TO: UNIVERSITY SENATE MEMBERS
FROM: VERA JENKINS
Secretary of the Senate

SPECIAL SENATE MEETING: FRIDAY, APRIL 23, 1971 - 4:00 p.m.
PLACE: LINCOLN PROJECT BUILDING - CONFERENCE ROOM - FIRST FLOOR ROOM \#103.

PURPOSE OF MEETING: For the Faculty Affairs Committee to present its Proposal on the Grievance Committee.

TO: UNIVERSITY SENATE MEMBERS
FROM: THE FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
The Faculty Affairs Committee recommends the formation of a faculty committee, to be constituted and charged as described below:

1. The committee in question shall be known as the Youngstown State University Faculty Appeals Committee,
2. The purpose of this committee is to function as an agency for the hearing sf faculty grievances received from full and limited service faculty members, including those faculty serving as full or part-time administrators, and to explore the possibilities of redress and/or to assist in arriving at satisfactory solutions. Any findings of the committee will be
(a) with regard to the application and interpretation of existing University policy where such policy is stated, or
(b) with regard to matters not covered by University policy.

The findings and recommendations of the committee shall be reported to the petitioner, the members of the committee, the appropriate Vice President, the President, and any other appropriate Administrative Officer,

3, The Committee shall have the responsibility to make to appropriate officers or committees recommendations for improvement of the operation of the University,
4. The Committee shall consist of a Chairman, with the privilege of voting, elected at large for a term of one year; and three other members, elected at large and serving for terms of three years, provided that on the first election the three members shall be elected from a single slate for the terms of 3, 2, or 1 years respectively on the basis of highest number of votes, The Senate Executive Committee shall appoint annually the nominating committee from the full-service faculty members. At least two candidates shall be nominated for each position, and additional candidates shall be included if a petition for their candidacy is signed by a minimum of ten (10) full-service faculty members. No person shall be a candidate for more than one position.
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5. The Chairman and the three other members shall be tenured members of their faculties, excluding department chairmen and other administrative officers. The electors shall be non-tenured, as well as tenured full-service faculty members, including department chairmen, but excluding deans and other administrative officers.

Any member of the Committee, knowing that he will not be available for duty during any extended period, shall be authorized to appoint a substitute member during his period of unavailability; such substitute shall have the same qualifications for membership as the elected member, and shall have the same power.
6. The Chairman shall be empowered, whenever he feels it appropriate, to appoint from among the faculty at large ad hoc committees for more thorough investigations and recommendations, except in cases relating to loss of tenure, Any such subcommittee can report only to the parent committee.
7. The Chairman shall convene the Committee within one week of receipt of any written petition. A quorum of the Faculty Appeals Committee shall consist of three members. The Chairman, however, at the request of the petitioner, is empowered to seek a conciliation without recourse to the Committee.
8. The Constitutional right of any person appearing before the Committee to be represented by counsel of his choice shall not be infringed,
9. The Committee shall keep minutes of its meeting for its own use.
10. It is expected that the other duties of the person who is Chairman shall not be such that it will prevent his effective attention to the responsibilities of the Faculty Appeals Committee.

# YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO 44EOB 

April 19, 1971

The Faculty Affairs Committee
University
Gentlemen:
I have reviewed the committee's recommendation concerning a YSU Faculty Appeals Committee and find that while the non-tenured faculty members are entitled to vote in the election of the Appeals Committee, they are not permitted to elect even one of themselves as a member of the Committee. Furthermore, in the light of the Poddar case, the distinction between tenured and non-tenured faculty is no longer valid because both are entitled to the same procedures in the event their contracts are not renewed and/or they wish to challenge their nonrenewal. It seems that your recommendation was either prepared prior to the Poddar case or your Committee chose to take no cognizance of the court ruling and its implications for the so-called non-tenured faculty. I suggest that membership of the Appeals Committee be made open to all the full-time faculty of Youngstown State University.


