to that stage is that Lamalie Associates presented only vita and references until that time to protect the confidentiality of the candidates. They did not want anyone checking on campuses until it was clear that they were among those selected for definite consideration. It is important for us to scrutinize these candidates. To that end, Lamalie Associates will be undertaking an investigation of candidates' backgrounds. As a member of the Search Committee, let me assure you that we will undertake to investigate any questions that might arise regarding the qualifications of the three candidates.

Finally, I have recently received a letter from Dr. Thomas A. Shipka announcing that he is resigning from the Senate Executive Committee and the Academic Senate. As per the Constitution and Bylaws, I have had Nancy White, the Arts and Sciences representative on the Elections and Balloting Committee, search her records to determine who finished as the runner-up for each position. Hence, Dr. Joan DiGuilio will become an at-large representative to the Academic Senate and Dr. Gratia Murphy will fill the unexpired three-year term on the Senate Executive Committee.

## REPORT FROM ICP/HONORS COMMITTEE

## G. Kombluth reported.

When the ICP was instituted at this University in the 1970s, the enrollment cap was set at 100. This is a popular program among students. We are looking at various ways to streamline the process. We are asking for an increase in the enrollment cap as an interim solution.

## Motion To Increase ICP Enrollment Cap

G. Kombluth moved "That the enrollment limit for the Individualized Curriculum Program be changed from 100 to 200." Motion seconded by D. Porter.
F. Barger--In the past, when the number of ICPs grew large, it was due to the fact that there were large clusters with similar programs.
G. Kombluth--There are some small clusters. We are looking at these areas.
G. Mapley--Yes, that is the case. I've asked departments to request formal programs to address these clusters. There are presently 13 Fitness Management majors; the number can be expected to rise to 30. There are 10 Pre-Kindergarten Education Majors; the number will possibly rise to 20. There are 5 Nursing Home Administrator majors. This is a new certification requirement.

We are trying to meet community needs by offering courses approved by individual departmental committees to meet student needs and goals.
F. Barger--If this is the situation and this is a stop gap measure and this is not intended to be a steady state, then the motion should be approved with a sunset provision.
G. Kombluth-We cannot tell in advance how long it will take to get approval for the new programs. It will be difficult to know when we can dispense with the revised upper limit.
D. Porter--Once a student signs on, we are obligated to keep the student on ICP until such time as the student graduates. The committee is working to come up with a second program type.
P. Baldino--Question.
----In light of the current State of Ohio situation, there may be a moratorium declared on new majors. This is another reason for no sunset provision.

## Motion Passes.

## REPORT FROM AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE COMPUTER SERVICES COMMITTEE REPORT

D. Decker reported.

Our committee was asked to evaluate the state of electronic technology on campus and determine if the charge to the Computer Services Committee was adequate. We identified the changes that have taken place since the original charge to the committee was developed. The most prominent of these technology changes are:

- The dissemination of microcomputers into virtually every academic and administrative department of the university.
- A significant improvement in the sophistication and capability of communications networks linking electronic devices throughout Youngstown State University's campus.
- A dramatic increase in the type and amount of electronically stored material.
- Significant improvements in the ability to deliver audio and visual information into classrooms and laboratories.

We identified 12 areas that, in a general way, are related to these topics. We concluded that all areas should basically be addressed by one committee. The Library and Media Services committee and the Computer Services Committee both have some of these items; many are in no committee charge.

We recommended that the Library Budget allocation be the charge of one committee. We recognize the need for the campus to develop an information systems plan, but that topic was not part of the charge to our committee.

Motion to Approve Recommendations of the Report and forward to the Charter and Bylaws Committee
D. Decker moved "That the Academic Senate approve the recommendations of the report, and that (if passed) the Senate Executive Committee forward the approved recommendations to the Charter and Bylaws Committee in order to incorporate the changes into the Charter and Bylaws." Motion seconded by P. Baldino.

