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## ABSTRACT

THE STATIC BUCKLING OF CONTINUOUS STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS

> Chavalit Athicomnanta
> Master of Science in Engineering Youngstown State University, Year 1973

The purpose of this thesis is to formulate a matrix-type solution to determine the critical buckling loads of continuous columns and simple, planar, orthogonal, portal frames. Both the stiffness method and flexbility method are utilized and the efficiency of each is investigated.

A variety of boundary conditions are employed including simple supports, fixed supports, and partially restrained supports.

The modal vectors of deformation associated with each oritical buckling load are determined. These modal vectors are combined into a general modal matrix for which the orthogonality conditions are formulated.

The dynamic stability approach to the problem is derived for the purpose of future consideration.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

The elastic stability problem of continuous columns and simple, planar, orthogonal, portal frames have been investigated in recent year using many different methods.
(3)

Timoshenko determined the critical buckling loads of continuous structural systems for various support conditions using classical scalar methods.

Galambos ${ }^{(1)}$ determined the critical buckling loads of continuous portal frames with simple supports and fixed supports by the slope-deflection method.
(2)

Gregory determined the critical buckling loads of continuous structural systems utilizing the matrix stiffness method for the special cases of simple supports and fixed supports.

The purpose of this thesis is to determine the critical buckling loads of continuous columns and simple, planar, orthogonal, portal frames by both the matrix stiffness method and the matrix flexibility method. In addition, the modal matrix is determined for each method and the resulting orthogonality conditions are considered. Partially restrained supports are included, which mathematically are easily converted to either a fixed support or a simple support.

### 1.1 Derivation of Basic Flexibility and Stiffness Matrices

The basic differential equation of a column subjected to both bending stress and axial compressive force $P$ is given by Timoshenko ${ }^{(3)}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)+\frac{F}{E I} y^{\prime \prime}(x) \quad=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E, I$, and $P$ are assumed constant.

The above equation is based upon the following five assumptions;

1. The undeformed member is initially straight.
2. The column is made of perfectly elastic material.
3. The slope of the deformed member is very small compared to unity.
4. The axial loads are applied along the centroidal axis of the column.
5. The effect of shear stress is neglected. Defining $\frac{P}{E I}=k^{2}$, the general solution of equation (1) is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=A \cos k x+B \sin k x+C x+D \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $A, B, C$, and $D$ are determined directly from the boundary conditions of the column.

The sign convention used throughout this work is that given (3) by Timoshenko and is illustrated in Fig. I


Fig. I Sign Convention for the Column Including Sidesway

The column must satisfy the following six boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y(0) & =+\Delta_{A} \\
y(L) & =+\Delta_{B} \\
E I y^{\prime \prime}(0) & =-M_{A B}, \\
E I y^{\prime \prime}(L) & =-M_{B A} \quad  \tag{3}\\
& y^{\prime}(0) \\
\text { where } & =+\theta_{A B}=\theta_{A B}^{*}+\frac{\Delta}{L}, \text { and } \\
y^{\prime}(L) & \left.=-\left(\theta_{B A}^{*}-\frac{\Delta}{L}\right)=\Delta-\theta_{B A}{ }^{*}\right]
\end{array}
$$

The constants $A, B, C$ and $D$ of equation (2) determined by using the first boundary conditions, given in equation (3), become

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
A & =\frac{M_{A B}}{E I}  \tag{4}\\
B & =\frac{M_{B A}}{k^{2} E I} \frac{1}{\sin k I}-\frac{M_{A B}}{k^{2} E I} \frac{\cos k L}{\sin k L} \\
C & =\frac{\Delta}{L}+\frac{1}{L}\left(\frac{M_{A B}}{k^{2} E I}-\frac{M_{B A}}{k^{2} E I}\right), \text { and } \\
D & =\triangle_{A}-\frac{M_{A B}}{k^{2} E I}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Combining equations (2) and (4) together with the last two boundary conditions given in equation (3), it follows that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{A B}^{*}=\frac{M_{A B}}{k E I}\left(\frac{1}{k L}-\frac{1}{\tan k L}\right)+\frac{M_{B A}}{k E I}\left(\frac{1}{\sin k L}-\frac{1}{k L}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{BA}}^{*}=\frac{M_{\mathrm{AB}}}{\mathrm{kEI}}\left(\frac{1}{\sin k L}-\frac{1}{k L}\right)+\frac{M_{B A}}{k E I}\left(\frac{1}{k L}-\frac{1}{\tan k L}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience the following definitions are introduced:
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 u=k L, \\
& \psi(u)=\frac{3}{2 u}\left(\frac{1}{2 u}-\frac{1}{\tan 2 u}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& \phi(u)=\frac{3}{u}\left(\frac{1}{\sin 2 u}-\frac{1}{2 u}\right) . \\
& \text { It follows that equations (5) and (6) reduce }
\end{align*}
$$

to the scalar form
and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{\theta}_{A B}^{*}=\frac{M_{A D} L}{3 E I} \psi(u)+\frac{M_{B E L}}{6 E I} \phi(u),  \tag{8}\\
\theta_{B A}^{*}=\frac{M_{A D} L}{6 E I} \phi(u)+\frac{M_{B A} L}{3 E I} \psi(u) .
\end{array}\right]
$$

Arranging equations (8) into matrix form yields

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\theta}_{A B}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{9}\\
\theta_{B A}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\psi(u)}{3} \frac{L}{E I} & \frac{\phi(u)}{6} \frac{L}{E I} \\
\frac{\phi(u)}{6} \frac{L}{E I} & \psi(u) \frac{L}{3} \frac{L}{E I}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{A B} \\
M_{B A}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The square matrix on the right hand side of aquation (9) is the flexibility matrix for a single member. Equation (9) is rewritten in the following symbolic matrix form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\dot{\theta}\}=\left[F_{m}\right]\{m\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Premultiplying equation (10) by $\left[F_{m}\right]^{-1}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{m\}=\left[F_{m}\right]^{-1}\left\{\theta^{*}\right\} \equiv\left[K_{m}\right]\left\{\theta^{*}\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $\left[K_{m}\right]$ is defined as the stiffness matrix for a single member.
Noting equations (9) and (11), one obtains

$$
\left[K_{m}\right] \equiv\left[F_{m}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \psi \frac{E I}{L} & -\frac{\phi E I}{a}  \tag{12}\\
\frac{\alpha}{L} \\
-\frac{\phi}{a} \frac{E I}{L} & 2 \psi \frac{E I}{L}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
a=4-\frac{\psi^{2}-\phi^{2}}{6}
$$

$$
\neq 0
$$

Equation (11) is rewritten in component matrix form as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
M_{A B}  \tag{13}\\
M_{B A}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \psi \frac{E I}{L} & -\frac{\phi E I}{L} \\
\frac{\phi E I}{L} & 2 \psi \frac{E I}{L}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{A B}^{*} \\
\\
\theta_{B A}^{*}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The stiffness and flexibility matrices are reduced to the special case of a beam with zero axial load by noting the conditions that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Lim}_{2 u \rightarrow 0} \psi(u)=1 \text {, and } \\
& \operatorname{Lim}_{2 u \rightarrow 0} \phi(u)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

The resulting stiffness and flexibility matrices become


### 1.2 General Stiffness and Flexibility Matrices for the System

In the case of continuous structural system, the stiffness matrix for each member will be $\left[K_{m_{1}}\right]$, $\left[K_{m_{2}}\right]$, $\left[K_{m 3}\right] \ldots . .\left[K_{m n}\right]$. Combining the stiffness matrices for the entire system, it follows that

$$
\left[K_{s}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
{\left[K_{m_{1}}\right]} & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0  \tag{15}\\
0 & {\left[K_{m_{2}}\right]} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\
0 & 0\left[\begin{array}{l}
K_{m_{3}}
\end{array}\right] & \cdot & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \cdot & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot\left[K_{m n}\right]
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\left[K_{s}\right]$ is a $(2 n \times 2 n)$ banded diagonal matrix with $n$ equal to the number of members in the system.

If follows from equation (11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{m_{s}\right\}=\left[K_{s}\right]\left\{\theta_{s}\right\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{m_{s}\right\}$ and $\left\{\theta_{s}^{*}\right\}$ are of size $(2 n \times 1)$.
Similarly, the flexibility matrix for continuous structural systems is

$$
\left[F_{s}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
{\left[F_{m_{1}}\right]} & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0  \tag{17}\\
0 & {\left[F_{m_{2}}\right]} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\
0 & 0 & {\left[F_{m_{3}}\right]} & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \cdot & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot\left[F_{m n}\right]
\end{array}\right]
$$

with equation (10) yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\ddot{\theta}_{s}^{*}\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

After applying the boundary conditions for moments and rotations at the ends of each member, and combining the resulting equations. The form of the stiffness matrix $\left[K_{s}\right]$ reduces to $\left[K_{s r}\right]$, and $\left[F_{s}\right]$ reduces to the form $\left[F_{s r}\right]$. Then from equations (16) and (18), one obtains

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[K_{s r}\right]\left\{\theta_{s r}\right\}} & =\{0\}  \tag{19}\\
{\left[F_{s r}\right]\left\{m_{s r}\right\}} & =\{0\}
\end{array}
$$

For nontrivial solutions of $\left\{\theta_{s r}\right\}$ and $\left\{m_{s r}\right\}$, the determinant of $\left[K_{s r}\right]$ and. $\left[F_{s r}\right]$ must be zero. The determinant yields transcendental equations, the roots of which produce the critical buckling loads for the system. The buckled
mode shapes (i.e. the relative end rotations) and the ratio of bending moments at the ends of members are found for each critical buckling load utilizing equation (19).

### 1.3 Modal Vector and Orthogonality Conditions

The modal vectors of deformation associated with each critical buckling load are formulated. These modal vectors are combined into a general modal matrix for which the orthogonality conditions are determined.

The scalar components of the modal vectors for the stiffness method are the ratio of end rotations, while the components of the modal vectors for the flexibility method are the ratio of end moments of the members.