Elected Member of the University Senate
from the College of
Arts and Sciences
cc: Members of the Universe if senate c


MINORITY REPORT<br>ON THE PROPOSED<br>YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY FACULTY APPEALS COMMITTEE<br>for consideration on April 23, 1971<br>by the<br>UNIVERSITY SENATE

This Minority Opinion is respectfully submitted to the members of the University Senate to aid in the establishment of procedures for the just resolution of issues between faculty and administration, to insure academic freedom in the University as an institution for free inquiry in a free and open society, and to provide for greater harmony among the various components of the University community.
I. Article 2 reads: "The purpose of this committee is to function as an agency for the hearing of faculty grievances received from the full and limited service faculty members, including those faculty serving as full or part-time administrators, and to explore the possibilities of redress and/or to assist in arriving at satisfactory solutions. Any findings of the committee will be
a) with regard to the application and interpretation of existing University policy where such policy is stated, or
b) with regard to matters not covered by University policy. The findings......................... ${ }^{\text {. . }}$ Officer."
II. Article 3 reads: "The Committee shall have the responsibility to make to appropriate officers or commitees recommendations for improvement of the operation of the University."

111-The Minority contends that if Article 2 is adopted as written, it will effectively preclude findings for the grievant in certain types of cases, not all of which can be anticipated, but one of which is a "Poddar-type" case (non-renewal of contract without specific oral and/or written reasons for the action). Except in rare cases where written notice to the faculty member by the University fails to meet a required deadline date for such written notice, or for such other related technical failures to comply with stated requirements under existing University policy, the Committee would find for the Administration in all cases.
IV. Such a result, the Minority believes, is unjust and should not be permitted.
V. The Faculty Appeals Committee, in view of its purpose, should not be so restrained as to preclude its finding for the grievant, in the face of the University's stated existing policy, where such policy conflicts with, and/or denies the grievant's Constitutional rights; and where the Committee has made a diligent search of existing legislation and case law, both within and outside the state of Ohio as it relates to the issue at hand and where it further believes the University policy at issue does, in fact, deny the Constitutional rights of the aggrieved.

MINORITY REPORT - FACULTY APPEALS COMMITTEE: (April 23, 1971)
VI. To hold otherwise would allow University policy which operates to deny the Constitutional rights of a faculty member to take precedence over, and to supersede, the Constitution of the United States.
VII. The Majority view is that even if the Faculty Appeals Committee is precluded from finding for the grievant under Article 2 (a), as claimed by the Minority, whatever defect results from the operation of Article 2 (a) is remedied under Article 3.
VIII.The Minority's contention is that in a case of the type reflected in V (Page \#l) the failure to provide due process to the grievant under Article 2 (a) will probably not be remedied under Article 3 for the following reasons:
a) The Faculty Appeals Committee is not required to take action under Article 3 at the time of the grievance, and it may not take any action whatsoever;
b) The Faculty Appeals Committee even if it does act under Article 3, is not required to, and therefore may not, recommend immediate restitution for the grievant to make him whole for the wrongful act of the University; and
c) Even if the Faculty Appeals Committee does act under Article 3 and does recommend immediate restitution for the grievant for the wrongful act of the university, the adoption and implementation of its recommendation will be more circuitous and the time interval between recommentation and implementation will be more extended to the effect that the denial of due process resulting from the operation of Article 2 (a) would not only NOT be remedied by the action of the Committee under Article 3; but would, to the contrary, be confirmed and effectively re-enforced by a "pocket vote" of inordinate delay.

THEREFORE, the Minority recommends the deletion of the entire second sentence of Article 2 including sections (a) and (b).
IX. The Minority contends that this Committee should not hear grievances of a strictly administrative type brought by one full time "administrator" (employer, or agent of the employer exercising either complete or partial supervisory, evaluative and/or directive function, either directly or indirectly over the faculty, or portion thereof) against another full time "Administrator" or against a member of the faculty (an employee who has no supervisory, evaluative or directive function over "administrators").

MINORITY REPORT - FACULTY APPEALS COMMITTEE: (April 23, 1971)
X. The Minority believes that persons who perform both an "administrative" and a "faculty" role or function such as Chairmen of Academic Departments, should be permitted to bring grievances to the Committee if the grievance is rooted in the "faculty" or employee function but not if the grievance is rooted in the "administrative" function.

