

ASSOCIATION FOR BEHAVIOR ANALYSIS AN INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

EXECUTIVE COUNCIL PAST PRESIDENT Ogden R. Lindsley PRESIDENT Henry S. Pennypacker PRESIDENT-ELECT Barbara C. Etzel SECRETARY-TREASURER David O. Lyon COUNCIL MEMBERS Philip N. Hineline Brian A. Iwata James M. Johnston Edward K. Morris STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES Thomas S. Critchfield Lisa M. Johnson Jill E. McGrale

HONORARY COUNCIL MEMBERS Fred S. Keller B. F. Skinner

COMMITTEES CERTIFICATION BOARD Henry Slucki EDUCATION & EVALUATION Anthony J. M. Marcatillio INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT Richard W. Crouch Judith M. LeBlanc MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE W. Joseph Wyatt PROGRAM COMMITTEE Janet Ellis Sigrid Glenn PUBLIC RELATIONS Henry S. Pennypacker RESEARCH ACTION Ogden R. Lindsley STUDENT COMMITTEE

Jill E. McGrale PAST PRESIDENTS 1984-1985 Ellen P. Reese 1983-1984 Posthumous Don F. Hake 1982-1983 A. Charles Catania 1981-1982 Beth Sulzer-Azaroff 1980-1981 Donald M. Baer 1979-1980 Jack L. Michael 1978-1979 Sidney W. Bijou 1977-1978

Thomas S. Critchfield

Nathan H. Azrin

BUSINESS & CONVENTION

MANAGER

Sharon P. Myers

Israel Goldiamond

1976-1977

PROGRAM & EXECUTIVE MANAGER
Shery Chamberlain

July 6, 1987

TO: 1987 Convention Presenters

FROM: Shery Chamberlain

RE: Convention Session Evaluations

Thank you for participating in the 1987 Convention of the Association for Behavior Analysis. A summary of the evaluations returned for the session(s) in which you presented is enclosed. The following information is included in the summary:

1. The session number.

2. The session type.

3. The number of evaluations returned.

4. The attendance range.

 Rating for each segment of the content evaluation (highest rating = 5, lowest rating = 1).

6. Rating for each segment of the presenter evaluation (highest rating = 5, lowest rating = 1).

7. Comments by the session attendees. (A number in parentheses appears after a comment when more than one person made the comment.)

I hope that the information provided by the summary will assist you when preparing your 1988 Convention presentation. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions about the enclosed information.

/src

enc.

SESSION #366 MEETING NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS RETURNED: 3

ATTENDANCE: ?

CONTENT	EVALU	ATION:
---------	-------	--------

As Advertised 5.00 Very Interesting 4.33 Highly Valuable for Me 2.50

PRESENTER EVALUATION: Graf, Chairperson

Very Interesting 4.00 Knowledgeable 3.67 Highly Organized 4.33 Overall, Excellent 3.75

SESSION #740 SYMPOSIUM NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS RETURNED: 2

ATTENDANCE: Beginning, 0-25; Midpoint, 0-25; End, 0-25

	AI	11	NDA	110	ъ.	Deg	_ 11111.	1119,	U	23	,
	As Ver	Ad	ver Int	ti er	sed	d ting		Me	4.	40 40 40	
	Ver Kno Hig	y wl hl	Int edg y O	er ea rg	est ble ani	ing		iley,	 5. 5. 	00 00 00 00	
	Ver Kno Hig	y wl hl	Intedg	er ea rg	est ble	ting			 5. 5. 		
PRESE	Ver Kno Hig	y wl hl	Intedg	er ea rg	est ble	ing		af, S	4. 4.	50 50 50 50	
	Ver Kno Hig	y wl hl	Intedg	er ea rg	est ble	ting		ndsle	4.4.	0 50 50 50	
PRESE	Ver Kno	y wl hl	Intedg	er ea rg	est ble	ing			 5. 5. 	00 00 00 00	
PRESE	Ver Kno	y wl ghl	Intedg	er ea	ble an:	ting		reet,	4.4.	50 50 50 50	
PRESE	Ver Kno Hig	y wl hl	Intedg	er ea rg	est blean:	ting		ander	5. 5.	C. 00 00 00	
COMME	NTS	5:									

Great!