Chair--If you give your approval, the recommendations are approved by the Senate. It will go to the Charter and Bylaws Committee and they will bring it back for approval to revise the Charter and Bylaws.
D. Hovey--I wonder if, in the interest of clarification, the committee would accept some editorial changes?
D. Decker--Are you saying that the Library Budget Allocation committee should spend the library budget on whatever media the library needs?
D. Robinson-I have some concems about things that have been left out. The Library and Media Services Committee has been working toward the integration of quasi libraries and has given advice to the library conceming procedures and actions of Maag Library. The only thing relative to Maag is the recommendation of any budget allocation. We have been doing more than that and I think these activities should be continued.
D. Decker--I have no problem with what you said. The existing charge does not mention the areas you listed.
G. Sutton-I am curious about why the administrative members have been disenfranchised.

Chair-All administrative members on all committees are nonvoting members.
M. Berger-I find myself in agreement with D. Robinson. The evident change in the charge of the Media and Library Services Committee is it alters the way that committee has functioned. It is true that the committee has spent an inordinate amount of time on budget allocation, but the mission of the library and getting more money is important.
D. Decker--The types of activities you mentioned are not presently listed in the charge and any exclusions were not intentional. The issue was how to coordinate information system activities without dividing the responsibility among committees. There was a dispute over the degree of autonomy of the library. It was not the intent to diminish the work of the Library Budget Allocations Commintee.
B. Bowers--Under nonvoting members, would your committee object to adding another categorythe Reading/Writing Labs?
D. Decker--No. The whole idea of having a single integrated committee was giving a voice to those who have an interest in this area.
H. Yiannaki-Does the organization structure seem to fit the plans of this committee? You are creating a powerful committee to oversee computers. You also state course and programs. This adds a third review level to the present process.
D. Decker--The question of how the university is structured is outside the scope of the committee's charge. If we can figure out a smart way for faculty to provide input to Information Systems when the Information System of the university is not unified, then is there a reason that we should not do it? It was not fruitful for us to discuss the organization structure of the university.

The intent of reviewing course and program proposals that required significant computer usage was that we felt this committee should have some role in approving computer-related courses to determine compatibility of the electronic needs of the programs/courses with the existing infrastructure. Fiber optics would be an example.
H. Yiannaki-We currently have in place a sign-off procedure at all levels to ensure that resources are in place before programs/courses are approved.
D. Decker--This will affect only a small number of courses that depend on electronic technology.
K. Schueller--One of the major things we see is we are not in the list. This committee would have review of our programs and we are not represented. How would our input get to the committee? We certainly have plans on what we want to update and how to update to more modern technology. Telephone services is included. Computer Science is more important than telephone services. We do have an Arts and Science representative. However, there are certain departments that are major users. We need to get more input from the major users.
D. Decker--To address your first point, this proposes a review of new proposals only. In regard to your second point, I understand what you are saying and I recognize that your area has a more intense interest in this committee's activities than other university areas might have, but would it be wise to designate a department rather than a school for committee membership?

## Motion to Refer Back to Committee

H. Eamhart-I have listened to mumbo jumbo. We need to broaden the input from other academic units. The committee needs to react to the input. I move that we refer the motion back to committee. Motion seconded by G. Sutton.
D. Hovey--I think this committee was broadly based. I respect the people on the committee. They took a difficult problem that I thought was insolvable and came up with a solution. I see no reason why the Senate floor is not a good place to offer "mumbo jumbo." The main motion can be amended.
F. Barger-I think there are a number of significant points that have been raised. Those individuals have already given input to the committee. Others with input are going to be consulted. How does the Senate feel? Are all Senators to provide input on all items?
--A lot of work will be duplicated.
D. Decker--I would like to have better directions as to what this committee is to do to avoid what is being termed "mumbo jumbo."
M. Calla--I am not sure we need a Library Committee at all if this other committee exists. We need to know how to get access to electronically stored data. We need to see a resource link between the two committees. There is a paradigm shift going on from printed media to electronic media. We need to get access to electronic media or go back to the old paradigm. The recommendation should go back to committee to make a clarification of the relationship between the two committees or we do not need the other committee.
D. Decker--In what way do you find the relationship between these committees unclear?
M. Calla-We need to know the ways that access will be provided. Can that committee on a regular basis be able to comprehend dynamics?
D. Decker--Will the library allocation be more diffuse? If the answer is Yes, then maybe the resolution would be cross membership.
---Will the Library Allocation Committee be a rubber stamp?
D. Decker--I don't see that the charge implies rubber stamp.
----The committee is structured to revise an existing formula. This is an opportunity for us to have a reason to allocate to schools and programs.
D. Decker--Our commituee did not address the way money is available or how to spend money and how to allocate funds. This committee was asked to evaluate the integrated information services on this campus. It may be that we need to connect these two committees.
D. Genaway--I think the question is "Are the two committees separate and equal?". They are two separate and equal committees.
F. Koknat--I support the motion to refer back to committee. There is a concem about the composition of the committee.
P. Baldino-I think it would be helpful if the report had contained both the past and recommended charges to the committees.

