

ACADEMIC DEANS COUNCIL
MINUTES - OCTOBER 12, 1972

PRESENT: Chairman Edgar; Deans Aurand, Krill, Miller, Paraska, Scriven, Yozwiak, Dr. D'Isa for Dean Charignon, Dr. Swan for Dean Vanaman.

Dr. Jonas and Mrs. Prince were present as guests through item 3, President Pugsley appeared for item 4.

1. The minutes from the meeting of October 3 were approved following the addition to item XIII: "After discussion it was agreed that this was not a problem at present."
2. There was extensive discussion of the school-degree-major list that had been distributed previously. The principal problem concerned the classification of students divided in course work between Education and and A & S discipline. Dr. Jonas explained that under the intended procedure the classification would be taken from the student's statement on his application for admission. Dean Scriven moved approval of the list as submitted; Dean Miller seconded. Dean Yozwiak moved to table the motion; Dr. Swan seconded. The motion to table lost on a tie vote. After further discussion, the motion to approve the list carried. Dean Yozwiak and Dr. Swan asked to be recorded as "nay" votes, and Dean Yozwiak promised to formulate a proposal for reconsideration at a later date.
3. Two suggested forms for petitioning for removal of the lower grade of a course repeated, were distributed for subsequent consideration. Also distributed was a set of questions pertaining to the new rule on the repetition of a course.
4. President Pugsley described a letter from the Acting Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents to the Editor of the JAMBAA, dealing with the anticipated impact repeal of the Ohio income-tax legislation would have on higher education in the State. He also distributed a letter from Harold Hovey, Director, Department of Finance, William Coulter, Acting Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents, giving his views on how repeal of the State's income tax would affect higher education in Ohio. Council discussed at length the part the University could and should play in the matter of the November 7 vote. There appeared to be consensus about the points that the financial needs of the University are proper subject matter for an official position and subsequent activism whereas the manner in which the State raises those funds is a political decision and improper subject matter for University participation. There was extensive discussion about how the University might publicize its "stake" in the outcome of the voting on November 7. It was agreed that as individuals and groups members of the University Community were free to express themselves on the issue, but that an official University position against repeal of the income tax was probably not advisable. It was suggested that leadership in the drive against repeal should be taken by the Ohio Board of Regents.
5. Vice President Edgar expanded upon information he had sent recently to the Deans, dealing with the matter of tenure review.
6. Adjournment was at 4:15 p.m.