Defining the modal matrix associated with the ratios of joint rotations as $\left[U_{k}\right]$, and the modal matrix associated with the ratios of joint moments as $\left[U_{f}\right]$, one defines the following orthogonality conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[K_{s r}^{*}\right], \text { and }}  \tag{20}\\
& {\left[U_{r}\right]^{\top}\left[F_{s r}\right]\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[F_{s r}^{*}\right],}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left[K_{s r}^{*}\right]$ and $\left[F_{s r}^{*}\right]$ are symmetric matrices with components which define the ndividual transcendental functions
that yield the critical buckling loads when equated to zero. If the determinant of either the stiffness or flexibility matrix is determined as a product of a set of functions in the form

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[K_{s r}\right]=\alpha_{1}(u) \alpha_{2}(u) \ldots . \alpha_{n}(u)
$$

or $\operatorname{det}\left[F_{s r}\right]=\beta_{1}(u) \quad \beta_{2}(u) \ldots . \beta_{m}(u)$, it follows that, the matrices $\left[K_{s r}^{*}\right]$ and $\left[F_{s r}^{*}\right]$ reduce to a diagonal form given as
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[K_{s r}^{*}\right]=\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1}(u) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{2}(u) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & 0 & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{n}(u)
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{21}\\
& {\left[F_{s r}^{*}\right]=\left[\Lambda_{f_{t}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\beta_{1}(u) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \beta_{2}(u) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdot & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \beta_{m}(u)
\end{array}\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (20) transforms the matrices $\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sr}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sr}}\right]$ into a diagonal matrices which are produced in canonical function form as illustrated in equation (21).

$$
\text { If the determinant of either }\left[K_{s r}\right] \text { or }\left[F_{s r}\right]
$$

cannot be reduced to the product of functions as given above,
the matrices $\left[K_{s r}^{*}\right]$ and $\left[F_{s r}^{*}\right]$ will not be diagonal as shown in equation (21). However, the matrices $\left[K_{s r}^{*}\right]$ and $\left[F_{s r}^{*}\right]$, when evaluated at the individual values of critical buckling, transform to diagonal matrices.

For convenience, the diagonal matrix $\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]$ is defined, where contains the critical buckling loads defined by equations (21) and takes the form

$$
\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
P_{1 c r} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0  \tag{22}\\
0 & P_{2 c r} & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\
\cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\
\cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot \\
0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & P_{\mathrm{Jcr}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The values of $P_{j c r}, j=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots n$, appear in order of increasing magnitude.

## CHAPTER II

## SOLUTIONS OF CONTINUOUS COLUMN PROBLEM

### 2.1 Simply-Supported Four-Span Column

Consider the column $A B C D E$ subjected to the axial compressive loads $P$ at both ends as shown in Fig. 2 below.


Fig. 2 Simply-Supported Four-Span Column

The eight boundary conditions are
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}M_{A B} & = & 0 & \\ M_{B A} & = & M_{B C} & = \\ M_{C B} & = & M_{C D} & = \\ M_{D C} & = & M_{D E} & = \\ M_{E D} & = & 0 & M_{D}, \\ \theta_{B A} & = & -\theta_{B C} & =\theta_{B}, \\ \theta_{C B} & = & -\theta_{C D} & =\theta_{C}, \text { and } \\ \theta_{D C} & = & -\theta_{D E} & \approx \theta_{D} .\end{array}\right]$

### 2.1A Stiffness Method

The stiffness matix for the system is constructed as follow;


Applying the boundary conditions given above, one obtains the reduced form of equation (24) as


The determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& 24 \frac{I_{1}}{a_{1} L_{1}} \frac{I_{4} L_{4}}{L_{4}^{5}}\left[\left(\psi_{2} \frac{I_{1}}{L_{1}}+\psi_{1} \frac{I_{2}}{L_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{2}{a_{2}} \frac{\psi_{4}}{a_{3}} \frac{l_{2}}{L_{2}} \frac{I_{3}^{3}}{L_{3}}+\frac{3}{a_{2}} \frac{I_{2}}{L_{2}} \frac{L_{4}}{L_{4}}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\left(\psi_{4} \frac{I_{3}^{3}}{L_{3}}+\psi_{3} \frac{I_{4}}{L_{4}}\right)\left(\frac{2 \psi_{1}}{a_{2}} \frac{\psi_{2}^{2}}{a_{3}} \frac{I_{2}}{L_{2}} \frac{l_{3}}{L_{3}}+\frac{3}{a_{3}} \frac{I_{1}}{L_{1}} \frac{I_{3}}{L_{3}}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

For the special case where $L_{1}=L_{2}=L_{3}=L_{4}=L$ and $I_{1}=I_{2}=I_{3}=I_{4}=I$, equation (26) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 \times 6^{5}\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{5}\left[-\psi\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{2} \psi}{(2 \psi+\phi}-\phi\right)\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{2} \psi+\phi\right)\right]=0 . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting equation (27), the following five transcendental equations nold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}=2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi=\psi=2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi=\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying each function of equation (28) to equation (25), the following modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ is obtained:

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1  \tag{29}\\
1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Applying each function of equation (28) to equation (24). and noting the associated equation (29), the following modal
matrix is obtained:

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{30}\\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The ratio of bending moments of the first and the last transcendental functions are zero.

The orthogonality conditions of the mode shapes defined for the stiffness method become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\Lambda_{k_{t}}\right] } \\
= & \frac{E I}{L}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
48\left(\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{a}(2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{8}{a} \psi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{a}(2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 48\left(\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The diagonal terms of the $\left[\Lambda_{k_{t}}\right]$ matrix define the individual transcendental functions which yield the following critical
buckling loads

$$
\left[\bigwedge_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{(n \pi)^{2} E I}{L^{2}}, n=1,3,5 \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{12.816 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{20.187 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{29 \cdot 703 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(n \pi)^{2} E I, n=2,4,6 \cdots}{L^{2}} \\
0 & & &
\end{array}\right]
$$

The associated mode shapes are shown in Fig. (3)

### 2.1B Flexibility Method

Equation (18) takes the form


Applying the conditions of equation (23), one obtains

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \psi \frac{L_{1}}{6 E I_{1}}+2 \psi_{26} \frac{L_{2}}{\mathrm{EI}_{2}} & \phi_{2} \frac{L_{2}}{6 \mathrm{EI}_{2}} & 0 \\
\phi_{2} \frac{L_{2}}{6 \mathrm{EI}} & 2 \psi \frac{L_{2}}{26 \mathrm{EI}_{2}}+2 \psi_{3} \frac{L_{3}}{6 \mathrm{EI}_{3}} & \phi_{3} \frac{L_{s}}{\mathrm{EI}_{3}} \\
0 & \phi_{3} \frac{L_{3}}{\mathrm{EI}_{3}} & 2 \psi_{3} 6 \frac{L_{3}}{\mathrm{EI}_{3}}+2 \psi \frac{L_{4}}{6 \mathrm{EI}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
M_{B} \\
M_{C} \\
M_{D}
\end{array}\right] \text { (34) }
$$

The determinant of the matrix $\left[F_{s r}\right]$ yields


$$
\begin{equation*}
=0 . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the special case where $L_{1}=L_{2}=L_{3}=L_{4}=L$
and $I_{1}=I_{2}=I_{3}=I_{4}=I$, equation (35) reduces to the form
$8\left(\frac{L}{6 E I}\right)^{3}[\psi(2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi)(2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi)]=0$.

Noting equation (36), the following three transcendental equations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi=\psi=2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi=0 . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ for flexibility becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{38}\\
-\sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\
1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ is exactly equal to that given by equation (30), except the first and the fifth columns cannot be obtained.

The orthogonality conditions become

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[U_{f}\right]^{\top}\left[F_{s r}\right]\left[U_{f}\right]=} & {\left[\Lambda_{f_{t}}\right] } \\
& =4 \sqrt{2} \frac{L}{6 E I}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{2} \psi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi
\end{array}\right] \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

The associated matrix $\left[\Lambda_{f t}\right]$ containing the critical buckling loads takes the form

$$
\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{12 \cdot 816 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 & 0  \tag{40}\\
0 & \frac{20.187 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{29.703 E I}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

It is seen that one does not obtain the minimum value of $P_{c r}=(n \pi)^{2} E I, n=1,3,5 \ldots$ and the maximum value of $P_{c r}=\frac{(n \pi)^{2} E I}{L^{2}}, n=2,4,6 \ldots$ as obtained using the stiffness method, since the components of the reduced moment vectors $\left\{M_{s r}\right\}$ are zero (see equation 34) and as a result
equation (35) is not valid. The resulting mode shapes are show in Fig. (3).
$P_{1_{c r}}=\frac{(n \pi)^{2} E I}{L^{2}}, n=1,3,5 \ldots$

$P_{2}=\frac{12.816 E I}{L^{2}}$

$P_{3 c r}=\frac{20.187 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$

$\mathrm{P}_{4 \mathrm{cr}}=\frac{29.703 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$


$$
P_{5 c r}=\frac{(n \pi)^{2} E I,}{L^{2}}, n=2,4,6 \ldots
$$



Fig. 3 The Five Possible Mode Shapes of Simply-Supported Four-Span Column.

### 2.2 Fixed-Supported Four-Span Column

Consider the column $A B C D E$ subjected to the axial compressive loads $P$ at both ends (see Fig. 4).


Fig. 4 Fixed-Supported Four-Span Column

The eight boundary conditions are
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}M_{B A} & = \\ M_{C B} & = \\ M_{B C} & =M_{B}, \\ M_{D C} & = \\ M_{A B} & = \\ M_{D E} & =M_{D}, \\ \theta_{B A} & = \\ \theta_{C B} & =-\theta_{B C}=\theta_{B}, \\ \theta_{D C} & -\theta_{C D}=\theta_{C}, \\ \theta_{E D} & =-\theta_{D E}=\theta_{D}, \text { and } \\ & 0\end{array}\right]$

### 2.2A Stiffness Method

The stiffness matrix for the system is constructed in the same form as equation (24).

Applying the boundary conditions given above, one obtains the reduced form of equation (24) as

The determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

For the special case where $L_{1}=L_{2}=L_{3}=L_{4}=L$ and $I_{1}=I_{2}=I_{3}=I_{4}=I$, equation (43) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
8\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{3}\left[\frac{\psi(2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi)(2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi)}{(2 \psi+\phi)^{3}} \frac{(2 \psi-\phi)^{3}}{(2)}=0\right. \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting equation (44), the following five transcendental equations holds
$2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi=\psi=2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi=\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}=\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}=0$.

