SENATE MINUTES YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY Friday, January 8, 1971

- PRESENT: Mr. Evans, Mr. Miller, Mr. Magner, Mr. Zetts, Mr. Reilly, Mr. Boland, Mr. Almond, Mrs. Niemi, Mr. Petrych, Miss Pfau, Mr. Hare, Mr. Miner, Mrs. Miner, Mrs. Dykema, Mr. Brachfeld, Mr. Crishon, Mr. Dennison, Mr. Parm, Mr. Simko, Miss Sterenberg, Mr. Behen, Mr. Dobbert, Mr. Slavin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Kiriazis, Miss DeCapita, Miss Boyer, Mr. Paraska, Mr. Williamson, Mr. Cernica, Mr. Cohen, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Wilms, Mr. Foldvary, Mr. Looby, Mrs. Foley, Mr. Hill, Mrs. Worley, Mr. Shipman, Mr. Steele, Mr. Vanaman, Mr. Satre, Mr. Earnhart, Mr. Henkel, Mrs. Turner, Miss Boggess, Mrs. Budge, Mr. Rosenthal, Sister M. Conroy, Mr. Hotchkiss, Mrs. Hotchkiss, Mr. Beckman, Miss Jenkins, Vice President Coffelt, Vice President Edgar and President Pugsley.
 - NOTE: The above attendance record does not represent the entire roll for this Senate meeting. The Roll was not passed on the one side of the room.

PRESIDING: PRESIDENT ALBERT L. PUGSLEY TIME: 4:00 p.m. (ES AUDIT.)

The President called for the approval of the Minutes of the previous Senate meeting (Friday, December 4, 1970).

- Dean Paraska asked for the following correction to those Minutes:
 - Page #4 of the Minutes for the December 4, 1970 meeting of the University Senate shows Dean Paraska answering a question.
 - The correct answer should be: These Child Care Technology courses are credit courses. The Study Skills courses Special Studies 501, 502 and 503 (previously designated Orientation 501, 502, 503) are credit courses only in the Associate in Arts Program.

There being no further corrections, other than the one above, the Minutes were then declared approved as distributed.

CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE:

The Constitution and Bylaws Committee had asked that this entire Senate meeting be devoted to discussion of the Proposals for amendments to the Constitution of the Senate, and an explanation of the Proposals. The amendments are of two (2) kinds:

- 1) Amendments affecting the structure and membership
- 2) Changes in the Constitution to make the document consistent with changes in structure and membership, and changes to conform to present practice.

This report was made by the Chairman, Dr. Phillp J. Hahn,

SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.: (Friday, January 8, 1971) CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D. :

The members of the Constitution and Bylaws Com-Dr. Hahn stated: mittee agree on what we are recommending. This Committee has studied practices and proposals in the State Schools of Ohio and has made surveys at the University. That which has been put together is a package that the Committee believes will benefit the Senate in its work in the future. Dr. Hahn then **introduced** the members of the **Constitu**tion and Bylaws Committee:

- 2 -

- 1) Philip J. Hahn, Chairman 4) David Behen
- 2) Elizabeth Sterenberg
- 5) Gus Mavrigian

- 3) Jack Foster
- MOTION: Dr. Hahn moved the adoption of <u>Article III</u>, <u>Section 2</u> on Members, (a) which was presented as follows:

Ex-officio administrators with the right to vote shall include the President, the Vice Presidents, the Deans of undergraduate schools and colleges and the Dean of the Graduate School. The Motion was Seconded. Following a Second to the Motion and discussion recorded below the MOTION PASSED.

DISCUSSION ON ABOVE MOTION BEFORE PASSAGE:

- 1) This makes 15 ex-officio members with our present University organization. Is that correct?
- Dr. Hahn: Yes.

The first Senate Constitution was adopted in 1959, and provided for 9 ex-officio members, Now there are 21 of these. We are going back to the original, We have made a study of the State Schools in Ohio.

2) Are not faculty subordinate to the Deans, who are subordinate to the Vice President, etc.?

Dr. Hahn: Yes.

(See Motion at top of QUESTION CALLED FOR: AYES HAVE IT. this page).