THEREFORE, the Minority recommends in the first sentence of Article 2 which reads: "The purpose of this committee is to function as an agency for the hearing of faculty grievances received from full and limited service faculty members, including those faculty serving as full or parttime administrators, and to explore...", that the words "full or" be deleted,
s.ion, the Minority recommends that the following be inserted after the first sentence in Article 2: "The Committee shall hear grievances from part-time Administrators only if the grievance has its basis in his role or function as a faculty member (an employee having no supervisory, evaluative, or directive function over administrators), but not if the grievance has its basis in his role as administrator (an employer or agent of the employer exercising either complete or partial supervisory, evaluative and/or directive function either directly or indirectly over the faculty or portion thereof)."
XI. Article 4 of the recommendation provides the Chairman "with the privilege of voting" and Article 7 provides for a quorum of "three members".
The Minority contends that the Chairman's "privilege of voting" is undesirable in that the Chairman's exercise of his "privilege" could result in a tie vote by the Committee. For instance, when the Chairman and all three other members are present, the Chairman by voting could cause a two-two tie vote. In another case where there are only three members present (quorum) the Chairman by not voting could again cause a one-one tie vote,
In view of the stated purpose of the Committee and the desire of the grievant to obtain a ruling, for this is his expectation in bringing his action, the Minority holds that as an operational matter, such a "privilege" provision can operate only to impede orderly solution of controversy by encouraging inconclusive tie votes.
The Majority defends the "privilege" provision on the grounds that the Chairman should NOT be forced to vote, using the analogy of the "hung jury" in a court of law.
The Minority contends that the "hung jury" is not analogous because when a "hung jury" decision occurs a new jury can be selected from new veniremen and the entire case retried. Under these procedures as proposed, if a tie vote were to occur, however, the grievant would be effectively precluded from any conclusive adjudication of his grievance by the Committee for
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at least a year until a new Chairman were elected; and even then the Committee may refuse to rehear his case unless he has new and substantial evidence to present that was not entered in evidence at the first hearing.

Furthermore, the Minority contends that if the chairman or any of the other members disqualify themselves from hearing and acting on a grievance for proper reasons, then that Chairman or that member should appoint-a substitute to serve as his own replacement (Article 5). Otherwise, in tie vote situations, which he creates, the Chairman holds an effective pocket vote on the Committee and subverts its purpose.

THEREFORE, the Minority recommends (1) in Article 4, the deletion of the words "with the privilege of voting" and the substitution in their place of the words "who shall vote only to break a tie vote"; and (2) that the third sentence of Article 5 be amended to read "any member of the Committee who will not be available for duty shall appoint a substitute member during his period of unavailability; such substitute shall have the same qualifications for membership as the elected member who designated him, and shall have the same power."

## *****

XII. Article 9 of the recommendation reads as follows: "9. The Committee shall keep minutes of its meetings for its own use,"

The Minority contends that the restriction "for its own use" operates to the detriment of the interests of various other groups and/or persons and as such is not desirable for the following reasons:

1. This restraint would deny findings, evidence, standards for the findings of fact; minority views and other information to another faculty member who, perhaps, has a grievance, similar to a possible prior precedent-setting grievance and such denial might well handicap his preparation of evidence for the hearing or preclude his ever bringing a formal grievance to the Committee.
2. This restraint, in its operational effect, is probably illegal and the minutes as well as such other specifically enumerated data which is essential to a grievant's proper defense against wrongful acts by the University is probably available through Court action from not only the Faculty Appeals Committee but from elsewhere in the University as well.
3. Also, the greater availability of these minutes and other data at the University would to some degree eliminate the feeling that some faculty members have of the secrecy which seems to surround some decisions, alternative decisions and the grounds on which they were based. Just as Courts of
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Law and Equity write opinions for the edifications of the profession instead of merely rendering a decision; so, too, should the availability of the minutes of the Faculty Appeals Committee be made available to those concerned for their edification, information, and use.
4. One of the greatest benefits to be derived from the effective operations of the Faculty Appeals Committee, aside from rendering justice directly to the aggrieved in a specific case, is the broad indirect but real benefit shared by all parties from the greater harmony achieved within the University environment. Because parties other than those with privity to a specific grievance also have interests in the outcome of the case, information related to it should not be denied to them.
5. The Majority contends that improper use of the minutes by some may cause overwhelmingly undesirable results if they are generally made available. The Minority contends that much more harm will result to the University if the minutes are denied than if made available, that their denial is probably legally unenforceable, and that if the Majority's contention is valid in this case, then the vast amount of information available to the public in various public records should also be restricted.

THEREFORE, the Minority suggests that in Article 9 the words "for its own use" be deleted and that they be replaced by the words "for the use of all interested parties."