Applying each function of equation (45) to equation (42), and the following modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ is obtained:

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{46}\\
-\sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\
1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

It should be noted that the functions $\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}=\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}=0$ yield the condition $\left\{\theta_{s r}\right\}=0$, hence the special case of equation (43) does not hold. As a result the stiffness modal matrix reduces to a $(3 \times 3)$ matrix.
Noting equations (24) and (46), the modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ for flexibility becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{47}\\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions of the mode shapes defined
for the stiffness method become

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right] } & =\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right] \\
& =4 \sqrt{2} \frac{E I}{L a}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{2} \psi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi
\end{array}\right] \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{\bar{a}} \neq 0$ for the conditions $2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi=\sqrt{2} \psi=2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi=0$. The diagonal terms of the matrix $\left[\bigwedge_{k t}\right.$ ] define the individual transcendental functions which yield the following critical buckling loads:

$$
\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{12.816 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}} & 0 & 0  \tag{49}\\
0 & \frac{20.18 \eta \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{29.703 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The associated mode shapes are shown in Fig. (5).

Applying each function of equation (52) to equation (50), the following modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ is obtained:

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1  \tag{53}\\
\frac{1}{-\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Noting equations (33) and (53), the following modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ for stiffness becomes

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[U_{f}\right]^{\top}\left[F_{s r}\right]\left[U_{f}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\Lambda_{f t}\right] } \\
= & 2 \sqrt{2} \frac{L}{6 E I}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 \sqrt{2} \psi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \sqrt{2}(2 \psi+\phi) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \sqrt{2}(2 \psi-\phi)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The diagonal terms of the matrix $\left[\Lambda f_{t}\right]$ define the individual transcendental functions which yield the following critical buckling loads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{12.816 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{20.187 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{29.703 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(2 n \uparrow)^{2} E I}{L^{2}} \cdot n=1,2,3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{80.748 E T}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right] \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

The resulting mode shapes are shown in Fig. (5)

It is seen that one does not obtain the last two critical buckling loads by using the stiffness method, since the components of the reduced rotation vectors $\left\{\theta_{s r}\right\}$ are zero (see equation (42)), and as a result equation (43) does not hold.

$$
P_{1_{c r}}=\frac{12.816 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}
$$



$$
P_{2_{c r}}=\frac{20.187 E I}{L^{2}}
$$



$$
P_{3_{c r}}=\frac{29.703 E I}{L^{2}}
$$



$$
P_{4_{c r}}=\frac{(2 n \pi)^{2} E I}{L^{2}} \cdot n=1,2,3 \ldots
$$



$$
P_{5 c r}=\frac{80.748 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}
$$



Fig. 5 The Five Fossible Mode Shapes of Fixed-Supported Four-Span Column

### 2.3 Fixed, Simply-Supported Four-Span Column

Consider the column $A B C D E$ subjected to the axial compressive loads $P$ at both ends with a simple support on the extreme left end and a fixed support on the right end (see Fig. 6).


Fig. 6 Fixed, Simply-Supported Four-Span Column.

The eight boundary conditions are
$\left.\begin{array}{llll} & 0 & 0 \\ M_{A B} & = & M_{D C} & =M_{D}, \\ M_{B A} & = & M_{C D} & =M_{C}, \\ M_{C B} & = & M_{D E} & =M_{D}, \\ M_{D C} & = & -\theta_{B C} & =\theta_{B}, \\ \theta_{B D} & = & -\theta_{C D} & =\theta_{C}, \\ \theta_{C B} & = & -\theta_{D E} & =\theta_{D}, \text { and } \\ \theta_{D C} & = & 0 & \end{array}\right]$

### 2.3A Stiffness Method

The stiffness matrix for the system is constructed in the same form as equation (24).

For the special case where $L_{1}=L_{2}=L_{3}=L_{4}=L$ and $I_{1}=I_{2}=$ $I_{3}=I_{4}=I$, applying the boundary conditions given above, one obtains the reduced form of equation (24) as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{58}\\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{2 \psi \frac{E I}{L}}{} & -\frac{\phi E I}{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\phi-\frac{E I}{L}}{L} & 4 \frac{\alpha}{L} \frac{E I}{L} & \frac{\phi E I}{L} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\phi E I}{L} & 4 \psi \frac{E I}{L} & \frac{\phi E I}{L} \\
0 & 0 & \phi \frac{\phi E I}{L} & 4 \psi \frac{E I}{L}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{A B} \\
\theta_{B} \\
\theta_{c} \\
\theta_{D}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(6 \frac{\mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)^{4}\left[\frac{\left(d_{1} \psi+\phi\right)\left(d_{1} \psi-\phi\right)\left(d_{2} \psi+\phi\right)\left(d_{2} \psi-\phi\right)}{(2 \psi+\phi)^{4}(2 \psi-\phi)^{4}}\right]=0 . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{1}=2(4+2 \sqrt{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $d_{2}=2(4-2 \sqrt{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Noting equation (59), the following six transcendental equations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{2} \psi-\phi=d_{1} \psi-\phi=d_{1} \psi+\phi=d_{\psi} \psi+\phi=\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}=\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi} . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying each function of equation (60) to equation (58), the following modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ is obtained:

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1  \tag{61}\\
\frac{2}{d_{2}} & \frac{2}{d_{1}} & -\frac{2}{d_{1}} & -\frac{2}{d_{2}} \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{2}{d_{1}} & -\frac{2}{d_{2}} & \frac{2}{d_{2}} & -\frac{2}{d_{1}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

It should be noted that the functions $\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}=\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}=0$ yield the condition $\left\{\theta_{s r}\right\}=0$, hence equation (59) does not hold. As a result the stiffness modal matrix reduces to a $(4 \times 4)$ matrix.

Noting equations (24) and (61), the modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ for flexibility becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
-\frac{4}{d_{2}} & -\frac{4}{d_{1}} & \frac{4}{d_{1}} & \frac{4}{d_{2}} \\
\sqrt{2}+1 & -(\sqrt{2}-1) & -(\sqrt{2}-1) & \sqrt{2}+1 \\
-\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}+1\right) d_{2} & \left(\frac{(\sqrt{2}}{2}-1\right) d_{1} & -(\sqrt{2}-1) d_{1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2}-d_{2}\right.
\end{array}\right] \text { (62) }
$$

The orthogonality conditions of the mode shapes defined for the stiffness method become

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s t}\right]\left[U_{k}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\Lambda_{k_{t}}\right] } \\
= & \frac{\mathrm{EI}}{[\mathrm{a}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
3.656\left(d_{2} \psi-\phi\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1.532\left(d_{1} \psi-\phi\right) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1.532\left(d_{1} \psi+\phi\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 3.656\left(d_{2} \psi+\phi\right)
\end{array}\right], \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{a} \neq 0$ for the conditions $d y-\phi=d_{1} \psi-\phi=d \psi+\phi=d_{\psi} \psi+\phi=0$. The diagonal terms of the matrix $\left[\Lambda_{k_{k}}\right]$ define the individual transcendental functions which yield the following critical buckling loads:

$$
\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{10.628 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{64}\\
0 & \frac{16.080 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{24.900 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{34.81 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The associated mode shapes are shown in Fig. 7 .

### 2.3B Flexibility Method

The flexibility matrix for the system is given by equatron (33). For the special case where $L_{4}=L_{2}=L_{3}=L_{4}=L$ and $I_{1}=I_{2}=I_{3}=I_{4}=I$, applying the boundary conditions of equation (57), one obtains

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{65}\\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
4 \frac{L}{6 E I} & \phi_{6 \mathrm{~L}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\phi}{6 \mathrm{EI}} & 4 \psi \frac{L}{6 \mathrm{EI}} & \phi_{\frac{L}{6 E I}} & 0 \\
0 & \phi_{\frac{L}{6 E I}} & 4 \psi \frac{L}{6 \mathrm{EI}} & \phi_{\frac{L}{6 E I}} \\
0 & 0 & \phi_{\frac{L}{6 E I}} & 2 \psi \frac{L}{6 \mathrm{EI}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{B} \\
M_{c} \\
M_{0} \\
M_{\mathrm{so}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The determinant of the matrix $\left[F_{s r}\right]$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{L}{6 E I}\right)^{4}\left[(d \psi+\phi)(d \psi-\phi)\left(d_{\psi} \psi+\phi\right)(d \psi-\phi)\right]=0 . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting equation (66), the following four transcendental equations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{2} \psi-\phi=d_{1} \psi-\phi=d_{i} \psi+\phi=d_{2} \psi+\phi=0 \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying each function of equation (67) to equation (65), the modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ for flexibility is obtained exactly the same as that given by equation (62).

Noting equations (33) and (62), the modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ for stiffness is exactly equal to that given by equation (61). The orthogonality conditions become

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[U_{f}\right]^{\top}\left[F_{s r}\right]\left[U_{f}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\Lambda_{r_{t}}\right] } \\
= & \frac{L}{6 E I}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
24.99\left(d_{\psi} \psi-\phi\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1.786(d, \psi-\phi) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1.786(d, \psi+\phi) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 24.99(d \psi+\phi)
\end{array}\right] \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

The associated matrix $\left[\bigwedge_{c r}\right]$ containing the critical buckling loads is exactly the same as that given by equation (64).

The resulting mode shapes are shown in Fig. 7

It is seen that both the stiffness method and flexibility method obtain the same four critical buckling loads and associated mode shapes.

For convenience and simplicity, the analysis of three-span and two-span continuous columns, subject to similar boundary conditions as presented above, is summarized
in APPENDIXA. All critical buckling loads, mode shapes, stiffness matrices, flexibility matrices, and orthogonality conditions are presented in a compact tabular form.
$P_{1 c r}=\frac{10.628 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}$

$P_{2 r}=\frac{16.080 E I}{L^{2}}$

$P_{3}=\frac{24.90 E I}{L^{2}}$

$P_{c r}=\frac{34.81 E I}{L^{2}}$


Fig. 7 The Four Possible Mode Shapes of Fixed, Simply Supported Four-Span Column

## CHAPTER III

## SOLUTIONS OF THE ORTHOGONAL PORTAL FRAME PROBLEM

### 3.1 General Stiffness Matrix Formulation

Consider the orthogonal, portal frame $A B C D$, columns $A B$ and $C D$ are subjected to the axial compressive loads $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ at the ends $B$ and $C$, respectively, and a torsional spring $k t_{1}$ is located at the support $A$ and a torsional spring $k t_{2}$ is located at D (see Fig. 8).