Dr. Hahn commented that the voting later by written ballot will be a vote on the entire package,

Dr. Hahn moved the adoption of Article **III**, Section 2 MOTION: on Members, (b) which was presented as follows:

> Student members with the right to vote shall number fourteen (14), two elected by each undergraduate school or College, and the Chairman of Student Council and the President of Student Government

SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.: (Friday, January 8, 1971) CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D.: (Dr. Hahn)

MOTION CONT'D.: ex-officio. Student members shall be fulltime undergraduate students with no less than a prescribed point average. The Motion was SECONDED.

DISCUSSION ON ABOVE MOTION:

- 1) The grade point average should be at the time of election.
 - AMENDMENT: Dr. Cohen moved to amend the motion by adding to the last sentence: "at the time of their election or appointment." The Motion to Amend was Seconded.
- 2) Who is to establish the prescribed grade point average?
- 3) Should we remove grade point standing and make the requirement simply that the student shall be in "good standing".

Dr. Cohen did not wish to withdraw his AMENDMENT.

<u>Dr. Behen</u>: No serious disagreement here except in the wording. Originally the Committee did not have it in. There is some kind of University regulation which specifies grade point averages before students can hold office. Should this Committee or the Senate set this up? Student could be held ineligible for holding office due to some other University regulations. We did not insert any figure; had no intention of setting up a qualification for the student.

> If we can simply come to some sort of agreement on some sort of wording or how he becomes a member (by the wording) his position is suitable to those administrative rules of the University. This Committee, I am sure, would be satisfied this is a difference in terminology.

- 4) Student: To be elected to Student Government now requires a 2.25 average or better. Is it possible to insert this point average in here?
- <u>Dr. Behen</u>: The Committee did not want to do this in case sometime it would be raised or lowered. They just wanted to avoid it to prevent the need for revision of the Constitution.
- <u>Dr. Pugsley</u>; Constitution revision requires approval not only by the Senate but also by the Board of Trustees.
- Dr. Hahn: Better not to touch this at this time.

SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.: (Friday, January 8, 1971) CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D.: (Dr. Hahn) COMMENTS CONT'D.:

5) If an elected student representative has 2.25 or higher at the time of election but then goes below what happens?

It would appear that once a student gets on Senate grades will not take him off during his elected term of office. This could be leading to trouble later on.

QUESTION CALLED FOR (AMENDMENT) by Dr. Cohen:

NO'S HAVE IT. AMENDMENT DEFEATED.

Back to the Original Motion to adopt Item (b): Moved to delete the words "with no less than a prescribed grade point average." <u>Seconded</u>, <u>NO'S HAVE IT</u>.

6) Could a student serve on Senate but not be eligible to serve on Student Council?

AMENDMENT: Dr. Sanford Hotchkiss moved the following amendment: To add the words "and eligible to election to Student Government." <u>Seconded</u>. <u>AYES HAVE IT</u>, <u>AMENDMENT PASSED</u>.

Back to Original Motion as Amended.

7) Why does your Committee feel there should be more student members on the Senate than administrative members?

Elimination of some administrative members is contrary to trends.

<u>Dr. Hahn</u>: The trend in Ohio is to include administrative, faculty members and students in Senate bodies. The trend is towards more students for reasons that are clear to all of us.

8) No number given in Section (a). Is the number of Vice Presidents, etc., fixed or does it fluctuate?

- <u>Dr. Puqsley</u>: The number of Deans is not fixed forever. There may be one or two Schools more as the University grows but this should not make for any imbalance.
- <u>Dr. Hahn</u>: The Constitution and Bylaws Committee received two (2) letters recommending students to be elected to the Senate. The Committee took this into account.
- 9) What about student representation from the Graduate School?
- <u>Dr. Puqsley:</u> The Graduate School is not represented in the Senate presently, and the work of the Graduate School is carried on by the Graduate Faculty and the Graduate Council.

SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.: (Friday, January 8, 1971) CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D.: (Dr. Hahn)

- <u>MOTION:</u> Dean Robinson moved that in <u>Article III</u>, <u>Section 2</u>, (b), the elimination of the number 14 and that "two shall be elected from each undergraduate school or college". <u>Seconded</u>. <u>AYES HAVE IT</u>.
- 10) Student: Under the Student Government organization a President and Vice President of Student Government are elected. If President of Student Government is on Senate he should be a very qualified member.

