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OFFICE OF THE PROVCST
r--inc of the provost

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES

March 7, 1984

## CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Larry Esterly called the meeting of the Academic Senate to order after establishing that a quorum was present.

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 1, 1984

The February 1,1984 minutes with addendum were corrected as follows:
Page 4, Paragraph 1: "Social Sciences" should be "Social Work."
Page 1, Paragraph 4: Phyllis Stoll will be the Senator representing the Department of Marketing.

The minutes with addendum were approved as corrected.
REPORT OF CHARTTER AND BY-LAWS COMMITTEE
The report was postponed until the April Senate meeting due to the large amount of business pending for the March Senate meeting.

## REPORT OF SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMTTTEE

Larry Esterly reported. The next Senate meeting will be held on Wednesday, April 4,1984 , at 4 p.m., Arts/Sciences auditorium. Esterly reported that should the proposal of the Academic Standards and Events Committee not be disposed of at the March meeting, this one agenda item would be reserved exclusively for the April 4 meeting. If this takes place, a second meeting would be scheduled for Wednesday, April 8, 1984, in order to clear all other items from the agenda.

Dr. Mary Anne Pitman of the Foundations of Education Department has been appointed by the Senate Executive Committee to serve on the Curriculum Division of the Academic Programs and Curriculum Committee, replacing Dr. Dorothy Stocks who has a schedule conflict.

The Senate Executive Committee is currently arranging the procedure by which faculty members will be solicited as to committee assignment preferences. The material will be mailed through intra-university mail early in Spring Quarter.
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Dr. David Robinson moved that within the debate on the report of the Academic Standards and Events Committee, individual input be limited to five minutes in length.

Motion seconded. MOTION CARRIED.
Dr. George Beelen gave a brief informational report of the January 18 meeting of the Faculty Advisory Committee to Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents. See attached report.

REPORT OF ELECTIONS AND BALIOTING COMMITTEE
No report given.
REPORT OF CURRICULUM DIVISION, ACADEMIC PROGRAMS AND CURRICULUM COMMITTEE
L. Allen Viehmeyer reported. (Agenda Item 834-4) Viehmeyer reminded Senators that March 21, 1984, is the deadline for course proposals to reach the committee in order to be listed in the Senate agenda and in the inventory so that they may be offered for the next academic year. Viehmeyer also made reference to Page 9 of the agenda. The first course listed, 84-120, Speech Communication and Theatre, should be stricken from the agenda, as further questions are being considered.

REPORT OF PROGRAMS DIVISION, ACADEMIC PROGRAMS AND CURRICULUM COMMITTEE
Frank Castronovo reported. (Agenda Item 834-5) Castronovo moved that the Senate accept the recommendation of the Programs Division and approve the new BSN degree program.

Motion seconded, with the following discussion:
Nancy Maggiano, representing the Associate Nursing students, commented that the program is a very sound one, and the nursing students were pleased with it. Maggiano thanked the Senate members for giving the nursing students an opportunity to review the program, supporting the nurses on their behalf.

MOTION CARRIED.
Castronovo withdrew the second motion, 834-6, from the agenda. It is to be considered at a later date.

Fred Feitler reported. (Agenda Item 834-7) The committee had been asked to consider a change in the admission requirements for out-ofstate students (excluding Lawrence and Mercer County students, who are considered to be from Ohio). Feitler revorted that the current requirement of graduating in the upper $2 / 3$ of the high school class creates a problem in the respect that there is a certain difference in the quality of student programs at different schools (i.e., a student graduating in the bottom $1 / 3$ of an exclusive high school would be considered in the upper $2 / 3$ of another high school). The committee's major consideration is whether or not Youngstown State University should be an exclusive university for out-of-state students when it is not an exclusive university for in-state students. The committee is proposing a multiple criteria scheme which would allow a student to be admitted to Y.S.U. if he/she met one of four criteria.

Feitler moved that the motion on out-of-state admissions requirements be adopted.

Motion seconded, with the following discussion:
George Sutton moved to amend and delete Item No. 4 based on the variability of the quality of the schools in which a G.P.A. of 2.3 has considerably less meaning than the other criteria.

Motion to amend was seconded, with no discussion. MOTION TO AMEND CARRIED.
Further discussion on the main motion as amended included the following:
A representative from the Admissions Office recommended that out-of-state students have a minimum G.P.A. of 2.0 and a minimum ACT composite of 15 or a combined SAT score of 700 . The reasoning is to impose a stronger requirement for out-of-state students than the upper $2 / 3$ requirement alone would allow. The Admissions Office feels that the combination of both the high school record (in some manner) and a test score should be the criteria for the admissions process, not relying on one criteria alone.

MOTION AS AMENDED CARRIED.