Fig. 8 Orthogonal Portal Frame

The seven boundary conditions are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
M_{\triangle B} & =k t_{1} \theta_{A B}  \tag{69}\\
M_{B A} & =k t_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta}{L}+\theta_{A B}^{*}\right), \\
M_{C B} & =-M_{C D} \\
M_{D C} & =M_{B}, \\
M_{B A}+M_{C D}-M_{D O}-M_{D C}-\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right) \Delta & =M_{C}, \\
-\theta_{B C} & =\theta_{B A}\left(\frac{\Delta}{L}+\theta_{D C}^{*}\right), \\
\theta_{C B} & =\theta_{C D} \\
& =\left(\theta_{B A}^{*} \frac{\Delta}{L}\right), \text { and } \\
& =\left(\theta_{C D}^{*}-\frac{\Delta}{L}\right) .
\end{array}\right]
$$

The stiffness matrix for the system is constructed as follow;

For simplicity and convenience the stiffness method is utilized to solve frame problems, since, in general, the vector $\left\{\theta_{s r}\right\} \neq 0$ for the usual modal shapes defined at critical loading.

Applying the boundary conditions given above, one obtains the reduced form of equation (70) as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{71}\\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
k_{11} & k_{12} & k_{13} \\
k_{21} & k_{22} & k_{23} \\
k_{31} & k_{32} & k_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{B C} \\
\theta_{C B} \\
\frac{\Delta}{L}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{11}=\frac{2 \psi_{1} E I_{1}}{a_{1} L_{1}}+\frac{4 E I}{L}+\frac{\left(\frac{\phi_{1} E I_{1}}{a_{1}}\right)^{2}}{k t_{1}-\frac{2 \psi E I_{1}}{a_{1}} L_{1}} \\
& k_{22}=\frac{2 \psi_{2} E I_{1}}{a_{2} L_{1}}+\frac{4 E I}{L}+\frac{\left(\frac{\phi_{2}}{a_{2}} \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}}\right)^{2}}{k t_{2}-2 \psi_{2} \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}}} \\
& k_{33}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \psi_{1} \\
\left.a_{1}+\frac{\phi_{1}}{a_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{k t_{1}+\bar{a} \frac{\bar{a}_{1} L_{1}}{2}}{k t_{1}-\frac{2 \tilde{L}_{1}}{a_{1}} \frac{I_{1}}{L_{1}}}+1\right)
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\binom{2 \psi_{2}}{a_{2}+\frac{\phi_{2}}{a_{2}}}\left(\frac{k t_{2}+a_{2} \frac{\phi I_{1}}{L_{1}}}{k t_{2}-2 \psi \frac{E I_{1}}{a_{2}} L_{1}}+1\right)-4\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right)\right\} \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}}  \tag{72}\\
& k_{12}=k_{21}=-2 \frac{E I}{L} \text {, } \\
& k_{13}=k_{31}=-\left\{2 \psi_{1}+\phi\left(\frac{k t_{1}+\frac{\phi}{a_{1}} \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}}}{a_{1}}\left(\frac{\left.k t_{1}-\frac{\psi_{1}}{a_{1} L_{1}}\right)}{L_{1}}\right)\right\} \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}}\right. \text {, and } \\
& k_{23}=k_{32}=\left\{2 \psi_{2} \phi_{2}\left(\frac{\left.k t_{2}+\frac{\phi_{2} E I_{1}}{a_{2}}\right)}{a_{2}+\frac{a_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{k t_{2}-\frac{L_{2}}{a_{2} I_{1}} L_{1}}{1}\right)}\right\} \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

For the special case where $P_{1}=P_{i}=P, k t_{1}=k t_{2}=k t$, $L_{1}=L$ and $I_{1}=I$, the determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} D_{2} \quad=\quad 0 \quad \text {. } \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}=\left\{2 \psi+\frac{\left(\phi_{a}^{2}\right) \frac{E I}{L}}{a} \frac{2 \psi-\frac{E E I}{L}}{k}+6\right\}\left\{2\binom{2 \psi}{a+\frac{\phi}{a}}\left(\frac{k t+\frac{\phi E I}{L}}{k t+2 \frac{\psi}{L} \frac{E I}{L}}+1\right)-8 u^{2}\right\} \\
& -2\left\{2 \psi+\frac{\phi}{a}\left(\frac{k t+\frac{\phi E I}{a}}{2 \psi}\right)\right\} \text { and } \\
& D_{2}=\quad \frac{2 \Psi}{a}+\frac{\left(\frac{\phi}{a}\right)^{2} \frac{E I}{L}}{k t-\frac{2 \Psi E I}{L}}+2
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting equation (73) and (74), the following two transcendental equations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}=D_{2}=0 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying each function of equation (75) to equation (71) noting equation (74), and the following modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ is obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[U_{k}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 \\
G & 0
\end{array}\right]  \tag{76}\\
c_{1} & =\frac{2 \psi_{+}+\frac{\left(\frac{\phi}{a}\right)^{2} \frac{E I}{L}}{k t-\frac{2 \psi}{a} \frac{E I}{L}}+6}{2 \psi+\frac{\phi}{a}\left(\frac{k t+\frac{\phi-\frac{E I}{L}}{k t-2 \frac{E I}{L}}}{k t}\right)} \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

where

The orthogonality conditions of the mode shapes defined for the stiffness method become

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right] } & =\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{C_{1} D_{1}}{C_{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 2 D_{2}
\end{array}\right] \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=\quad 2 \psi_{a}+\frac{p}{a}\left(\frac{k t+\frac{\phi E I}{L}}{k t-\frac{2 \psi E I}{L}}\right) \text {, and } \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
C_{1} \neq 0, \quad \frac{1}{C_{2}} \neq 0 \text { for the condition } D_{1}=0 .
$$

3.2 Simply Supported-Frame

Consider the orthogonal, portal frame $A B C D$, in Fig. 8 in the case of simple supports, that is $\mathrm{kt}_{1}=$ $k t_{2}=0$ and $M_{A B}=M_{D C}=0$ (see Fig. 9).


Fig. 9 Simply Supported-Orthogonal, Portal Frame

Equation (71) reduces to the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{80}\\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{3 E I_{1}}{\psi_{1} L_{1}}+\frac{4 E I}{L} & -2 \frac{E I}{L} & -\frac{3 E I_{1}}{\psi_{1} L_{1}} \\
-2 \frac{E I}{L} & \frac{3 E I_{1}}{\psi_{2} L_{1}}+\frac{4 E I}{L} & \frac{3 E I}{\psi_{2} L_{1}} \\
-\frac{3 E I_{1}}{\psi_{1} L_{1}} & \frac{3 E I_{1}}{\psi_{2} L_{1}} & \left\{\frac{3}{\psi_{1}}+\frac{3}{\psi_{2}}-4\left(u_{1}^{2}+\dot{L}_{2}^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{\mathrm{BC}} \\
\theta_{c} \\
\theta_{1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{12}{\psi_{1} \psi_{2}} \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}}\left[3\left(\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}\right)\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{2}+3 \frac{E I}{L} \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}}\right\}- \\
& \left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right)\left\{4 \psi_{1} \psi_{2}\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{2}+4\left(\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}\right) \frac{E I}{L} \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}^{+}} 3\left\{\frac{E I_{1}^{2}}{L_{1}}\right\}\right]=0 \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

For the special case where $P_{1}=P_{2}=P, \quad L_{1}=L$ and $I_{1}=I$, equation $(81)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-12 u\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{3}(2 u \tan 2 u-6)\left(\frac{3}{\psi}+2\right)\left(\frac{1}{\psi}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\tan 2 u}\right)=0 \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting equation (82), the following four transcendental equations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 u \tan 2 u-6=\frac{3}{\psi}+2=\frac{1}{\psi}=\frac{1}{\tan 2 u}=0 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that the functions $\frac{1}{\psi}=\frac{1}{\tan 2 u}$ $=0$ yield the condition $\left\{\Theta_{s r}\right\}=0$, hence equation (83) does not hold.

The modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ of equation $(76)$ becomes

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1  \tag{84}\\
-1 & 1 \\
d_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\quad d_{3}=|2 \psi+1| \psi=1.1473=3.2946$.

Noting equations (70) and (84), the modal matrix $\left[U_{p}\right]$ for flexibility becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1  \tag{85}\\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions of the mode shapes defined for the stiffness method take the form
$\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]=\frac{E I}{L}\left[\begin{array}{cc}C_{3}(2 u \tan 2 u-6) & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \frac{3}{\psi^{+}}\end{array}\right]$,
where $C_{3}=-4 u(2 \psi+1) \frac{1}{\tan 2 u} \neq 0$ for the condition $2 u \tan 2 u-6=0$.

The diagonal terms of the matrix $\left[\bigwedge_{k_{t}}\right]$ define the individual transcendental functions which yield the following critical buckling loads:

$$
\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1.823 E I}{L^{2}} & 0  \tag{87}\\
0 & \frac{12.888 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The associated mode shapes are shown in Fig. 12.

### 3.2A Simply Supported-Frame Neglecting Sidesway

In the case where sideway is neglected, $\Delta=0$, hence $\theta_{A B}^{*}=\theta_{A B}, \theta_{B A}^{*}=\theta_{B A}, \theta_{C D}^{*}=\theta_{C D}, \theta_{D C}^{*}=\theta_{D C}$, equation (80) reduces to the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{88}\\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{3 E I_{1}}{\psi_{1} L_{1}}+\frac{4 E I}{L} & -2 \frac{E I}{L} \\
-2 \frac{E I}{L} & \frac{3}{\psi_{2} L_{1}}+\frac{4 E I}{L}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{\mathrm{BC}} \\
\theta_{\mathrm{CB}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For the special case where $P_{1}=P_{2}=P, L_{1}=L$ and $I_{1}=I$, the determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{3}{\psi}+2\right)\left(\frac{1}{\psi}+2\right)=0 \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting equation (89), the following two transcendental equations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{3}{\psi}+2\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\psi}+2\right)=0 \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying each function of equation (90) to equation (88), and the following modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ is obtained:

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{91}\\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Noting equations (70) and (91), the modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ for flexibility becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{92}\\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions of the mode shapes defined for the stiffness method reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right] } & =\left[\Lambda_{k_{t}}\right] \\
& =2 \frac{\mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{3}{\psi}+2 & 0 \\
0 & 3\left(\frac{1}{\psi}+2\right)
\end{array}\right] \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

The diagonal terms of the matrix $\left[\bigwedge_{k_{t}}\right]$ define the individual transcendental functions which yield the following critical buckling loads:

$$
\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{12.888 E I}{L^{2}} & 0  \tag{94}\\
0 & \frac{15.80 E I}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The resulting mode shapes are shown in Fig. 12.