Urge adding the Vice President of Student Government as an ex-officio member of the Senate. He is elected.

- <u>Dr. Hahn</u>: A note was brought to the Constitution and Bylaws **Committee** regarding this. Decided as far as is practical not to include ex-officio members when they are subordinate to another.
- <u>Dr. Behen</u>: Does not want students to feel disadvantaged. This is the same reason this Committee did not have Associate Deans and Assistant Deans. Opposed to it, but to gain the sense of the meeting he will move.
 - MOTION: Dr. Behen moved to include the Vice President of Student Government as an ex-officio member of the Senate. Seconded.

11) Include him (Vice President of Student Government) to attend meetings as an observer which is in another section of the revised Constitution. Cannot consider this while we are on this Section.

12) Supports student in #10 Comment.

Deans and Associate Deans are here for a period of years, but the Vice President of Student Government is only a student for **four** (4) years and holds that office for an even shorter time.

- <u>Dr. Pugsley</u>: There appears to be a certain inconsistency in that a member of Student Council or Student Government may be eligible for election to the Senate, but Assistant Deans or Associate Deans are not.
- <u>Dr. Hahn:</u> Assistant or Associate Deans not considered eligible for election because they are not Full-time faculty members.
- <u>Dr. Puqsley:</u> This would also affect the Counseling Center which includes a Full-time faculty member in the University.

SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.: (Friday, January 8, 1971) CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D.: (Dr. Hahn)

COMMENTS CONT'D. :

13) Student: Maybe this should be taken care of in the Section on Associate Deans, etc.

14) Supports this Motion.

Students tenure is brief. The longer he is in the Senate the more valuable his services will be,

Sees no objection to it.

<u>QUESTION CALLED FOR ON DR. BEHEN'S MOTION</u>: (See middle of Page 5) To include the Vice President of Student Government as an ex-officio member of the Senate. Seconded. NO'S HAVE XT, MOTION DEFEATED,

BACK TO THE ORIGINAL MOTION: To adopt Article III, Section 2, (b) as amended by the two (2) Amendments. AYES HAVE IT. MOTION PASSED.

The amended Motion as passed reads as follows: (Article III, Section 2, (b):

There shall be two (2) student members, with the right to vote, elected from each undergraduate school or College, and the Chairman of Student Council and the President of Student Government ex-officio. Student members shall be full-time undergraduate students eligible to election to Student Government.

MOTION: Dr. Hahn moved adoption of <u>Article III</u>, <u>Section 2</u>, (<u>c</u>) which reads as follows:

> There shall be sixty-five (65) elected faculty members. After the provision of a basic representation of thirty (30) representatives with seven (7) for the College of Arts and Sciences, six (6) for the School of Business Administration, five (5) each for the Schools of Education and Engineering and the Technical and Community College, and two (2) for the School of Music, the balance of thirty-five (35) shall be elected from the Undergraduate Schools and Colleges apportioned according to the proportion of full-time faculty in each Undergraduate School or College. Seconded.

- <u>Dr. Pugsley</u>: The Constitution does not define whether Department Chairmen are Full-time faculty members.
- Dr. Hahn: They are eligible for election.

<u>SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.</u>: (Friday, January 8, 1971) <u>CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D</u>: (Dr. Hahn)

DISCUSSION:

1) If there were another College added (and there could be), what happens to the size of the representation? This is not stated in (c).

<u>Dr. Hahn</u>: Changes in numbers of representation would require a Constitutional Amendment. This limits the size.

- <u>Dr. Pugsley</u>: There may be shifts in certain sizes in the Schools or in the transfer. The question being asked is whether you wish to modify the Constitution every time such a change comes about or whether your Article should be sufficiently flexible to accommodate such changes.
- <u>Dr. Hahn</u>: Distribution in the different Schools is felt to be proposed on a sound basis. Practice in the State of Ohio is to provide a basic representation for various Schools.
- <u>Dr. Behen</u>: In support of proposal. The Committee considered this. Recognizes many of these as possibilities, Impossible to draw up a working Constitution with too much anticipation including changes and drastic changes in operation of the University.
- <u>Dr. Hahn</u>: Some flexibility here. States that 35 of the 65 members can be apportioned.