In addition to the undersigned, other Faculty Affairs Committee Members who have not collaborated in this opinion may concur in this Minority Report in whole or in part.

Respectfully submitted,
J. J. KOSS, MEMBER

FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

April 6, 1971

## BALLOT!

> BALLOT FOR PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO CONSTITUTION AND
> BYLAWS OF THE SENATE OF YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY

Article III. Section 2. Members b)
"Student members with the right to vote shall be elected by each undergraduate school or college. There shall be two each elected from the School of Business Administration, the School of Education, and the College of Arts and Sciences, and one each elected from the School of Engineering, the Technical and Community College, and the School of Music. The Chairman of Student Council and the President of Student Government shall be ex-officio voting members, Student members shall be full-time undergraduate students eligible for election to Student Government."

AGAINST THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT

NOTE: This ballot is to be returned in the envelope enclosed (SEALED) with your name written and printed on the slip that is taped to the envelope.

Please return this to Miss Vera Jenkins, Secretary of the Senate, Mailroom Box \#457 - by FRIDAY. APRIL 16, 1971, at 4:00 p.m.

NO BALOIS WILL BE COUNTED IF RECEIVED AFTER THAT TTME.

April 16, 1971

## SENATE EALLOT <br> YOUNGSTOHN STATE UNIVERSITY <br> FOR TECHNICAL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE <br> (VOTE FOR A TOTAL OF NOT MORE THRN 3)

WILLIAM O. BARSCH
VIOLET BOGGESS
THEODORE S. CHROBAK
RALPH G. CRUM
JAMES W. DEGARMO
ISTVAN Z. DOMONKOS
BARBARA ENGELHARDT
SISTER BARBARA ANN ERICKSON
DORCAS FITZGERALD
LAWRENCE H. FOLTA
STEVEN R. GARDNER
CYNTHIA GOARD
MARIE GUBSER

GERALDINE HETZEL
DOROTEY HILLE
HELEN JEFFREY
DOROTHY KENNEDY
ROBERTA M. MILLER
ROGER T. OVERBERG
VIRGINIA PHILLIPS
ANNE SCHEETZ
MARY SEBESTYEN
HELEN SHIELDS
G. ROY SUMPTER

JOHN P. TZRIECKI
MARTHA WALTON

NOTE: This ballot is to be placed in the envelope provided (SEALED), with the name of the voter written and printed on the outside and returned to: Vera Jenkins, Secretary of the Senate, Mailroom Box \#457, by MONDAY, APRIL 26, 1971 at 4:30 p.m.

SENATE BALLOT YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY FOR SCHOOL OF MUSIC
(VOTE FOR A TOTAL OF NOT MORE THAN 2)
_ JOHN E. ALLEMAN
SAMUEL S. BADAL
DONALD BYO
WILLIAM G. CONABLE
ROBERT F. FLEMING
RONALD L. GOULD
LARRY F. HARRIS
LOIS M. HOPKINS
ROBERT E. HOPKINS
VERN L. KAGARIZE
JOSEPH E. LAPINSKI
EDWARD J. LARGEMT
SISTER AGNES JEAN LAVIN

WALTER S. MAYHALL
WENDELL E. ORR
ESOTHO PELLEGRINI
$\qquad$ C. WADE RARIDON

FRED ROSENBERG
LINDA M. ROSS
CHARLES R. RULLMAN
DUANE SAMPLE
ARTHUR G. SPIRO
DAVID E. STARKEY
DONALD E. VOGEL
MARK F. WALKER
MYRON J. WISLER

NOTE: This ballot is to be placed in the envelope provided (SEALED). with the name of the voter written and printed on the outside and returned to: Vera Jenkins, Secretary of the Senate, Mailroom Box \#457, by MONRAY, APRIL 26. 1971 at 4:30 p.m.