It is seen that the lower critical buckling load neglecting sidesway is the same as the higher one of including sidesway-case. Hence, the lowest critical buckling load occurs when sidesway is present.

### 3.3 Fixed Supported-Frame

Consider the orthogonal, portal frame $A B C D$ in Fig. 8 in the case of fixed supports, that is $k t_{1}=k t_{2}=\infty$, and $\theta_{A B}=\theta_{D C}=0($ see Fig. 10).


Fig. 10 Fixed Supported-Orthogonal, Portal Frame Equation (71) reduces to the form
where $k_{33}=\left\{2\left(\frac{2 \psi_{1}}{a_{1}}+\frac{\phi_{1}}{a_{1}}\right)+2\left(\frac{2 \psi_{2}}{a_{2}}+\frac{\phi_{2}}{a_{2}}\right)-4\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{3}^{2}\right)\right\} \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}}$.
The determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& 24\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{2}\left\{2 \frac{\Psi_{1}}{a_{1}}+2 \frac{\Psi_{2}}{a_{2}}+\frac{\phi_{1}}{a_{1}}+\frac{\phi_{2}}{a_{2}}\right\}- \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

For the special case where $P_{1}=P_{2}=P, L_{1}=L$ and $I_{1}=I$, equation (97) becomes
$-\frac{6}{4}^{5}\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{3}(2 u+6 \tan 2 u)\left(\frac{6 \psi}{4 \psi-\phi^{+}}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{\tan 2 u}\right)\left(u+\frac{1}{\tan u}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}\right)=0$.

It should be noted that the functions $\frac{1}{\tan 2 u}=u+\frac{1}{\tan u}$ $\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}=\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}=0$ yield the condition $\left\{\theta_{\mathrm{sr}}\right\}=0$. hence, equation (98) does not hold.

The modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ of equation (76) takes the form

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{99}\\
-1 & 1 \\
d_{4} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $d_{A}=\frac{2 \psi}{2 \psi+0}+2 \psi-\left.\phi\right|_{\psi=2.838} ^{\psi=}=1.704$.
Noting equations (70) and (99), the modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ for flexibility becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{100}\\
-0.911 & 0.415 \\
0.911 & 0.415 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions of the mode shapes defined for the stiffness method take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right] } \\
= & \frac{E I}{L}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
c_{4}(2 u+6 \tan 2 u) & 0 \\
0 & 4\left(\frac{6}{4 \psi^{2}-\phi^{+1}}\right)
\end{array}\right] . \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{4}=-\frac{3 \times 6^{2}}{u}\left(\frac{2 \psi}{a}+6\right)\left(\frac{1}{\tan 2 u}\right)\left(u+\frac{1}{\tan u}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}\right)^{2} \neq 0 \quad$ for the condition $2 u+6 \tan 2 u=0$.

The diagonal terms of the matrix $\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]$ define the indvidual transcendental functions which yield the following
critical buckling loads:

$$
\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{7.371 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}} & 0  \tag{102}\\
0 & \frac{25.20 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The associated mode shapes are shown in Fig. 12 .

### 3.3A Fixed Supported-Frame Neglecting Sidesway

In the case where sidesway is neglected, $\Delta=0$, thus $\theta_{A B}^{*}=\theta_{A B}, \theta_{B A}^{*}=\theta_{B A}, \theta_{C D}^{*}=\theta_{C D}, \quad \theta_{D C}=\theta_{D C}$ and equation (95) reduces to the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{103}\\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{2 \psi_{1}}{a_{1} E_{1}}+4 \frac{E I}{L} & -2 \frac{E I}{L} \\
-2 \frac{E I}{L} & 2 \psi_{2} \frac{E I_{2}}{a_{2}}+4 \frac{E I}{L}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{\mathrm{cc}} \\
\theta_{\mathrm{cs}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For the special case where $P_{1}=P_{2}=P, L_{1}=L$ and $I_{1}=I$, the determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
12\left(\frac{E I}{I}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{6 \psi^{2}}{4 \psi^{2}-\phi^{2}}+1\right)\left(\frac{2 \psi}{4 \psi^{2}-\phi^{2}}+1\right)=0 \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting equation (104), the following two trancendental equations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{6 \psi}{4 \psi^{2} \phi^{2}+1}\right)=\left(\frac{2 \psi}{4 \psi^{-} \phi^{2}}+1\right)=0 \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying each function of equation (105) to equation (103), the following modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ is obtained:

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1  \tag{106}\\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Noting equations (70) and (106), the modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ for flexibility becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{107}\\
0.415 & 0.76 \\
0.415 & -0.76 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions of the shapes defined for the stiffness method become

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right] } \\
= & 4 \frac{E I}{L}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[\frac{6 \Psi_{-\phi^{2}}+1}{4}\right.} & 0 \\
0 & 3\left(\frac{2}{4 \psi} \Psi_{-\phi^{2}+1}\right)
\end{array}\right. \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

The diagonal terms of the matrix $\left[\bigwedge_{k t}\right]$ define the individual transcendental functions which yield the following critical buckling loads:

$$
\left[\Lambda_{0 r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{25 \cdot 20 E I}{L^{2}} & 0  \tag{109}\\
0 & \frac{30.526 E I}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The resulting mode shapes are shown in Fig. 12.

It is seen that the lowest critical buckling
load occurs for the case where sidesway is present.

### 3.4 Fixed, Simply Supported-Frame

Consider the orthogonal, portal frame $A B C D$ in Fig. 8 for the case of a simple support on the left and a fixed support on the right end, that is $k t_{1}=0, k t_{2}=\infty$, $M_{A B}=0$ and $\theta_{o c}=0$ (see Fig. 11).


Fig. 11 Fixed, Simply Supported-Orthogonal, Portal Frame

Equation (71) reduces to the form

The determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \frac{E I_{1}}{L_{1}}\left[\frac{a}{\psi_{1} a_{2}}\left(\frac{E I}{L_{1}}\right)^{2}+\frac{6}{a_{2}}\left(2+\begin{array}{c}
8 \psi_{2} \\
\psi_{1} \\
3
\end{array} \frac{\phi_{2}}{\psi_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{E I \cdot E I}{L} \cdot \frac{L}{L}\right)+18\left(\frac{1}{\psi_{1}}+\frac{4}{2 \psi_{2}-\phi_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{2}-\right. \\
& \left.4\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right)\left\{\frac{3 \psi_{2}}{\psi_{1}}\left(\frac{E I}{G_{2}}\right)^{2}+2 \frac{E I}{L_{1}} \frac{E I}{L}\left(\frac{3}{\psi_{1}}+\frac{2 \psi_{1}}{U_{2}}\right)+6\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{2}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

For the special case where $P_{1}=P_{2}=P, L_{1}=L$, and $I_{1}=I$ equation (111) becomes
$2\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{3}\left[3\left\{\frac{(6 \psi-\phi)(2 \psi+\phi)+20 \psi+6 \phi+3}{\psi a}\right\}-2(2 u)^{2}\left\{\frac{4 \psi+3}{a}+\frac{6(\psi+1)}{\psi}\right\}=0\right.$. (112)
This equation yields many roots of transcendental function, one considers the first two roots.

Applying the function of equation (112) to equation (110), the following modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ is obtained:

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{113}\\
-8.316 & 0.20409 \\
11.1425 & 0.20830
\end{array}\right]
$$

Noting equations (70) and (113), the modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ for flexibility becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{114}\\
-1.709 & -0.3295 \\
-2.194 & -0.4139
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions of the mode shapes defined for the stiffness method become

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right] } & =\left[K_{s r}^{*}\right] \\
& =\frac{E I}{L}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{11} & e_{12} \\
e_{21} & e_{22}
\end{array}\right], \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

where $e_{n}=\frac{326.04}{\psi}+280.39 \frac{\psi}{a}+71.041 \frac{\phi}{a}+313.89-1044.25 u^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{22}=\frac{1.8804}{\psi}+0.4269 \frac{\psi}{a}+0.1718 \frac{\phi}{a}+3.3502-0.3471 u \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $e_{12}=e_{21}$

$$
=\frac{-24 \cdot 760}{\psi}+7.3240 \frac{\psi}{a}+5.3592 \frac{\phi}{a}+13.435-19.0386 u
$$

Equating $e_{n}=0$, it follows that, $2 u=2.103$,

$$
\psi=1.5184,0=1.954, a=0.9006 \text {. For these }
$$

values, one obtains

$$
\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0  \tag{117}\\
0 & -15.3690
\end{array}\right]
$$

where it is noted that $e_{12}=0$.

Equating $e_{22}=0$, it follows that, $2 u=3.8765$, $\psi=-0.66125, \phi=-2.71710$, and $a=-0.93894$.

For these values, one obtains

$$
\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-3699.1671 & 0  \tag{118}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

with the condition that $e_{12}=0$.

