John Coffelt President's Office



RECEIVED

APR 1 8 1979

MINUTES
ACADEMIC SENATE
April 11, 1979

President's Office

ATTENDANCE: (See attached roster)

### CALL TO ORDER

Jean Kelty, Chairman, called the meeting to order at 4:08 after establishing that there was a quorum present.

# APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF MINUTES OF MARCH 2, 1979 MEETING Approved

There is a correction to be made on the attendance sheet. R. Taback and L. Laitman should be listed as present. (The circulated attendance report should show L. Laitman being present twice and R. Taback four times.)

It was moved by R. DiGiulio and seconded by S. Hotchkiss that the March 2, 1979 minutes be approved as corrected. Motion carried.

#### REPORTS OF SENATE COMMITTEES

Charter and ByLaws Committee - No Report

Executive Committee - No Report

Elections and Balloting Committee - No Report

Academic Affairs Committee - Dr. Hill Reported. The committee reviewed the changes in the Military Science program specifically in the area of General University Requirements. However, all areas were examined.

# Motion to Approve Proposed Changes in Military Science Program Carried

Dr. Hill moved approval of the proposed changes in the Military Science Program as attached to the agenda. Second by Dean Scriven. Question called. Motion carried.

Student Academic Grievance Committee - Dr. DiGiulio reported.

The committee has been meeting regularly. Two types of sessions are held: (1) regular sessions and (2) hearings for resolution of

grievances. J. Castrodale has been serving as student government secretary. The committee has resolved four grievances which came to hearing. The student government representative has resolved several others.

The committee has worked on several matters including a Procedures Check List and a Disposition Form which should accompany the grievance form through the process (forms attached to minutes).

Several problems have been encountered: (1) the committee is rendered ineffective in the middle of May because of loss of student members, and (2) grievances filed during the summer quarter rest in the Student Grievance Office. There were two serious grievances—one where the lawyer was meeting with the president and another where a lawyer questioned the decision made.

Dr. DiGiulio asked to speak as a Senator. There is a serious flaw in the procedure. In a hearing, committee members are not permitted to discuss any issue in terms of what a professor is to do or not to do.

Motion to Approve Change in Wording in Student Grievance
Procedure that relates to the "scope" of the procedure
Returned to Committee

Dr. DiGiulio moved that "This procedure is not intended nor should it be used as a means of modifying, changing or addressing University policies which are mandated by the Board of Trustees or adopted by any policy-making agency of the University" be replaced by "This procedure is not intended nor should it be used as a means of modifying or changing University policies which are mandated by the Board of Trustees or adopted by any policy-making agency of the University, but may be used to address policy issues." (Underlined words denote changes.)

This was not the way the motion read in the circulated agenda. Dr. DiGiulio indicated that the change was inadvertently omitted from the motion as circulated. The chair permitted the introduction of the motion.

Motion was seconded by S. Roberts. A lengthy discussion followed. Points raised included:

- 1. What does it mean, "May be used to address policy issues?" Now, the committee is not permitted to discuss any issue in committee.
- 2. Is it unethical to develop a particular syllabus?

The committee has been hamstrung. If a set of rules are stated in a syllabus, then the student has the right to leave if he/she does not wish to be submissive to those rules. The committee has found some syllabi that are thought to be unfair.

- 3. Is there a general knowledge among the Senators about the grievance against the professor who gave the top grade in the class a "100" and increased other grades in the class by the same amount but would not increase grades on make-up tests? The committee said the professor did not have the right to set the rules of the game.
- 4. There is a distinction between "address" and "discuss." Anybody may discuss any thing. "Address" means reccommend and/or modify. The committee should not be concerned with matters of this nature. It was intended that this body not be in a position to make revisions in academic policy.
- 5. There is a similar graduate policy procedure intended to give the students a forum to address policy issues. The committee can only recommend.
- 6. Does the clause mean you are permitted to talk about or to come to an equitable solution? It was the feeling of some members that they should have the authority to address the equity of the syllabus so as to permit that discussion to affect the determination of the disposition of the grievance. There are some areas of inequity that cannot be resolved through other channels.
- 7. The concern should not be with constrictions on committee, but about giving the student the opportunity to challenge and make recommendation for change. No one is infallible. We do make mistakes—students must be given the opportunity to challenge, to address, to question what they feel to be an error in procedure. This is not a violation of academic freedom; only when change is compelled is it a violation of academic freedom.
- 8. In past decisions, the committee has questioned professional judgment.
- 9. What the committee is anxious for is to be able to

make a judgment based on all relevant information. This motion will allow the committee to speak to and listen to these kinds of issues.