2) It is clear about the 35 to be elected, but nothing is said about the basic 30.

Could the basic 30 be part-time faculty members? Not clear as it is stated.

Are Chairmen included in the 65?

Dr. Hahn: The Committee did not seek to define faculty.

- 3) Dr. Thaddeus Dillon suggested an editorial change: Department Chairmen are Full-time faculty members.
- <u>Dr. Behen</u>: It would be better to take care of a couple items first; that membership should be composed of Full-Service faculty members, and that Department Chairmen should be eligible for election, Do this before we take anything else.
 - Editorial Change: Made by Dr. Thaddeus Dillon. In first sentence add "Full-time" faculty members.
- 4) Isn't this defined under "d"?

Dr. Hahn: "d" also applies to students.

(CONT^{*}D. NEXT PAGE)

<u>SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.</u>: (Friday, January 8, 1971) <u>CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D.</u>: (Dr. Hahn) DISCUSSION CONT'D.:

5) Section 3, (c) - first sentence would make it very simply.
6) Full-Service teachers carrying full teaching load. (No additional statement is needed).

<u>Dr. Pugsley</u>: This institution is in a relative immature stage of development, but eventually there may be Fullservice faculty members who devote all their time to research.

Dr. Hahn: Would like to resolve this today.

<u>Dr. Behen</u>: No real difficulty here except getting the language correct. It should read: "Full Service faculty members." Things we are all agreed upon. Combine all these as well, making an editorial change.

Dr. Hahn: Avoid paranthetical statements.

7) Cannot the will of this body be made known and then let the Committee do it?

Why do the phrasing in this body?

8) There are Supervisors and Coordinators. They would be included. Does not want to see them excluded.

- <u>Dr. Behen</u>: Does not want to see Department Chairmen excluded. Intended to include these people.
- <u>Dr. Puqsley</u>: It is agreed, then, that an appropriate language change will be made by the Constitution and Bylaws Committee.

<u>Dr. Hahn</u>: The reason for apportionment proposal was to better equalize the heavy apportionment from Arts and Sciences, at present. In working out the apportionment that we have done we have tried a distribution that will provide fuller representation to some of the other schools.

9) Does not think Ohio is necessarily a model to follow.

Did not the Committee think that a Department Chairman knows more about the working of a Department than a faculty member yet a Department may not even be represented.

Senate

<u>Dr. Hahn</u>: The original/Constitution was established in 1959. There were few members in each Department at that time. There are now over 420 on campus with rank. Back in 1959 all the Department Chairmen were Fulltime teachers and did not have a reduced load. They are now becoming more and more administrative although they are basically teachers.

<u>SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.</u>: (Friday, January 8, 1971) CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D. : (Dr. Hahn)

- <u>Dr. Hahn cont'd</u>.: The size of the Senate is an important factor. At the same time there has been criticism that ex-officio membership is too great, If the Senate size is to be reduced the only thing is to exclude Department Chairmen as ex-officio.
 - <u>Dr. Pugsley</u>: When you speak of The Ohio developments in Senates do not forget that these Senates are paralleled by a strong administrative that runs parallel to the Senate when that Senate is primarily composed of Faculty members. This will probably be necessary here if you do reorganize this Senate.
- 10) Isn't Arts and Sciences being under-represented by 17 persons?
 - <u>Dr. Hahn</u>: No University in the State of Ohio has one school within that University that is dominant. Trying to solve the feelings of the entire school, Do not feel that we have been unfair to Arts and Sciences.

11) In order to make the Senate more effective probably should place "maximums on these as well as minimums".

AMENDMENT: Dr. Raymond Kramer proposed this Amendment:

The total representation from any one school or College shall not exceed 30% of the 65 total faculty elected representatives. Seconded. <u>NO'S HAVE IT. AMENDMENT DEFEATED</u>.