The associated critical load matrix takes the form

$$
\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{4.423 E I}{L^{2}} & 0  \tag{119}\\
0 & \frac{15.027 E I}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The resulting mode shapes are shown in Fig. 12 .
3.4A Fixed, Simply Supported-Frame Neglecting Sidesway

In the case where sidesway is neglected, $\Delta=0$,
hence $\theta_{A B}^{*}=\theta_{A B}, \theta_{B A}^{*}=\theta_{B A}, \theta_{C D}^{*}=\theta_{C D}$,

$$
\theta_{D C}=\theta_{D} \text { and equation (110) to the form }
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{120}\\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{3 E I}{\psi}+\frac{4 E I}{L} & -2 \frac{E I}{L} \\
-2 \frac{E I}{L} & 2 \psi \frac{E I}{L}+\frac{4 E I}{L}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{\Delta c} \\
\theta_{c o}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For the special case where $P_{1}=P_{2}=P, L_{1}=L$ and $I_{1}=I$,
the determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
12\left(\frac{E I}{L}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{\psi(2 \psi+3)(2 \psi+1)+\phi^{2}(\psi+1)}{\psi(2 \psi+\phi)(2 \psi-\phi)}\right]=0 \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting equation (121), the following transcendental equations hold:
$\psi(2 \psi+3)(2 \psi+1)-\phi(\psi+1)=\frac{1}{\psi}=\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}=\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}=0$.
Applying each function of equation (122) to equation (120), the following modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ is obtained:

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{123}\\
0.36 & 5.01
\end{array}\right]
$$

It should be noted that the functions $\frac{1}{\psi}=\frac{1}{2 \psi_{+} \phi}=$ $\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}=0$ yield the condition $\left\{\theta_{s t}\right\}=0$, hence equation (117) does not hold. The modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ is obtained from the first transcendental function.

Noting equations (70) and (123), the modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ for flexibility becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{124}\\
-0.175 & -2.973 \\
-0.304 & 4.938
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions of the mode shapes defined for the stiffness method take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right] } & =\left[K_{s r}^{*}\right] \\
& =\frac{E I}{L}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
n_{11} & n_{12} \\
n_{21} & n_{22}
\end{array}\right] \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating $n_{n}=0$, it follows that, $2 u=3.76$,

$$
\psi=-0.9192, \phi=-3.1973 \text {, and } a=-1.141 .
$$

For these values, one obtains

$$
\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0  \tag{127}\\
0 & 121.538
\end{array}\right]
$$

where it is noted that $n_{12}=0$.
Equating $n_{22}=0$, it follows that, $2 u=5.28$,

$$
\psi=0.4732, \phi=-1.5718 \text {, and } a=-0.2624 .
$$

For these values, one obtains

$$
\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
3.9507 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

with the condition that $n_{12}=0$.
The associated critical load matrix takes the form

$$
\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{14.138 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}} & 0  \tag{129}\\
0 & \frac{27.878 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The associated mode shapes are shown in Fig. 12 .

It is seen that the lowest critical buckling load occurs for the case where sidesway is present and the next higher critical buckling load occurs for the case when sidesway is neglected.

Simple Supports


Fig. 12 The Possible Mode Shapes of the Orthogonal Portal Frame

TABULAR RESULTS OF MINIMUM CRI'TICAL BUCKLING LOADS

| Boundary Conditions | Two Spans | Three Spans | Four Spans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sirple Supports | $\frac{T^{2} E I}{L^{2}}$ | $\frac{T^{2} E I}{L^{2}}$ | $\frac{\pi^{2} E I}{L^{2}}$ |
| Simple,Fixed <br> Supports | $\frac{12.816 E I}{L^{2}}$ | $\frac{11.22 E I}{L^{2}}$ | $\frac{10.628 E I}{L^{2}}$ |
| Fixed Supports | $\frac{20.19 E I}{L^{2}}$ | $\frac{14.75 E I}{L^{2}}$ | $\frac{12.816 E I}{L^{2}}$ |

Table 4.1 Minimum Critical Buckling Loads for Continuous Columns

| Boundary Conditions | 1st <br> Sidesway | 1stNon- <br> Sidesway | 2nd <br> Sidesway | 2ndNon- <br> Sidesway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple Supports | $\frac{1.823 E I}{L^{2}}$ | $\frac{12.888 E I}{L^{2}}$ | - | $\frac{15.80 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$ |
| Simple, Fixed <br> Supports | $\frac{4.423 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}$ | $\frac{14.138 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}$ | $\frac{15.027 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$ | $\frac{27.878 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}$ |
| Fixed Supports | $\frac{7.371 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}$ | $\frac{25.202 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}$ | - | $\frac{30.526 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}}$ |

Table 4.2 Critical Buckling Loads for the<br>Orthosonal Portal Frames

## CHAPTER V

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

### 5.1 Discussion

For the static stability problea, considering the axial forces in the column only, the use of the stiffness method is more efficient than the flexibility method, since the minimum critical buckling load is always obtained and the mode shapes defined by the ratio of the joint rotations are easily produced. The flexibility method is also useful, but possesses certain irregularities. One is not assured that the minimum critical buckling load and the associated mode shape are produced. It is, however, not necessary to make the system statically determinant by removal of certain redundant forces, as in the general bending problem since the application of the boundary conditions yield a set of homogeneous equations.

For a continuous column simply supported at both ends, the ratios of bending moments at each support takes the form $\frac{0}{0}$ which is an undefined quantity. Therefore, the flexibility method cannot be utilized conveniently to determine critical buckling loads.

For a continuous column fixed at both ends, the ratios of rotations at each support is equal to $\frac{0}{0}$. Hence, the use of stiffness method is mathematically restricted.

In the case of a continuous column with one end simply supported and the other is fixed, the ratio of bending moments or the ratio of the joint rotations are always a defined values. Thus, both the stiffness method and the flexibility method are equally convenient to use.

For the continuous column-problem, the lowest critical buckling load is always determined by the stiffness method. If the flexibility method is used, the lowest critical buckling load may or may not be determined.

For a orthogonal portal frame, the ratio of joint rotations and the ratio of bending moments are always defined. The lowest critical buckling load occurs for the case where sidesway is present, regardless of the type of boundary conditions. The use of the stiffness method is more efficient, since the lowest critical buckling load and corresponding mode shape are always produced.

### 5.2 Conclusions

Generally, the stiffness method is more complete, convenient and useful to solve the structural stability problem.

For the simply-supported two, three and four-span continuous columns of equal span lengths, one obtains the same lowest critical buckling load $P_{\text {cr }}=\frac{\mathbb{Z}^{2} E I}{L^{2}}$ for each case.

The value of the lowest critical buckling loads for a continuous column fixed at both ends and having equal span lengths are determined for the two, three, and fourspan geometry respectively as $P_{\mathrm{cr}_{2}}=\frac{20.19 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{cr}_{3}}=\frac{14.75 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$, and $P_{c r_{4}}=\frac{12.816 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$. It is seen that as the number of equal length spans increase, the lowest critical load decreases.

For the case of a continuous columns fixed at one end and simply-supported at the other, the minimum critical buckling loads for the two, three, and four-span conditions become $P_{\mathrm{cr}_{2}}=\frac{12.816 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}, \quad P_{\mathrm{cr}_{3}}=\frac{11.22 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$, and $P_{c r_{4}}=\frac{10.628 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$. Hence, as the number of equal length spans increase, the value of the minimum critical bucking load decreases.

As the degree of fixity of the continuous column increase, the value of the lowest critical buckling load
increases.

For the orthogonal portal frames, the value of the lowest critical buckling load always occurs for the case where sidesway is present. The next higher critical buckling load usually occurs as the first non-sidesway mode. The lowest critical buckling loads for the cases of simple supports at both ends, simple-fixed supports and fixed supports at both ends are respectively, $\quad P_{i c r}=\frac{1.823 E I}{L^{2}}$, $P_{2 c r}=\frac{4.423 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$, and $P_{s_{c r}}=\frac{7.371 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}}$.

For a simply supported column, the value of the Euler load is given as $P_{e}=\frac{\pi^{2} E I}{L^{2}}$. For the simply supported frame, the lowest value of $P_{c r}$ is only $18.47 \%$ of the Euler buckling load. That is, the critical buckling load is reduce by $81.53 \%$.

For the case of fixed-simply supported frame, the lowest value of $P_{c r}$ is $44.81 \%$ of the Euler buckling load.

For the case of fixed-fixed supported frame, the lowest value of $P_{\text {cr }}$ is 74.66 \% of the Euler buckling load.

## APPENDIX A



Six boundary conditions

```
    Simple Supports
        MAB}=0
        MBA}=\mp@subsup{M}{BC}{}=\mp@subsup{M}{B}{
    MAB}=0
    MSA}=\mp@subsup{M}{BC}{}=\mp@subsup{M}{0}{}\mathrm{ ,
    M
    MCB}=\mp@subsup{M}{CO}{}=\mp@subsup{M}{C}{}\mathrm{ ,
    0AB}=0
    0BA}=-\mp@subsup{0}{BC}{}=\mp@subsup{0}{B}{},\quad\mp@subsup{0}{BA}{}=-\mp@subsup{0}{BC}{}=\mp@subsup{0}{B}{
    \mp@subsup{0}{BA}{}}=-\mp@subsup{0}{BC}{}=\mp@subsup{0}{B}{}\mathrm{ , and }\mp@subsup{0}{CB}{}=-\mp@subsup{0}{CD}{}=\mp@subsup{0}{c}{}\mathrm{ , and
    \mp@subsup{0}{cB}{}=-\mp@subsup{0}{cD}{}=\mp@subsup{0}{c}{}.\quad\mp@subsup{0}{0c}{}=0.
Fixed, Simple Supports
                            M BA }=\mp@subsup{M}{BC}{}
                            Mco,
                            MCB}=\mp@subsup{M}{CD}{}
Moc}=0
                            0cB}=-\mp@subsup{0}{CD}{}=\mp@subsup{0}{c}{}\mathrm{ , and
C
```



```
    Co MOM
        OM
```

$$
M_{c s}=M_{c}
$$

$$
\mathrm{BA}=\mathrm{BC}=
$$

$$
\theta_{c B}=-\theta_{C D}=
$$

$$
\theta_{c} \text {,and }
$$

-       Fixed Supports
      Fixed Supports
    
$M_{B A}=M_{D C}=M_{B}$,

$$
\theta_{A B}=0
$$

$$
\theta_{B A}=-\theta_{B C}=\theta_{B}
$$

,

## Stiffness Method

## Simple Supports Fixed Supports Fixed, Simple Supports

Applying the boundary conditions, one obtains


The determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\frac{(4 \psi-\phi)(4 \psi+\phi)}{(2 \psi+\phi)(2 \psi-\phi)}=0 . \quad(4 \psi-\phi)(4 \psi+\phi)=0 . \quad \psi(4 \psi-\sqrt{3})(4 \psi+\sqrt{3})=0
$$