## REPORT OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH COMMITTEE

A brief information report was given by Joseph Kirschner, Chairman of the committee, regarding the duties of the Academic Research Committee and the University Research Council, whose members are identical.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Peter von Ostwalden reported on the Academic Standards and Events Committee's "Proposal of Minimum General Requirements." (Agenda Item 834-3)

Discussion included the following:
Charles Singler asked the committee to answer the following questions regarding its intent to strengthen the academic program by this proposal:

1. In what way is the program improved by changing from 60 hours to 51-52 hours?
von Ostwalden responded that it would appear the requirement eventually would go up to the 60 hours anyway.
2. Why is there an addition to the proficiency exam beyond English 551 ?

Anthony Stocks responded that the committee felt there needed to be a standardization of ultimate performance in the 550-551 sequence, and that a department-wide exam after 551 could serve as that proficiency exam, thereby establishing a (low) limit to the performance in that sequence.

Phil Munroe commented that the idea of a proficiency exam adds strength to the whole English area.

Dr. Richley asked if the exam would be a separate and distinct exam which must be administered and monitored or if the English Department was responsible for administering it.

Gary Salvner responded by saying he didn't have an answer to that question, referring to the confusing phrasing in the paragraphs describing English requirements.

Munroe commented that this was only one idea of how the exam could be handled, giving the English Department the freedom and judgment to decide for themselves.

Lowell Satre asked if the English Department gave any input to the committee on this proposal, and if so, did the committee carefully examine the input.
von Ostwalden responded that the department was given information last year and did give input that was examined by the committee.
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3. Why is Speech being included to strengthen the program?

William McGraw responded that along with written communication, oral communication is becoming a national concern.
4. Why is there a reduction in the Health and Physical Education requirements?
von Ostwalden replied that the reduction was a compromise of the parties who were for and against the current Health and Physical Education requirements.

Stanley Guzell commented that there are many competing events that are involved with the department's budget, and studies show that other universities have eliminated Health and Physical Education requirements to remain within their budgets.

Barbara Wright questionned those studies by asking how many of those universities were commuter campuses. She also asked if the total number of hours required for graduation regarding Health and Physical Education would be lowered from 186 to 184 or 182.
von Ostwalden responded that the requirements would remain with 186 .
Discussion continued regarding the Humanities requirement, the exclusion of the three Social Science courses, and the addition of a laboratory science.

Hugh Earnhart moved that the current proposal of the Academic Stanards and Events Comrnittee be tabled until we have had an opportunity to see what changes the new high school admission requirements bring to Y.S.U.

Motion seconded.
The chair noted that while a motion to table, technically under Robert's Rules of Order, was not a motion to postpone indefinitely or "to kill" that the effect, nonetheless, was usually "to kill" and that Senators should be aware of this usual effect of the motion to table.

MOTION CARRIED.

## NEW BUSTNESS

None.
MEETING ADJOURNED

MOTR: Any omission of discussion or comments was due to inaudible recording $\therefore$..... bie intent of int zecyevarbec to transoribe as much or the dio-

As usual FAC met for a morning and an afternoon session. The morning session concentrated upon two items: FAC chairman Jacob's meeting with William Swank, Chairman of the Chancellor Selection Committee and STRS, discussion led by Richard zimmerman, Director of STR.

Jacob said Swank was open, frank and quite receptive to FAC members suggestions regarding the qualifications of the next Chancellor.

Zimmerman spent about an hour and a half with us discussing STRS and distributing a raft of relevant material. Much of the time Zimmerman spent with us he focused upon H.B. 4l0, passed last Summer, which provides enabling legislation for early retirement. By the new law universities may buy-out up to five years of a member's retirement. To date four state universities have taken advantage of the early retirement incentive.

Zimmerman pointed out many of the benefits that have been added to STRS since 1970. He also indicated that the System is financially sound, due largely to a wise investment policy. One manifestation of this policy is the percentage of the capital that results from investment: up from $29 \%$ to $46 \%$ of STRS income during the last decade.

During the afternoon session with William Coulter, Acting Chancellor the following items were discussed:
--The status of the search for a new Chancellor. Coulter believes one will be selected and on the job by Summer.
--FAC meeting with Governor Celeste. Coulter believes we are overstepping our authority, thus he is reticent about inviting the Governor.
--Program Excellence progress. At this point the 131 proposals have been reduced to 40 , which will be reviewed by Consultants, who will also visit sites of finalists. The institutions will be notified of their awards on May 14.
--Human Services and Resources survey. Coulter, as a member of the Governor's Cabinet cluster on Human Resource Development distributed relevant material and a questionnaire to ask for our ideas regarding initiatives that the higher education community can take to strengthen the development of human resources in Ohio.

The next meeting of FAC will be on Monday, March 12. I invite your questions and comments. Please call me at Ext. 345l. (Note the attached "official" FAC minutes).

Respectfully submitted,


George D. Beelen

Minutes of the Meeting of February 16, 1984.
Cha facob called the meeting to order with the following members present: J. Armstrong, G. Beelen, R. Boyer, J. Coady, P. Falkenstein, R. Garrett, R. Gilson, S. Givens, J. Jacob, R. Johnson, K. Kalinos, J. Kosan, B. Leidner, G. Makar, L. Moore, H. Munro, R. Rawson, G. Reagan, P. Scott, T. Teyler, T. Valvoda, and R. Weston.