DISCUSSION PERTAINING TO THE ABOVE WAS AS FOLLOWS:

- 12) Does not feel one school should dictate. Get away from this.
 - <u>Dr, Behen</u>: The College of Arts and Sciences has at present more than 50% of the representation, The Committee felt this was too high and introduced a new ratio,
- 13) A comment was made that the Senate should represent the students,

BACK TO ITEM (c) in Article III, Section 2:

Dr. Cernica moved that in the next to the last sentence take out "faculty and replace it with students". Seconded.

<u>Mr. Foster:</u> The issue of apportionment is the most difficult issue in the whole thing, What is fair representation? We are dealing with diverse issues. We came up with a compromise, Although it pleases no one entirely, we hope it pleases everyone a little.

<u>SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.</u>: (Friday, January 8, 1971) CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D.: (Dr. Hahn)

<u>Mr. Foster cont'd.</u>: Out of 19 Departments only two are not currently represented by elected faculty members. Took a look at the student issue. Came up with a very difficult decision, to guarantee every School some reasonable representation.

14) All students might enter through the Technical and Community College and then a large portion of the Senate could consist of those members.

15) Many years when we decided how to propose the Senate. We felt that it would not be fair to have 60% of faculty representation come from one School. Do not like the fact that there is guaranteed the students will out number the administrators.

16) Student: It seems the University should run as a cohesive body. Why is everyone concerned with every Department winning something?

> MOVED THE PREVIOUS QUESTION. THIS IS TO CLOSE DEBATE. AYES HAVE IT.

DR. CERNICA'S AMENDMENT. NO'S HAVE IT.

BACK TO ITEM (c) Back to Item (c) which reas as follows as amended:

(c) There shall be sixty-five (65) elected Full Service faculty members. After the provision of a basic representation of thirty (30) representatives with seven (7) for the College of Arts and Sciences, six (6) for the School of Eusiness Administration, five (5) each for the Schools of Education and Engineering and the Technical and Community College, and two (2) for the School of Music, the balance of thirty-five (35) shall be elected from the Undergraduate Schools and Colleges apportioned according to the proportion of full-time faculty in each Undergraduate School or College. <u>AYES HAVE IT.</u>

IN FAVOR: 43. NO: 27.

<u>NOTE</u>: Constitution and Bylaws/will make the necessary editorial changes,

NOTION: Dr. Hahn moved adoption of <u>Article III</u>, <u>Section 2</u>, (d) which is as follows: The electors of the elected faculty members of the Senate shall be those eligible for election. Seconded. <u>AYES HAVE IT.</u> <u>MOTION PASSED</u>. (See discussion next page).

<u>SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.</u>: (Friday, January 8, 1971) <u>CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D.</u>: (Dr. Hahn) <u>DISCUSSION on Article III, Section 2, (d)</u>:

1) No provision for graduate students? They are not represented in the Senate?

Dr. Pugsley: No, graduate students are not.

2) Will the Constitution and Bylaws Committee spell out those eligible for election?

Dr. Hahn: Yes.

MOTION: Dr. Hahn moved adoption of <u>Article III</u>, <u>Section 2</u>, (e) which is as follows:

> Full-time members of the faculty and/or administration may attend Senate meetings and upon recognition of the Chair may speak on appropriate issues. Seconded.

DISCUSSION:

1) What provision will be made for voice votes and hand votes when you have visitors?

Dr. Hahn: Should act according to the particular situation.

<u>Dr. Pugsley</u>: Will probably have to resort more frequently to a written ballot, or provide for visitors to sit in a separate section.

Dr. Niemi suggested consideration of an Amendment:

That the Vice President of Student Government may attend Senate meetings.

Dr. Hahn: Does not think we should make this a special case.

<u>Dr. Pugsley</u>: Stated he had written the Attorney General earlier asking if the Senate meetings were public and depending upon such ruling this issue may be irrelevant.

2) Mr. Simko thinks it would be very valuable to train the Vice President of Student Government for the Senate.

Dr. Niemi moved an Amendment:

The Vice President of Student Government attend Senate meetings merely as an observer. FAVOR: 25. <u>NAY: 32.</u> <u>NAY'S HAVE IT. AMENDMENT DEFEATED</u>.

<u>SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.</u>: (Friday, January 8, 1971) <u>CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D.</u>: (Dr. Hahn)

BACK TO THE ORIGINAL QUESTION: Item (e) with substitution of editorial change - Full-Service instead of Full-time, <u>AYES HAVE IT.</u> MOTION PASSED,

Comment:

1) Add "f" to Section III, Article 2: Chairman of academic Departments are eligible for election.