The transcendental equations become:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi}=0 \\
& 4 \psi-\phi=0 \\
& 4 \psi+\phi=0 \text {, and } \\
& \frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
4 \psi-\phi & =0 \text {, and } & 4 \psi-\sqrt{3} & =0, \\
4 \psi+\phi=0, & \psi & =0 \text {, and } \\
& & 4 \psi+\sqrt{3} & =0
\end{array}
$$

The modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ takes the form

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -1 \\
-1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] . \quad\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
-\sqrt{3} & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\
2 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions are $\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]=\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right]$, or

$$
\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 \psi-\phi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4 \psi+\phi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
4 \psi-\phi & 0 \\
0 & 4 \psi+\phi
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\Lambda_{k t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
4 \psi-\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \psi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4 \psi+\sqrt{3}
\end{array}\right] \ldots
$$

The critical buckling loads reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{(n \eta)^{2} E I}{L^{2}}, n=1,3,5 \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{14.75 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{26.52 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(n \pi)^{2} E I}{L^{2}}, n=2,4,6 \ldots
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{11.22 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{20, \frac{19 E I}{L^{2}}}{} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{32.89 E I}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\Lambda_{C r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{14.75 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{26.52 E I}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Flexibility Method

Applying the boundary conditions, one obtains

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\frac{I}{6 E I}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
4 \psi & \phi \\
\phi & 4 \psi
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{B} \\
M_{C}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\frac{L}{6 E I}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 \psi & \phi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 \psi & \phi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4 \psi & \phi \\
0 & 0 & \phi & 2 \psi
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{A B} \\
M_{B} \\
M_{C} \\
M_{D C}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\frac{L}{6 E I}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
4 \psi & \phi & 0 \\
\phi & 4 \psi & \phi \\
0 & \phi & 2 \psi
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{B} \\
M_{C} \\
M_{D C}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The determinant of the reduced flexibility matrix yields

$$
(4 \psi-\phi)(4 \psi+\phi)=0 \cdot(4 \psi-\phi)(4 \psi+\phi)(2 \psi+\phi)(2 \psi-\phi)=0 \cdot \psi(4 \psi-\sqrt{3})(4 \psi+\sqrt{3})=0 .
$$

The transcendental equations become

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
4 \psi-\phi=0, \text { and } & 4 \psi-\phi & =0, & 4 \psi-\sqrt{3} \\
4 \psi+\phi=0 . & \psi & =0, \\
4 \psi+\phi & =0, & 4 \psi+\sqrt{3} & =0, \text { and } \\
2 \psi+\phi & =0, \text { and } &
\end{array}
$$

The modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ takes the form

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & -1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ becomes

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
-1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 1 \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions are $\left[\Lambda_{f_{t}}\right]=\left[U_{f}\right]^{\top}\left[F_{s r}\right]\left[U_{f}\right]$, or

$$
\left[\Lambda_{f_{t}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
4 \psi-\phi & 0 \\
0 & 4 \psi+\phi
\end{array}\right] .\left[\Lambda_{f_{t}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
4 \psi-\phi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 \psi+\phi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 \psi+\phi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \psi-\phi
\end{array}\right]\left[\Lambda_{f_{1}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
4 \psi-\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \psi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4 \psi+\sqrt{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The critical buckling loads reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{14.75 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{26.52 E I}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right] .} \\
& {\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{11.22 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{20.19 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{32.89 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{14.75 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{26.52 \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{(2 n \pi)^{2} \mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}, n=1,2,3 \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{80.748 E I}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$




$$
P_{3_{c r}}=\frac{26.52 E I}{L^{2}} \quad P_{3_{c r}}=\frac{(2 n \pi)^{2} E I}{L^{2}}, n=1,2,3 \ldots
$$

A. 2 Two-Span Column


Four boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Simple Supports Fixed Supports Fixed, Simple Supports } \\
& M_{A B}=0, \quad M_{B A}=M_{B C}=M_{B}, \quad M_{A B}=0 \text {, } \\
& M_{B A}=M_{B C}=M_{B}, \quad \theta_{A B}=0, \quad M_{B A}=M_{B C}=M_{B} \text {, } \\
& M_{C B}=0 \text {, and } \quad \theta_{B A}=-\theta_{B C}=\theta_{B} \text {, and } \theta_{B A}=-\theta_{B C}=\theta_{B} \text {, and } \\
& \theta_{B A}=-\theta_{B C}=\theta_{B} . \quad \theta_{C B}=0 \text {. } \\
& \theta_{c B}=0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stiffness Method

## Simple Supports <br> Fixed Supports Fixed, Simple Supports

Applying the boundary conditions, one obtains

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\frac{E I}{L}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \psi & -\phi & 0 \\
\frac{a}{a} & \frac{\phi}{a} & \frac{\psi}{a} \\
-\frac{\phi}{a} \\
0 & \phi & 2 \psi \\
-\frac{\alpha}{a}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{A B} \\
\theta_{B} \\
\theta_{C B}
\end{array}\right][0]=\frac{E I}{L}[4 \psi]\left[\theta_{B}\right] . \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\frac{E I}{L}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \psi & -\frac{\phi}{a} \\
\frac{\alpha}{a} \\
-\frac{\phi}{a} & \frac{4 \psi}{a}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{A B} \\
\theta_{B}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The determinant of the reduced stiffness matrix yields

$$
\frac{\psi}{(2 \psi+\phi)(2 \psi-\phi)}=0 . \psi=0 \quad(2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi)(2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi)=0
$$

The transcendental equations become

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi} & =0, \\
\psi & =0 \text {, and } \quad \psi=0 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi=2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi=0, \\
\frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi} & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left[U_{k}\right]$ takes the form

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[U_{k}\right]=[1] \quad\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$ The modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ becomes

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] . \quad\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
-1
\end{array}\right] . \quad\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
-\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The orthogonality conditions are $\left[\bigwedge_{k t}\right]=\left[U_{k}\right]^{\top}\left[K_{s r}\right]\left[U_{k}\right]$, or
$\left[\Lambda_{k_{t}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{2 \psi+\phi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \psi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2 \psi-\phi}\end{array}\right]$.

$$
\left[\Lambda_{k_{t}}\right]=[\psi] \cdot\left[\Lambda_{k_{t}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi & 0 \\
0 & 2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Simple Supports

Fixed Supports Fixed, Simple Supports

The critical buckling loads reduce to


## Flexibility Method

```
Simple Supports
Fixed Supports
Fixed, Simple Supports
```

Applying the boundary conditions, one obtains

$$
[0]=\frac{L}{6 E I}[4 \psi]\left[M_{B}\right] .\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\frac{L}{6 E I}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \psi & \phi & 0 \\
\phi & 4 \psi & \phi \\
0 & \phi & 2 \psi
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{A B} \\
M_{B} \\
M_{C B}
\end{array}\right] .\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\frac{L}{6 E I}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
4 \psi & \phi \\
\phi & 2 \psi
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{B} \\
M_{C B}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The determinant of the reduced flexibility matrix yields

$$
\psi=0 . \psi(2 \psi+\phi)(2 \psi-\phi)=0 \quad(2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi)(2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi)=0
$$

The transcendental equations become

$$
\psi=0 . \begin{array}{rlrl}
\psi & =0, & 2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi & =0, \text { and } \\
2 \psi+\phi & =0, \text { and } & 2 \sqrt{2} \psi+\phi & =0 . \\
2 \psi-\phi & =0 .
\end{array}
$$

The modal matrix $\left[U_{f}\right]$ takes the form

$$
\left[U_{f}\right]=[1] .\left[U_{f}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Fixed Supports
The modal matrix $\left[U_{k}\right]$ becomes

$$
\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[U_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
\frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality conditions are $\left[\Lambda_{f_{t}}\right]=\left[U_{f}\right]^{\top}\left[F_{s r}\right]\left[U_{f}\right]$, or
$\left[\Lambda_{f_{t}}\right]=[\psi] .\left[\Lambda_{f_{t}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\psi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \psi+\phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \psi-\phi\end{array}\right] .\left[\begin{array}{l}\Lambda_{f t}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}2 \sqrt{2} \psi-\phi \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$

The critical buckling loads reduce to

$$
\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\frac{20.19 E I}{L^{2}}\right]_{0}\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{20.19 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{(2 n \pi)^{2} \mathrm{EI},}{L^{2}}, n=1,2,3 \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{80.748 E I}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right] .\left[\Lambda_{c r}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{12.816 E I}{L^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{29.703 E I}{L^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Simple Supports

Fixed Supports

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1 c r}=\frac{(n \pi)^{2} E I}{L^{2}}, n=1,3,5 \ldots \ldots & P_{1 c r}=\frac{20,19 E I}{L^{2}} \\
P \rightarrow \frac{4}{m} \ldots-\cdots & P \rightarrow-\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{3 c r}=\frac{(n \pi)^{2} E I}{L^{2}}, n=2,4,6 \ldots \ldots P_{3 c r}=\frac{80.748 \mathrm{EI}}{L^{2}} \\
& P \rightarrow P \quad P \quad P \rightarrow P
\end{aligned}
$$

Fixed, Simple Supports




Fig. A. 2 The Possible Mode Shapes of Two Span-Column

## APPENDIX B

## STATIC STABILITY PROBLEM <br> INCLUDING THE EFFECTS OF SHEAR FORCE

Consider Fig. 1 for the case where shearing forces $V_{A B}$ and $V_{B A}$ are included. There are two additional boundary conditions which must be considered; they take the form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
M_{A B}+P\left(\Delta_{B}-\Delta_{A}\right)-V_{A B} L-M_{B A}=0, \text { and }  \tag{B-1}\\
-M_{A A}+P\left(\Delta_{B}-\Delta_{A}\right)+V_{B A} L+M_{A B}=0 .
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence, equation (13) becomes

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{A B}  \tag{B-2}\\
M_{B A} \\
v_{A B} \\
V_{B A}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
k_{11} & k_{12} & k_{13} & k_{14} \\
k_{21} & k_{22} & k_{23} & k_{24} \\
k_{31} & k_{32} & k_{33} & k_{34} \\
k_{41} & k_{42} & k_{43} & k_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{A B} \\
\theta_{B A} \\
\triangle_{A} \\
\triangle_{B}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { where } k_{11}=k_{22}=2 \nmid \frac{E I}{L} \text {, } \\
& k_{12}=k_{21}=-\frac{\phi}{a} \frac{E I}{L} \text {, } \\
& k_{13}=k_{31}=-k_{14}=-k_{41} \\
& -\mathrm{k}_{23}=-\mathrm{k}_{32}=\mathrm{k}_{24}=\mathbf{k}_{42}  \tag{B-3}\\
& =\left(\frac{2 \psi+\phi}{a}\right) \frac{E I}{L^{2}} \quad \text {, and } \\
& \mathrm{k}_{33}=-\mathrm{k}_{34}=-\mathrm{k}_{43}=\mathrm{k}_{44} \\
& \left.=\left\{2 \frac{(2 \psi+\phi)}{a}-(2 u)^{2}\right\} \frac{E I}{L^{3}} \quad .\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the boundary conditions of the orthogonal, portal frame $A B C D$. They are the same as those given in equation (69) , except two linear springs $k_{1}$ and $k_{3}$ are included. A linear spring $k_{1}$ is located horizontally at support A and a linear spring $k_{2}$ is located horizontally at $D$, two rollers are positioned at both $A$ and $D$ (see Fig. B.1) .