Motion to Refer Back to Committee Passes.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None.

## NEW BUSINESS

None.

## ADJOURNMENT

D. Ruggles moved that the meeting be adjoumed. Motion received a second. Motion Passes. Meeting adjoumed at 4:55 p.m.

## SECRETARY'S NOTE

The attendance roster for 1991-1992 will be appended to the April Agenda.

## APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY <br> At-Large <br> Thomas Bodnovich <br> Anthony Messuri <br> Virginia Phillips <br> Pamela Schuster <br> William Vendemia <br> 

Departmental
**Madeleine Haggerty, A. H.
*Robert Campbell, B.E.T.
*C. Allen Pierce, Crim. Justice **William Wood, Eng. Technology
**Jim Dishaw, Home Economics
*Marsha Kuite, Nursing


## ARTS AND SCIENCES

At-Large
Samuel Floyd Barger
George Beelen
Paul Dalbec
Hugh Earnhart
William Jenkins
Friedrich Koknat
Gratia Murphy
Joan DiGuilio
Ronald Tabak
Fred Viehe


Departmental
**Anthony Sobota, Biology
*James Mike, Chemistry
**Teresa Riley, Economics
*Bege Bowers, English
**John Sarkissian, Foreign Languages
**William Buckler, Geography
*Irram Khawaja, Geology
**John Neville, Health \& Physical Educ.
*Martin Berger, History
**Richard Goldthwait, Math and Comp. Sci.
*Stanley Browne, Philosophy \& Religion
**Edward Mooney, Physics and Astronomy
*David Porter, Political Science
**James Morrison, Psychology
*Beverly Gartland, Sociology, Anthrpology


## BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

At-Large
James Daly
E. Terry Deiderick

Inez Heal
Donald Hovey
Jane S. Reid
Eugene A. Sekeres

## EDUCATION

At-Large
Peter Baldino
Susan deBlois


Departmental
**Phillip Ginnetti, Elementary Education
*Jane Van Galen, Foundations
*Sherry Martinek, Guidance \& Counseling *Louis Hill, Administration \& Sec.
*M. Dean Hoops, Special Education
**James Douglass, Secondary Education


First year of two-year term Second year of two-year term

## ENGINEERING

At-Large
Robert McCoy
Duane Rest


Departmental
*Soon-Sik Lime, Chemical Engineering
*Shakir Husain, Civil Engineering
**Jalal Jalali, Electrical Engineering
**Martin Calla, Industrial Engineering
**Ganesh Kudav, Mechanical Engineering


FINE AND PERFORMING ARTS
At-Large
Joseph Edwards
Darla Funk
Les Hicken
Daniel O'Neill
Tedrow Perkins
David Robinson
James Umble


Departmental
**Geneva Kornbluth, Art
**Susan Sexton, Music
*Frank Castronovo, Speech and Theater

## School/College

At-Large
Bia Brady
Tisha Brady
Craig Brenner
Amber DeJulio
Heen-Darien Mary z. Durbin
Bersulitr
Mare Veynovich
Ex-Officio
Scout Smith, Pres., Stu. Gov. Paul Conley, V. Pres., Stu. Govt. Sharyn Campbell, Second V. President

s

## ADMINISTRATION

Bernard T. Gillis
Bernard Yozwiak John Y emma James Cicarelli David P. Ruggles George E. Sutton David Sweetkind

*First year of two-year term **Second year of two-year term
William Barsch
Shirley Carpenter
David C. Genaway
Sally M. Hotchkiss
Gordon E. Mapley
Charles A. McBriarty
Richard A. McEwing
Harold Yiannaki Harold Yiannaki
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