SESSION #815 SYMPOSIUM NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS RETURNED: 2

ATTENDANCE: Beginning, 0-25; Midpoint, 26-50; End, 26-50

millimbined. Degiming, o	25, 111
	5.00 4.50 4.00
Knowledgeable Highly Organized	L. 4.50 4.00 4.00 4.00
Knowledgeable Highly Organized	E.S. 4.50 4.50 4.50 4.50
Knowledgeable Highly Organized	3.50 4.00 4.00 4.00
COMMENTS: Entertaining.	
Knowledgeable Highly Organized	, R.M. 3.50 4.00 4.00 3.50
Very Interesting Knowledgeable	R. 4.50 5.00 4.50 5.00
Knowledgeable Highly Organized	er, K. 4.00 4.50 4.50 4.00
COMMENTS: VERY good.	
Knowledgeable	te, P. 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00

COMMENTS: Excellent!!

PRESENTER EVALUATION: Vargas, E.

Very Interesting 4.00

Knowledgeable 4.50

Highly Organized 3.50

Overall, Excellent 3.50

As Advertised Very Interesting Highly Valuable fo

Very Interesting Knowledgeable Highly Organized

Very Interesting Knowledgeable Highly Organized

NTER EVALUATION: Graf, Very Interesting Knowledgeable Highly Organized

Overall, Excellent

ESEMPER EVALUATION: Mathew Very Interesting Knowledgeable

> Bighly Organized Overall, Excellent

PRESENTER EVALUATION: Rakos,
Very Interesting
Knowledgeable
Bijniy Organized

PRESENTER EVALUATION: Salzinge Very Interesting 4 Knowledgeable 4 Highly Organized 4

Overall, Excellent

PRESENTER EVALUATION: T Very Interesting Knowledgeable Highly Organized

COMMENTS: Excellentll

Dale M. Brethower

Establishing Win-Win Relationships Between University and Business

Win-win definition

University practice, inquiry
Business competence, accomplishment

· non-tenured delight as solution

Unique, individual ways of collecting data

14 time position

Student perspective faculty administrative

community relations

Joseph A. Parsons

Let your Conscience be your Guide: An Application of Research Supervisory Systems in Canada

Graduate envollment in Canada 1972-93

Frequency cohorts

- full-time
- · part time

Complete 65% Withdraw 30%

Interruption of "excuses"

. Service Operation

Minutes Tasks e-mail

Guillermo E. Yaber, Elizabeth Valarino

Helping Students and their Professors Complete Research **Projects: The Venezuelan Experience**

· Prograstination list

· 2441 Graduate Students

12 dectoral programs 76 masters programs



· Same base-salary for men, women

"Aggregate Professor"

. Non-behavioral solutions

bonuses

· Behavioral Research Supervisory System

· Problem finding techniques · Humor

"Nobody came

Challenges

Marco S. Martinez, Daniel Gomez Fuentes, Maria E. Malott

The Evaluation of a System of Thesis Supervision for Master's Students: An Application of Research Supervisory Systems in Mexico

- . Consequences too weak
- · Competing consequences
- · Lack of effective incentives

53 subjects 7 groups

Performance contracts

Weekly meetings

feedback

"Delay days"

317. 647. Per cent of required points

98 %

Frequency

"Contingencies et various levels"

Maria E. Malott

Implementing Research Supervisory Systems that Work in the University Structure: Not an Easy Task!

Ineffective Natural Contingencies

Integrated Contingencies at Various Levels

Peru *
Canada
USA
Venezuela
Mexico

"key players" in the system