Fig. B. 1 Orthogonal Portal Frame Including Linear Springs

The eight boundary conditions are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
M_{A B} & =k t_{1} \theta_{A B}, \\
M_{B A} & =M_{B C} \\
M_{C B} & =M_{B} \\
M_{D C} & =-M_{C D}  \tag{B-4}\\
-\Theta_{B C} & =M_{C}, \\
-\theta_{D C} & \\
\theta_{C B} & =\theta_{B A}, \\
E I y_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)+P_{1} y_{1}^{\prime}(0) & =-V_{A B}(0)=-k_{1} \triangle_{A}, \text { and } \\
E I y_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)+P_{2} y_{2}^{\prime}(0) & =-V_{D C}(0)=-k_{2} \Delta_{D} \text {, }
\end{array}\right]
$$

## APPENDIX C

## DYNAMIC STABILITY PROBLEM

The dynamic stability problem is formulated from the basic fourth order differential equation of a column subjected to bending stress, axial compressive forces $P$ and transverse inertia force (see Fig. 1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{x}^{\prime \prime}(x, t)+\frac{P}{E I} y_{x}^{\prime \prime}(x, t)+\frac{\rho A}{E I} y_{t}^{\prime \prime}(x, t)=0, \tag{C-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where E, I, P, A , and $\rho$ are assumed constant.

The above equation is based upon the same five assumptions given in Chapter I , the general solution of equation ( $\mathrm{C}-1$ ) becomes

$$
y(x)=A \cosh \gamma x+B \sin h \gamma x+C \cos \delta x+D \sin \delta x,(C-2)
$$

where $\gamma=\left[-\frac{k^{2}}{2}+\left\{\left(\frac{k^{2}}{2}\right)^{2}+\lambda^{4}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta=\left[\frac{k^{2}}{2}+\left\{\left(\frac{k^{2}}{2}\right)^{2}+\lambda^{4}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} & ,  \tag{c-3}\\
\lambda^{4}=\frac{\rho P A \Omega^{2}}{E I} & \text {, and }
\end{array}
$$

$\Omega$ is the natural frequency of free vibration of the beam-column.

The column must satisfy the six boundary conditions given in equation (3) and two additional transverse shearing force-conditions for the free vibration problem given as
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{A B}+P\left(\Delta_{B}-\Delta_{A}\right)-V_{B A} L-M_{B A}+\rho_{A} \Omega_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} y(x) d x=0,  \tag{c-4}\\
& V_{A B}+V_{B A}-\rho_{A} \Omega_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} y(x) d x=0
\end{align*}
$$

The constants $A, B, C$ and $D$ of equation ( $C-2$ ), determinined directly by using the first four boundary conditions given in equation (3), become

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}+\delta^{\circ}}\left(\delta^{2} \triangle_{A}-\frac{M_{A \theta}}{E I}\right),  \tag{c-5}\\
& B=\frac{1}{\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \sinh \gamma L}\left\{\delta^{2} \Delta_{B}-\frac{M_{Q n}}{E I}+\left(\frac{M_{A \theta}}{E I}-\delta^{2} \Delta\right) \cosh \gamma \mathrm{L}\right\} \\
& C=\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}}\left(\gamma^{2} \Delta_{A}+\frac{M_{A \theta}}{E I}\right), \text { and } \\
& D=\frac{1}{\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \sin \delta L}\left\{\gamma^{2} \Delta_{B}+\frac{M_{B n}}{E I}-\left(\frac{M_{A B}}{E I}+\gamma^{2} \Delta\right) \cos \delta L\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining equations ( $c-2$ ) and (c-5) together
with the last two boundary conditions given in equation (3) and equation (C-4), it follows that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & 1 & 0 \\
a_{41} & a_{42} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{M_{A B}}{E I} \\
\frac{M_{B A}}{E I} \\
\frac{V_{A B} L}{E I} \\
\frac{V_{B A} L}{E I}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & b_{B} & b_{14} \\
0 & 1 & b_{23} & b_{24} \\
0 & 0 & b_{33} & b_{34} \\
0 & 0 & b_{43} & b_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{A B} \\
\theta_{B A} \\
\triangle_{A}
\end{array}\right](c-6)} \\
& \text { where } a_{11}=a_{22}=\frac{\gamma \cosh L}{\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \sinh \gamma L}-\frac{\delta \cos \delta L}{\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \sin \delta L} \text {, } \\
& a_{12}=a_{21}=-\frac{\gamma}{\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \sinh \gamma L}+\frac{\delta}{\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \sin \delta L}, \\
& a_{31}=a_{42}=1+\frac{(\gamma \delta)^{2}}{\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}}\left(\frac{L}{\gamma} \frac{\cosh \gamma L}{\sinh \gamma L}-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{L}{\delta} \frac{\cos \delta L}{\sin \delta L}-\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\right), \\
& a_{32}=a_{41}=-1-\frac{(\gamma \delta)^{2}}{\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}}\left(\frac{L}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\sinh \gamma L}-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{L}{\delta} \frac{1}{\sin \delta L}-\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\right) \text {, } \\
& b_{13}=b_{24}=\frac{\gamma \delta^{2} \cosh \gamma L}{\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \sinh \gamma L}+\frac{\gamma^{2} \delta \cos \delta L}{\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \sin \delta L}, \\
& b_{14}=b_{23}=-\frac{\gamma \delta^{2}}{\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \sinh \gamma L}-\frac{\gamma^{2} \delta}{\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \sin \delta L} \text {, } \\
& b_{33}=b_{44}=\left(\frac{2 u}{L}\right)^{2}+\frac{(\gamma \delta)^{2}}{\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}}\left\{\delta^{2}\left(\frac{L}{\gamma} \frac{\cosh \gamma L}{\sinh \gamma L}-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{L}{\delta} \frac{\cos \delta L}{\sin \delta L}-\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\right)\right\}_{,} \\
& \text {and } \left.b_{34}=b_{43}=-\left(\frac{2 u}{L}\right)^{2}-\frac{(\gamma \delta)^{2}}{\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}}\left\{\delta^{2}\left(\frac{L}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\sinh \gamma}-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\right)-\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{L}{\delta} \frac{1}{\sin \delta L}-\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& -(c-7)
\end{aligned}
$$

Premultiplying equation ( $C-8$ ) by $A^{-1}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{m\}=A^{-1} B\{\theta\} \equiv\left[K_{D m}\right]\{\theta\} \tag{c-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $\left[K_{D m}\right]$ is defined as the stiffness matrix for a single member.

Noting equation ( $C-6$ ) and ( $C-9$ ), one obtains the component matrix form as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
M_{A B}  \tag{c-10}\\
M_{B A} \\
v_{A B} \\
V_{B A}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{a_{11}^{2}-a_{21}^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
k_{11} & k_{12} & k_{13} & k_{14} \\
k_{21} & k_{22} & k_{23} & k_{24} \\
k_{31} & k_{32} & k_{33} & k_{34} \\
k_{41} & k_{42} & k_{43} & k_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{A B} \\
\theta_{B A} \\
\triangle_{A} \\
\triangle_{B}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}_{11}=\mathrm{k}_{22}=a_{11} \text { Er , } \\
& k_{12}=k_{21}=-a_{12} E I \text {, } \\
& k_{13}=k_{24}=\left(a_{11} b_{13}-a_{12} b_{14}\right) E I \\
& \mathbf{k}_{14}=\mathbf{k}_{23}=\left(a_{11} b_{14}-a_{12} b_{13}\right) \text { aI , } \\
& \mathrm{k}_{31}=\mathrm{k}_{42}=\left(a_{12} a_{32}-a_{11} \frac{a_{31}}{}\right) \frac{\mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}} \text {. } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
k_{32}=k_{41}=\left(a_{12} a_{31}-a_{11} a_{33}\right) \frac{\mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}}, \\
\mathrm{k}_{33}=\mathrm{k}_{44}=\left\{b_{13}\left(a_{11} a_{31}-a_{12} a_{3}\right)+b_{14}\left(a_{11} a_{32}-a_{12} a_{21}\right)\right.
\end{array}  \tag{c-11}\\
& \left.+b_{33}\left(a_{11}^{2}-a_{12}^{2}\right)\right\} \frac{\mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}} \text {, and } \\
& k_{34}=k_{43}=\left\{b_{14}\left(a_{11} a_{31}-a_{12} a_{2}\right)+b_{13}\left(a_{11} a_{32}-a_{12} a_{31}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+b_{34}\left(a_{11}^{2}-a_{12}^{2}\right)\right\} \frac{\mathrm{EI}}{\mathrm{~L}}
\end{align*}
$$

Algebraic simplification of equation (C-11)
yields a symmetric stiffness matrix in equation ( $C-10$ ).

1. Galambos, T.V., "Structural Members and Frames", Prentice-Hall, Englewood Clifts, N.J. , 1968.
2. Gregory, M.S., "Elastic Instability", E. and F.N. Spon, London, 1967.
3. Timoshenko, S.P., and J.M. Gere, "Theory of Elastic Stability" , McGraw-Hill, New York, 1961.
