

Minutes of Meeting of Academic Affairs Committee  
February 9, 1978  
3:00 p.m.

Present: Edgar, Hill, Khawaja, Koug1, Richley, Quinby, Scriven, Shuster, Skarote, Vogel

Actions:

Minutes of the last meeting were moved for approval by Dr. Scriven. Mr. Quinby seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

1. Labor Studies

The committee decided to put the issue of Labor Studies "in advance" until Mrs. Mackall reported back to the committee on a meeting concerning the cross-listing of four courses under the program.

2. Henke memo on selection of Distinguished Professor, etc.

The committee discussed whether this issue was under its charge. It was decided that a communication should be sent to Dr. Kelty informing her that it was the consensus of the committee that the matter is outside the charge of the Academic Affairs Committee. It was suggested that the route of disagreement should be the O.E.A.

3. Medical Laboratory Technology Program

The committee questioned whether the program met the 21 credit hour requirement for non-technical subjects.

The committee discussed the amount of patient contact the program offers. They felt there was some discrepancy between the amount described in the introduction and the amount described in the course content.

Various discrepancies were mentioned. Several prerequisites were inconsistent, different numbers of quarter hours were assigned to the same course and the committee questioned the amount of credit given for clinical hours.

The committee decided to hold action on the issue until the various discrepancies were corrected and questions answered. Dr. Richley agreed to obtain the needed information.

4. Continuing Education *Unit*

The committee expressed the view that they were not in objection to the concept of Continuing Education and that their concern was that the Senate should have the advice of the committee.

It was suggested that the committee should have input from both sides with the opposition presenting their argument first. It was suggested that Dr. Feldmiller or Dr. Loch represent Continuing Education and that Dr. Baldino represent the concerned side.

The committee expressed concern over whether CEU would be recognized by school districts to improve credentials of their teachers. They questioned whether there would be limitations on the size of the program and whether a parallel structure to the one in existence would be set up to send out transcripts.

5. Recalculation of the grade point average

The committee discussed the number of repetitions a student should be allowed to take. It was suggested that the committee should consider the honors qualifications in order that a student would not use recalculation to achieve honors. Further discussion involved determining how to measure the proficiency of the student who repeats a course against the student who masters it the first time.

The discussion was ended because of time.

The committee will meet February 16, 1978 at 3:00 p.m.