- 10. The committee has no right to change or modify policy, but the motion implies the right to change policy in a course as set forth in a syllabus.
- 11. Might "discuss" be substituted for "address" in the motion?
- 12. This could permit the student to avoid going the legal recourse route.
- 13. If the committee did not intend to recommend change in policy, this should be made clear. The discussion indicates that change in policy means what the responder thinks. Can we pass a motion so wide open to interpretation?

### Motion to Send Back to Committee

Carried

W. Jenkins moved to send the matter back to committee. Motion received a second from R. Curran. Motion carried.

Curriculum Committee - No verbal report.

Research Committee - No verbal report.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS - None.

#### NEW BUSINESS

S. Roberts asked that a recommendation be made to the Student Grievance Committee to make a change in procedure. The present policy compels that whenever there is a student grievance filed that the copies of the committee finding be placed in a student's permanent file (four years) and the faculty member's file and that a copy be forwarded to the Department evaluation committee. This should only be done when the Student Grievance Committee rules against the faculty member.

## Motion to Revise Student Grievance Procedure Referred to Committee

S. Roberts moved that the Student Grievance Committee revise the policy by changing it to read "only in the event that the Student Grievance Committee finds in favor of the student." Second by D. Robinson.

### Motion to Refer to Committee

Carried

Dr. Edgar moved to refer to committee. Second by B. Minogue. Carried.

ADJOURNMENT - It was moved by T. Deiderick and seconded by B. Minogue that the meeting be adjourned. Carried.

## ATTENDANCE UNIVERSITY SENATE

AND SCIENCES	SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING	STUDENT MEMBERS
Everett Abram & abram)	Jack Bakos Beker	Diane Bogan
George Beelen	Wade Driscoll Wase Driscoll	
Frederick Blue	Floyd Morris	Carol Colburn
Dean Brown Monum	Philip Munro () Mun	Richard Curry
Irwin Cohen	John Ritter	Mark DeNucci
Janet DelBene Lant-Dolfren	Samuel Skarote Skarote	Toni DiSalvo
Gary Fry Jahn	Leslie Szirmay	Anita Marie Gil
George Haushalter . 2 N.		Anthony Koury
Sally Hotchkings sally Nothers		John Murosko
James Houck House	FINE AND PERFORMING ARTS	Abidin Pak
William Jenkins WW	$\frac{1}{1}$	Tim Pysher
Jean Kelty	Donald Byo . All Line	. Phyllis Schirck
Ikram Khawaja 1.K	Darla Funk	Jon Steen
Friedrich Koknaty		Alma Vinion
Leon Laitman Jack Machine	Joseph Lapinski	Ralph Minto
Brendan Minogue Monder Meriozaic -	- Edward Largent Caux danger	Maris Massers
Gratia Murphy State Trungshy	Daniel O'Neill	and Manderes
Esther Niemi	David Robinson	
Joan Phillip The there	Michael Walusis	
Sidney Roberts	Louis Zona Jour Zonh	
William Shipman Ws.		• .
Ann Harris Elizabeth Staudt L. Elizabeth Staudt L. Elizabeth Staudt	ADDITED COTCNOC AND TECHNOLOGY	
Elizabeth Staudt h. C. L. L. L. J. I. H.	APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	
istopher Sweeney & Sweeney	William Barsch William Sank	
kunald Tabak London Migal	Mary Beaubien Mary Stauber	
Allen Viehneyer Allen Viehneyer	Ronald Ciminero Ron Cuminer	
Warren Young / 1212 / Confirmed	James Conser	
John D. Van Morman	Gail Hedrick AND LORDER	
BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	Margaret Horvath // Brans He Shire	14/1
0.0	Dorothy Kennedy Martin Ry Kenn	
Ranger Curran GN 10/222	Victor Richley Market	real
Terry Deiderick Yur Dock and	A Manual California Walter Will I wanted	
William Flad	Mary Sebestven Mary Sulfating	
Inez Gross	Hilary Soller Hory Street	
Donald Hovey Markey	)	
Mervin Kohn	ADMINISTRATIVE	•
Donald Mathews Dow Mathews	1004	
William Petrych	Taylor Alderman <u>Alleum</u>	
Raymond Shuster . Justus	William Binning W3	
	David Cliness	
	Lawrence Cummings	
SCHOOL OF EDUCATION	Earl Edgar & Carry	,
Lawrence Haims Jameres James	Charles McBriarty	
Robert Ameduri	William McGraw	
Robert Digiulio Roled Lother	Robert Miller	
Fred Feitler	Arnold Moore (Michalas Paraska)	
Glorianne Leck	Nicholas Paraska	
nald Richards	Leon Rand Fire Edmund Salata をなっ	
lames Stoole	James Scriven	
James Steele	George Sutton	
1.	<del></del>	
•	Bernard Yozwiak ///	

