

Academic Affairs Committee

MINUTES

December 3, 1971

Present were V. A. Nickley, J. A. Scriven, H. Sizen, H. Kretzner, E. E. Emlinizer, M. Brunner, J. Roderick, W. E. Bigar, A. R. Curran and G. A. Debbert.

Chair asked for correction of the minutes. No corrections were forthcoming, and Chair ordered the minutes approved.

Chairman then reported the committee's action regarding audits out of committee via the chairman of the Executive Committee, who ruled that, as far as she was concerned, the action of the committee did not constitute a change in policy but rather a clarification and elaboration of an old one. Chair then informed the committee that he would send a memo to Dr. Pfau, Chairman of the English Department, leaving it up to her that in case she did not agree with the committee's action, then the Chair would bring it before the Senate.

Next item: The Chair submitted his revised survey questionnaire to the committee and asked for further suggestions. Only minor corrections were made, and the Chair was complimented on the form of the questionnaire. Chair further informed the committee that he would briefly remind the Senate at its next meeting that the committee was ready to distribute the forms to the various members of the Senate and chairmen of departments. E. E. Emlinizer thereupon moved that the form be approved. Motion was seconded by G. A. Debbert and passed by the committee.

Chair then briefly referred to the matter raised by Student Council regarding N's to be erased from the final transcript and said that this matter could be taken up at the first opportunity after other business on the agenda had been cleared.

Vice President Bigar then withdrew Item Two of his memorandum on December 27, 1971 to the chairman of the committee, on the basis that the question involved fees and therefore did not fall into the province of the committee.

Chairman then moved that Item Three of the same memo be placed on the agenda, namely the standing of students who had not met the program who had not qualified for admission to the

... of the ... including the ...
... explained by the fact that the nursing ...
... with the open-door policy of the University ...
... to qualify ...
... and receive a ...
... test given to all prospective nursing students.
... this qualification, however, could
... by taking equivalent University
... make up such deficiencies. E. Curran, however,
... what standing of these nursing
... high school graduates who
... into the program. It was then explained
... take a specified test, and
... determined by
... the highest rank. This then raised the
... as to the standing of
... in the University and
... but having still passed
... in the program.

Dean Scriven then made the motion to immediately adopt an
implement to Proposal #3 of the case memo. G. L. Lebbert
then moved that consideration of this motion be postponed
to the next meeting, to be scheduled for Thursday, December 9
at 3:00 p.m. in the same place. Seconded by E. E. Blumhizer
and passed unanimously. Chair and other members also agreed
that at that meeting the presence of the Dean of the TeCC
and the Supervisor of the Nursing Program would help to
clarify matters for those members who were not as familiar
with the program and the proposals.

Chair then opened a discussion on Item #1 regarding conference
courses, in the hope that the matter would be quickly disposed
of. However, in the course of the discussion it soon became
evident that the matter was more complex than initially
anticipated, and therefore the meeting was adjourned to allow
those members to attend the Senate meeting.

CAD:ju

G. L. Lebbert, Secretary

cc: A.E. Curran, E.E. Blumhizer, R. Kreutzer, J. Roderick,
F. Rosenberg, M. Sizan, V. Richley, E.E. Edjar, J.A. Scriven,
H. Brunner, D. DeSalvo, President Pugalsky, Mrs. Schaurtgon