

January 8, 1985

NOTES ON DEAN'S COUNCIL MTG. 1/8/85

Present: Hotchkiss, Neal, Scriven, Earnhart, Richley, Gillis, West, Sutton, Ruggles, Dodge, Pullman, Loch, McBriarty. Guests: Dr. David McBride, Director, Cushwa Ctr. for Ind. Dev., and Bill Cossler, OTTO Coordinator.

I. Minutes of the Dec. 11 meeting were approved, with the correction that Larry Esterly does not hold a Doctorate.

IA. Dr. McBride introduced Mr. Cossler, and discussed the OTTO (Ohio Technology Transfer Organization), which is intended to serve as a bridge between the community and the technology available from Universities and Colleges. Most of the programs in the state are at Community Colleges or Technical Schools, but we are going to address a higher level of technology.

II. A. Dr. Gillis discussed a survey of Ohio Universities as to the practices with respect to Faculty Improvement Leaves. The survey is enclosed.

B. From the IUC Provosts meeting, Dr. Gillis discussed "Common New Degree Interests", that is a list of the different schools which wanted to start similar degrees. The larger lists were for Biomedical engineering and Computer science.

C. Two motions were passed by the Public Ceremonials Committee: 1) A common graduation ceremony should be held, and the students recognized individually at College/School receptions, which should be standardized. 2) Diplomas should be distributed at Summer Graduation.

Considerable discussion followed, with no official actions, although the consensus seemed to favor keeping the receptions different. There seemed to be a real doubt that the Summer diplomas could be validated overnight. Scriven is to determine the number of Summer graduates who actually depend upon the second five-week term grades.

D. Final examinations on Saturday (Winter 1985) have been the subject of complaints. It is necessary since we only have nine Mondays after the addition of the final Monday.

Approved 2/12/85

- E. Budget hearings are under way, with CAST having been first. The President is interested in learning first-hand what the Schools/Colleges and Departments are planning.
 - F. Workload forms are being sent out by the Provost's Office.
 - G. The Provost will discuss the selection of textbooks at the next Department Chairmen's meeting.
 - H. Dr. Ruggles reported on actions in the Athletic Council. He is optimistic that the academic standards are going to be upheld in the future. Ms. Anderson is trying to insure that the student-athletes are really students.
 - I. Dr. Gillis reviewed some of the items for his report to the Academic Affairs Committee of the Trustees, including actions on tenure, Computer Services Long Range Plan, WSBA accreditation strategies, Honorary Doctorate, etc.
 - J. Any proposals for use of the DeBartolo funds are due.
 - K. A discussion of admission of Post Baccalaureate students for undergraduate courses led to the request for Bill Livosky to recommend a means of minimizing the hassle and providing more flexible deadlines. Dr. Hotchkiss is to provide similar recommendations for graduate courses.
 - L. Dr. Scriven passed out two F-W faculty permission forms for review, and policies were discussed. It was agreed that the offices in Jones Hall will not give the forms to students, but they must come to their Dean's office for such forms.
- He also distributed a combined Advising/Permit to Register form, which was approved, if unofficially, by the Deans.
- III. A. Dr. Gillis stated that the summer school guidelines given to Deans were derived from five-year moving averages. If any Dean can make a case for increase, there are some additional funds.
 - B. The NIE Report on Excellence will be dealt with by a composite group of four task forces, who will be meeting Friday to discuss procedures.
 - C. A committee of the Senate is being readied to study the multi-faceted problem of Undetermined Students.

Adjourned.



G. E. Sutton, Scribe pro tem

Professional Improvement (Development) Leave Policies
The State Universities of Ohio

<u>University</u>	<u>Percentage of Salary</u>			<u>Source of Replacement Funding</u>	<u>Quota</u>
	<u>1 qu.</u>	<u>2 qu./ 1 sem.</u>	<u>Acad. Year</u>		
Akron	NA	100%	50%	Rarely replaced/Foundation funds	None specified
Bowling Green	NA	100%	50%	Self-supporting by salary funds saved	None specified
Central State	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Cincinnati	NA	100%	75%	Department	None specified
Cleveland State	100%	75%	58%	Department/College/Self-supporting	5% of faculty
Kent State	NA	100%	50%	College	None specified
Miami	NA	100%	50%	Department/College	30 per year
Ohio State	100%	75%	67%	Department/Normally no replacement	None specified
Ohio University	100%	75%	67%	Reallocation of teaching assignments	6% of faculty
Toledo	100%	83%	67%	Replacements discouraged/Self-supporting	None specified
Wright State	100%	75%	50%	Department/College	12% of eligible faculty
Youngstown	100%	NA	100%	Central funding/Amount budgeted	14 three-quarter; 3 one-quarter

Source: Letters/copies of policies from each of the universities.

Prepared by the Office of the Provost, Wright State University, December, 1984.