BALLOTS RECEIVED AFTER 4:30 p.m. ON THAT DAY WLL NOT BE COUNTED,

April 16, 1971

> SOUNGSTOWN STATE BALLOT FOR TEE SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING (VOTE FOR A TOTAL OF NOT MORE THAN 3)

| DOAALD ARNETT | JOHN E. PETREK |
| :---: | :---: |
| JACK D. BAROS, JR. | JOEN F. RITIER |
| PAUL X. BELLINI | DUANE F. ROST |
| DAVID I. BESNER | Hentry P. SHENG |
| GEORGE JURI FILATOVS | MATITHEW SIMAN |
| ROBERT H. FOULKES | SAMUEL J. SKAROTE |
| FRANK A, HANKEY | GERHARD M. STEIN |
| RUSSELL HIBBELER | JOEN A. STEVENS |
| MICHAEL K. HOUSEHOLDER | LESLIE V. SZIRMAY |
| RICHARD JONES | FRANK J. TARANTIINE |
| JOHN L. KEARNS | DENEIS F. TOROK, JR. |
| CHARES M. LOVAS | GILBERT R. WILLLAMSON |
| JOEN MCGINHESS | ALLAN J. ZUCKERWAR |
| EDWIN R. PEJACK |  |

NOTE: This ballot is to be placed in the envelope provided (SEALED). with the name of the voter written and printed on the outside and returned to: Vera Jenkins, Secretary of the Senate, Mailroom Box \#457, by MONDAY._APRIL 26. 1971_at 4:30_p.m.

BALLOTS RECETVED AFTER 4:30 D.m. ON_THAT DAY WILL NOT BE COUNTED.

SEMITE BALLOT
YOURGSTOHN STATE UNIVERSITY FOR SCHOOL ${ }^{\circ}$ EDUCATION
(VOTE FOR A TOTAL OF NOT MORE THAN 4)

ROBERT A, AMRDURI
J. LEONARD AZNEER

PETER BALDINO
ANINA M BATMIN
JOSEPHINE BECKETT
MARGARET BRADEN
CHARIES BRONSTRUP
ROBERT A. DIGIULIO
LAWREANCE DIRUSSO
WINSTON H. ESHIEMAN
DOROTHY HEYM
LOUIS E. HILL
WILBERT HAMMACK
ARLAND B. IMLAY

JOSEPH KIRSCEHER
——HILIAM NICHOLS
____GEORGE R. OVERBY
_DONALD J. RICHARDS
JLANITA RODERICK
___GEORGE SCHOENHARD
DOROTHY M, SCOTT
CHARLES L. SMITH
MARILYN SOLAK
JAMES D. STEELE
JOSEPH SWARTZ
CLYDE VANAMAN
DHIGET WATKINS

NOTE: This ballot is to be placed in the envelope provided (SEALED), with the nam of the voter written and printed on the outside and returned to: Vera Jenkins, Secretary of the Senate, Mailroom Box \#457, by MONDAY. APRIL 26. 1971 at 4:30 D,m.
BALLOTS RECEIVED AFTER 4:30 o.m. ON THAT DAY_WILI NOT BE COUNTED.

## April 16, 1971

## SENTATE BALLOT

YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNTVERSTITY FOR SCHOOL OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
(VOTE FOR A TOTAL OF NOT MORE THAN 9)

JOEN BOLAND
FRANK BRADEN
RALPH BURKHOLDER
PHILIP CHUEY
A.RANGER CURRAN

ANTHONY DASTOLI
YUDEISHTER DATMA
LAWRENCE DAVIS
E, TERRY DEIDERICK
E.MARK EVANS

FRANK FORTUNATO
JOHN L. GRIM
WILLIAM W. HANKS
DONALD E. HOVEY
VERA JENKINS
MERVIN KOEN
JOSEPH KOORNICK
—_T.R.RAMA KRISHNAN
ROBERT P. LACICH
VIRGIL R. IANG
PAUL E. LIBER
__JOSEPH C. LONG
__ MELVIN P. MAMULA
_ RAYMOND MEINERS
CASPER J. MOORE, JR.
WILLIAM PETRYCE
DAVID S. PROVANCE
EDWARD T. REILLY
EUGENE E. SCHNEIDER
EUGENE A. SEKERES
RAYMOND J. SHUSTER
WILIIAM E. WALSH
ROBERT J. WOLANIN
JEROME E. ZETTS

NOISF: This ballot is to be placed in the envelope provided (SEALED), with the name of the voter written and printed on the outside and returned to: Vera Jenkins, Secretary of the Senate, Mailroom Box \#457, by MONDAY, APRIL 26 at 4:30 p.m.

BALLOTS RECEIVED AFTER 4:30 D.m. ON THAT DAY WILL NOT BE COUNTED.