Mr. Livosky proposed: There shall be elected from the administrative staff five (5) members who have the right to vote.

Mr. Livosky moved this Amendment be adopted- SECONDED.

<u>Dr. Behen</u>: Moved the Amendment just offered by Mr. Livosky be referred to the Constitution and Bylaws Committee for further study, Seconded.

1) Was this matter brought to the consideration of open hearings? Ans.: No.

Dr. Pugsley: Your Motion, Dr. Behen, is to refer to Committee?

- <u>Mr. Foster</u>: This was not brought to the attention of the Committee. Constitution can be **emended** year by year.
- <u>Dr. Yozwiak</u>: We have lived with this Constitution for eleven (11) years. Why does this have to be done at this particular time? Couldn't it come up at another Board of Trustees meeting?
- 2) YSU Faculty Handbook states: The University Senate is a legislative body of the University Faculty.
- <u>Dr. Hahn</u>: We, (the Committee) wanted a deadline. This has been in the hopper for at least a year and a half. The time has come to make every effort to make the change,
 - <u>Dr. Puqsley:</u> You should consider the Motion to refer to Committee. Those in favor of referring Mr. Livosky's proposal back to Committee. <u>AYES HAVE IT</u>.
- 3) I am getting confused. Are we acting under a deadline? It is getting late!

<u>SENATE MINUTES CONT'D.</u>: (Friday, January 8, 1971) CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE CONT'D.: (Dr. Hahn)

- <u>Dr. Hahn</u>: Asked Dr. Tarantine (Chairman of the Executive Committee) if this package (complete) not resolved today, that we have a <u>Senate meeting Friday</u>, <u>January 15 at 4:00 p.m. in E3 Auditorium</u>. Also, that the entire time be devoted at such meeting to the proposal of the constitution and Bylaws Committee. Dr. Tarantine gave approval. <u>Secretary will send out notices</u>.
- 4) Understood the full document would be by mail ballot. Does not think we should do these by individual packages.

REMARKS BY PRESIDENT PUGSLEY:

Ĺ

Dr. Pugsley stated when he came to YSU about four and a half years ago there was a good and wise **faculty** member who spoke as the faculty's representative at the Inaugural Luncheon. He told the President that for many years there had been a mimeograph machine for faculty use in the basement of the **Library**.

On this machine there was a sign which read: Please do not take this machine apart.

Below this sign was another sign which read: If you do take this machine apart please put the machine back **together!**

The President said that he felt this was the most important advice he had received, and that he now felt this Senate should be reminded of the advice as they considered the Revision of the Senate Constitution. The Senate is seeking improvement, but it must do so with maturity and fairness to all **parts** of the University with good will on the part of all.

Seek a good solution.

Put the machine together in order that it will work.

Respectfully submitted,

VERA JENKINS Secretary of the Senate

YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY SENATE MEETING Friday, January 8, 1971 IN ATTENDANCE: E Mark Evan. 4dinationi-Ticha (197). Mayner Jerome & Cotts 201 h R. Heland George L. Alimond Esthern Thiemin Leburch margant Rau Wheet R. Have Ward 2. Minu Shelma S. Munor Christine R. T.ykemic Theodor Bracifield

Gď

Dan Criahon

Aut Dennison

Elizabeth Herenberg

Jarome Parmi

Long temp

pehen G. A. Destala M. Alavin KN toeta ames W. Konazez AM ale time Juis Soyer Michelanasha X. R. Williamin I. M. Clucko ME Filest (Sale N. Perkin Umer Foldwary X. Troby Mayquesil Fole Xinga S. Worl 1-2-21-Jano Extill U.T. Shipman A Stelo Vanaman owell of Satre 4. Cam

YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY SENATE MEETING Friday, January 8, 1971

IN ATTENDANCE CONT'D. =

Mae Jume Vi 1.50ggess Lewis S ser that Convoy "Mun Sr. Mary Sally Hote aut be 2.4 4 1 Ni Pace, Cagoar (2.) Ľ live? Iner. Coffee