### FORMAL STEPS IN A STUDENT GRIEVANCE

Grievant _	··-	Faculty Member	
<del></del>	1.	Grievable circumstance occurs. (Grievant has 12 school days to file) DATE	
	2.	Grievance is filed with Student Government Secretary of Student Grievances, (appointed by Student Government).  DATE	
	3.	Copies of the grievance are sent to faculty member, department chairperson, dean of the appropriate school, student government secretary of student grievances, chairperson - Student Academic Grievance Committee. (Student Government Secretary of Student Grievance responsibility).	
	4.	From date of filed grievance the student has 6 days to arrange a meeting with the faculty member and/or advocates. If faculty member is not available, time is extended until the faculty member agrees to the appointment.  DATE Appointment Sought	
	5.	If no resolution of the grievance is made at Step 4, the faculty member has 6 days to write a disposition. The disposition is forwarded to the Student Government Secretary of Student Grievances. DATE Received	
	6.	Upon receipt of the faculty member's disposition the grievant has 6 school days to arrange an appointment with the faculty member, his/her department chairperson and/or with advocates. If the chairperson is not available in 6 days, time is extended until the chairperson agrees to the appointment.  DATE Appointment Was Sought	
	7.	If no resolution of the grievance is made at Step 6, the chair-person has 6 days to write a disposition. The disposition is forwarded to the Student Government Secretary of Student Grievances. DATE Received	
	8.	Upon receipt of the chairperson's disposition the grievant has 6 school days to arrange an appointment with the dean of the appropriate school. If the dean is not available in 6 school days, time is extended until the dean agrees to the appointment. DATE	
	9.	If no resolution of the grievance is made at Step 8, the dean has 6 days to submit a disposition. The disposition is forwarded to the Student Government Secretary of Student Grievances.  DATE	
	10.	The Student Government Secretary of Student Grievances forwards copies of all materials received in the grievance to the chair-person of the Student Academic Grievance Committee.  DATE Forwarded	

	11.	time schedules and final written statements from the grievant and faculty member.  DATE
	12.	Upon receipt of the materials requested in Step 11, a hearing is called. (One postponement with cause will be permitted.)  DATE
	13.	The Student Academic Grievance Committee Chairperson calls the hearing. A minimum of 7 committee members will hear and decide the grievance.  DATE
- And the second	14.	The Student Academic Grievance Committee Chairperson sends the decision and recommendation to:  a) The grievant and his/her permanent file b) The faculty member and his/her personnel file c) The faculty member's Department Evaluation Committee d) The Senate Records e) The Library Records f) and a master copy is retained by the Student Academic Grievance Committee Chairperson
		DATE

THIS COMPLETES ALL ACTION REQUIRED BY THE STUDENT ACADEMIC GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE.

## STUDENT ACADEMIC GRIEVANCE DISPOSITION FORM

Name of Grievant		Date Filed
Name of Respondent		
Date of Grievable Circum	nstance	
Statement of the Grievar	nce - (See Attached Grievance Form)	)
Meeting with Faculty Mem grievance was filed).	nber (Must be arranged within six )	(6) *days of the date the
	Meeting Date	
	ne grievance <u>was</u> resolved at this l ne grievance <u>was</u> <u>not</u> resolved at th	
Grievant	Responde	ent
Meeting with Faculty Mer of the meeting with facu	nber and Chairperson (Must be arranged type member).	nged within twelve (12) *days
	Meeting Date	
	ne grievance <u>was</u> resolved at this l ne grievance <u>was</u> <u>not</u> resolved at th	
Grievant	Faculty Member	Faculty Member's Chairperson
Meeting with Faculty Mer meeting with faculty mer	nber and Dean (Must be arranged with nber and chairperson).	thin twelve (12) *days of the
	Meeting Date	
***************************************	ne grievance <u>was</u> resolved at this ne grievance <u>was</u> <u>not</u> resolved at the	level.
Grievant	Faculty Member	Faculty Member's Dean
Chairperson of the Stude a hearing to resolve the OF THE GRIEVANT, IT CAN	t been resolved each party must sulent Academic Grievance Committee. e grievance. IF, AFTER THE HEARING ONLY RECOMMEND THE REQUESTED CHANG days in which classes are in sess	The Chairperson will then call G, THE COMMITTEE RULES IN FAVOR GE AND CANNOT MANDATE ANY CHANGE.
GRIEVANT - IT IS YOUR R THE ACADEMIC	ESPONSIBILITY TO FOLLOW THE GRIEVANGE.	NCE PROCEDURE, AS OUTLINED BY
	SPONSIBLE FOR ATTENDING ONLY THE MING (If necessary).	MEETINGS LISTED ABOVE AND THE

Approval Date: 2/28/79