SENATE BALLOT
APR 161971
YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY
FOR COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
(VOTE FOR A TOTAL OF NOT MORE THAN 40)

JAMES ADOVASIO TAYLOR ALDERMAN

DOMENICO ALIBERTI
JOSEPH ALTINGER
JOHN ANTON
_ JOSEPH BABISCH
_ CHARLES BAIRD
___LORRAYNE BAIRD
_ WILLIAM BAKER
__SAMUEL BARGER
___LUBA BARNA-GULANICH
___EUGENE BARRET
___PAUL BECKMAN
_ RICHARD BEE
___DWIGHT BEEDE
GEORGE BEELEN
DAVID BEHEN
MARTIN BERGER
MARILYN BILES
WILLIAM BINNING
EDWIN BISHOP
JAMES BLACHOWICZ
FREDERICK BLUE
JOHN BRENNAN
ALFRED BRIGHT
BARBARA BROTHERS
DEAN BROWN
(A)

MARY ALICE BUDGE
JEROME BUNNAG
JOHN BUONI
RICHARD BURDEN
DORIS CANNON
DOMINIC CAPECI
WILLIAM CARSON
CARL CHUEY
FRANK CIOTOLA
JOHN CLEARY
WILLIAM COCHRAN
____LRWIN COHEN
MARGARET CONNELLY
SISTER MARY CONROY
THOMAS COPELAND
HAROLD CRITES
KATHERINE CRITES
JANE CUNNINGHAM
_ MARK CURRAN
SONIA CURRAN
PAUL DALBEC
CHARLES DARLING
JANET Del BENE
THEO. DEMEN
THADDEUS DILLON
THOMAS DOBBELSTEIN
GUIDO DOBBERT
MARY ANN DOBRICH
(CONT'D. (B) NTT PAGE)
___LESLIE DOMONKOS
___ALFRED DONOVAN
___ROBERT DOVE
__PAUL DUCEY
CHRISTINE DYKEMA
_ C,WM. EICHENBERGER
LOUISE EINSTEIN
EARL EMINHIZER
LARRY ESTERLY
ROBERT FAIRCHILD
J. DOUGLAS FAIRES

DALE FISHBECK
MASON FISHER
ELMER FOLDVARY
SAUL FRIEDMAN
GARY FRY
HENRY FUKUI
___ALFONSO GARCIA
CAROL GAY
_THOMAS GAY
CHARLES GEBELEIN
EMILY GOLDSTEIN
STEPHEN GRAF
STEPHEN GRCEVICH
MARY GUTERBA PHILIP J. HAHN

CLYDE HANKEY
STEPHEN HANZELY
__MARY V. HARE
___ ROBERT R. HARE
SANFORD HARPER
ANN HARRIS
___GEORGE HAUSHALTER
__MARTIN HELLING
J_JMES HENKE
_ JOEL HENKEL
__LENORE HOFFMAN
SALLY HOTCHKISS
PEI HUANG
JOHN HUDZIK
B. G.HULSOPPLE
-B. G. HULSOPPLE
__RAYMOND HURD
___DAVID IVES
_WILLIAM JENKINS
RALPH JOHNSON
ELAINE JUHASZ
JAMES KARAS
ASSAD KASSEES
JEAN KELTY
TAGHI KERMANI
IKRAM KHAWAJA
MARY KILLOUGH
ALBERT KLEIN
JANET KNAPP
LELAND KNAUF
___MARILYN KOCINSKI
FRIEDRICH KOKNAT (D)

JOSEPH J,KOSS
___STEPHEN KOZARICH
___RICHARD KREUTZER
___GEORGE KULCHYCKY
___BERTINA LaBORDE
___LEON LAITMAN
A.BARI LATEEF
___VIRGINIA LAUGHLIN
JAMES LEPORE
__GEO. LESHKEVICH
___LESLIE LEVENTHAL RENEE LINKHORN LORETTA LIPTAK _YIH-WU LIU
_ NEAL LONG
MARY LOUD
_ JAMES LUCAS
_ JOSEPH R.LUCAS MARVIN LUKIN

