## ACADEMIC DEANS COUNCIL MEETING

## January 9, 1973

Present: Vice President Edgar, Deans Aurand, Charignon, Krill, Miller, Paraska, Scriven, Vanaman, and Yozwiak.

Meeting called to order at 1310.

- 1. Minutes of December 12, 1972, were approved.
- 2. Dr. Edgar distributed an announcement from the Institute for Higher Educations at the University of Pittsburgh for three seminars: Research on College Teaching (January 27, 1973), Differential Strategies in College Teaching (February 10, 1973), and Linguistics-Kinesics in the Classroom (February 24, 1973). He also distributed a copy of the "College Law Bulletin" (Volume IV, Nos. 7 and 8, March-April, 1972) which concerns the Supreme Court rulings in the Roth and Sinderman cases.
- 3. Scriven presented for discussion the suggestion (submitted November 20, 1972) of having all professors turn their grades in to the Registrar's Office rather than to their academic dean's office in order to provide more efficient service to students, faculty, and administration in utilization of the new Opscan equipment which will then be in operation. Aurand moved for approval of the suggestion. Seconded by Scriven. Discussion followed. Scriven withdrew his second, and Aurand withdrew his motion. Status quo for Winter Quarter.
- 4. Scriven also referred to his suggestion (dated November 30, 1972) concerning graduating seniors who are requesting to know their rank in class for employment purposes. Scriven says he will implement class rank by Department, by School, and by total University. Deans concurred with his implementation.
- 5. Scriven, in conjunction with Phil Snyder, will present information concerning the publication of the new catalog at our next meeting.
- 6. Aurand suggested possibly a publication of each School's catalog individually in addition to the University catalog. He emphasized that the use of a School catalog would satisfy the prospective students' wants and would be less expensive than providing a University catalog.
- 7. Paraska suggested the possible amendment of our repetition rule concerning students who have received the associate degree and are to be excluded from using the repetition rule if they pursue the four-year baccalaureate degree. Scriven moved, and Yozwiak seconded, that the minutes of October 17, 1972, page 2, item 7 (d), line 2, be amended by eliminating the parenthetical expression (either a Bachelor or an Associate degree). Motion passed unanimously.
- 8. The time periods for the 10-week summer session was brought up for discussion. Further discussion resulted in Dr. Edgar appointing Miller and Yozwiak to present an official time period proposal to be presented at the next meeting.

11 may

9. Krill brought to the attention of the deans a problem concerning foreign students. It seems that the University must assure the State Department that foreign students who are in this country for educational purposes are making satisfactory progress toward the completion of their degree requirements. However, what constitutes satisfactory progress toward completion has never been adequately defined. Krill moved, seconded by Scriven, that the University adopt the following motion:

The University defines satisfactory progress in a degree program, in case of foreign nationals as follows: that the student be registered for a minimum of six (6) quarter hours.

Motion passed.

10. Paraska distributed a draft concerning the review of technical education programs on a five-year basis by the Board of Regents' staff in accordance with R.G. 1-04 of the Ohio Board of Regents.

Meeting adjourned at 1445.

Minutes by Miller