DAVID MacLeAN RUSSELL MADDICK INALLY MAHADEVIAH FRANK MALAK
_ JOHN V. MANTON
_JOSEPH MARSHALL
JOHN MARSYLA
CLYMATH MARTIN
ALBERT MATZYE
GUS MAVRIGIAN
(E)
_ JOSEPH MAY
___THOMAS McCRACKEN
___EDNA McDONALD
_ JAMES McKEE
_ DONALD McLENNAN
___MAY MCMILILAN
JAGDISH MEHRA
_ HOWARD METTEE
_ MARGARITA METZGER
KENNETH MILLER
__ DONALD MILLEY
___THELMA MINER
WARD MINER
ROBERT MINNEY
__RICHARD MITCHRLI
___EDWARD MOONEY
___ MARGARET C.MOORE
___WILLIAM MOORHEAD
ALBERT MORITZ
ROBERT MORRIS
JAMES MORRISON
___NICH. MORTELLARO
__ALEX MUNTEAN
_GRATIA MURPHY
_ANTHONY NEGLIA
ESTHER P. NIEMI RICHARD NITSCHE

DANIEL O'NEILL
PAUL PETERSON
(F)

APR 161971
ARTS AND SCIENCES SENATE BALLOT CONT'D.April Page \#3

| __JOAN PHILIPP | MARGARET SCHAFER | __JAMES TOEPFER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ldots$ ___RICHARD PHILLIPS | _STEVEN SCHILDCROUT | __DAVID TRABOULAY |
| $\ldots$ BHAGWATI PODDAR | __LAUREN SCHROEDER | —_JUDITH TRENT |
| _JAMES POGGIONE | _CAROL SCHULTZ | __RICHARD ULRICH |
| $\ldots$ __DAVID QUINBY | WERNER SCHULTZ | _ HELEN Van GORDER |
| __ARTHUR RADVILAS | ___LEONARD SCRIBNER | __JOHN Ven NORMAN |
| $\ldots$ _JOYCELYN RAMSEY | __AURORA SEBASTIANI | $\ldots$ __PAUL Van ZANDT |
| STEVENS REDBURN | __ROBERT SECRSST | __MARIO VECCIA |
| _JAMES A. REEDER | __VIRGINIA SHALE | __JAMES VECHIARELLA |
| _ DAVID A. REEVE | $\ldots$ _THOMAS A. SHIPKA | __TIMOTHY VESONDER |
| _CHARLES L. REID | _ CHARLES SINGLER | _-PETER vonOSTWALDEN |
| _ LaVERNE REILLY | -_ALVIN SKARDON | -_MICHAEL WALUSIS |
| ___MARY RIGO | __MORRIS SLAVIN | -_WILLARD WEBSTER |
| $\ldots$ __BRUCE T. RILEY | __AGNES SMITH | __mary LOU WEDEKIND |
| $\ldots$ SIDNEY ROBERTS | _rRANK W. SMITH | -LIBBY WERBNER |
| _STAMAN RODFONG | __JOHN SMYTHE | ___NELL WHIPKEY |
| $\ldots$ _HASSAN RONAGHY | __STEPHEN SNIDERMAN | -_ANTHONY WHITNEY |
| _JAMES RONDA | ___ANTHONY SOBOTA | __JERRY WILKERSON |
| ___LEWIS ROSENTHAL | __JOSEPH SOLIMINE | __JOHN WILKINSON |
| $\ldots$ __DOMINIC ROSSEUI | ___LEONARD SPIEGEL | ____JNGA S. WORLEY |
| ___CHESTER RUFH | -_SISTER ELIZ. STAUDT | _ـ_RALPH E. YINGST |
| $\ldots$ BARRY RUSSAL | __ELIZABETH STERENBERG | __WARREN M YOUNG |
| _JAROSLAV RYSKA | ___ANTHONY STOCKS | __BETTY ZBORAY |
| ___EUGENE SANTOS | __NICHOLAS STURM | __JOHN S. ZETTS |
| ___LOWELL SATRE | _B.SUBRAMANIAN | _ـ_LOUIS ZONA |
| $\qquad$ ANNE SCHAFER <br> (G) | CHRISTOPHER SWEENEY <br> (H) | (I) |

NOTE: This ballot is to be placed in the envelope provided (SEALED), with the name of the voter written and printed on the outside and returned to: Vera Jenkins, Secretary of the Senate, Mailroom Box \#457, by MONDAY, APRIL 26, 1971 at 4:30 p.m. BALLOTS RECEIVED AFTER 4:30 p.m. ON THAT DAY WILL NOT BE COUNTED.