## MORNING SESSION


#### Abstract

Minutes The Minutes of the meeting of January 18, 1984 were approved as circulated with the correction of the spelling of Richard Bickelhauptt's name.

Jhancellor Jelection

Retirement Incentive ?rograms

3TRS Presinta ${ }^{+ \text {ion }}$

Chair Jacob reported on an hour and a half meeting he had with William Swank, Chair of the Chancellor Selection Committee of OBOR. He stated that the meeting went well and that Swank was most receptive to the ideas of FAC as to the qualifications desired in the next Chancellor. He said that the exchange was open, and that he was made aware of the considerable pressure that has developed concerning the candidacy of both Senator Ocasek and President Olsen. He did indicate that Swank seemed to look favorably upon the possibility of an out of state candidate. J. Coady reported on the adoption of a plan by Ohio University and handed out a copy of it. It was reported that OSU had adopted a plan that was for three years and was taken out of their regular early retirement plan. R. Johnson informed FAC that the Miami plan which limits participation in early retirement to either a supplemental teaching or retirement incentive program has 56 eligible faculty, only 20 of whom have opted for the plan.


Richard Zimmerman, Director of STRS, spent an hour and a half with FAC filling it in on a number of matters related to his organization and retirement issues. The main matters covered were:

1) Retirement Incentive Plan. So far Zimmerman indicated four universities (Miami, Ohio State, Ohio University, and Toledo) and 30 school districts have activated a plan. He said some of the details of the plan are not entirely clear, but that STRS will work them out. He added that much of the implementation of a program rests with the local retirement unit. An important element for anyone who might be eligible, he asserted, was for them to seek certification of the retirement credit to which they are entitled. He also indicated that an individual could waive part of a possible buy out if it were advantageous to him. He concluded by pointing out that each school had to look at its own needs, for the plan had varying value depending upon the facul.ty mix.
2) Retirement Benefits. 36 new benerits have been added to the retirement system since 1970, Zimmerman declared, with little additional cost. The most recent was a $5 \%$ increase in payments to all individuals who retired before $1 / 1 / 83$. The bill that gave the increase (Amended HB 232) also increased the formula for PERS to $2.1 \%$ - an amount, Zimmerman averred, that STRS could not cover with the current system of $14 \%$ employer and $8.75 \%$ employee contribution. He indicated that costs had mounted so much that a $\$ 6$ a month charge has been leveled to help cover medical parts of the health care coverage.
3) Investments. Zimmerman asserted that STRS has its own investment department which is assisted by outside consultants. The figures concerning investments for the past ten years show that investments have risen from $24 \%$ of STRS income to $47 \%$ while employer contributions have dropped from $47 \%$ to $32 \%$ and employee contributions from $29 \%$ to $21 \%$. Currently STRS investment capital is growing at the.rate of $\$ 1$ billion a year.
4) SB 53 and HB 293. Zimmerman indicated that STRS was faced with a major dilemma by the two bills. Both would limit investment by STRS in firms that have business with South Africa. He expressed concern about the principle of restricting investments as a means of implementing social policy.
5.) STRS vs. Social Security. The matter of the constitutionality of the federal government interfering with state's rights has stalled a possible takeover for the time being of STRS, Zimmerman feels. He further declared that if it does come ft will largely impact on individuals who enter the job market after the law is enacted, and probably even then be on a shared basis.

The only schools that have yet indicated a salary proposal for 1984-85 are Toledo and Ohio University. The U.T. proposal calls for a $3.5 \%$ across-the-board increase plus $2.8 \%$ for merit and 2 to $3 \%$ for excellence. Ohio University is granting a $1.67 \%$ increase for the rest of $1983-84$ and a proposed $7 \%$ increase for next year.

## AFTERNOON SESSION

ACC supported the general impressions of Chair Jacob concerning the search for a Chancellor, especially the politizing of the process. He declared that he thought a new chancellor would be employed by summer.

The question of the possibie meeting of FAC with Governor Celeste was raised again with ACC. He reiterated his position that such a meeting was going beyond the powers of the committee. Several members of FAC attempted to assure him that the sole purpose of a meeting would be to have an exchange of views between the Governor and the members of FAC as faculty members. ACC agreed that he would, when an appropriate time arose, indicate to the Governor the wish of FAC and indicate that he had no objection if the Governor felt that it might be useful to him.
ACC briefly filled FAC in on the progress of the applications for funding ir the Program for Excellence. 131 applications have been received which will be cut to 40 by a committee composed of individuals with wide backgrounds in two and four year public and private institutions both within and outside of ohio. The commif $:$ will recommend the funding of the programs for both the first and the second years of the current biennium. ( $\$ 1$ million the lst and $\$ 2$ million the 2nd) The topic of excellence led directly into a wide ranging discussion of what is excellence and how is it to be rewarded.

ACC suggested that a compromise would have to emerge between the views of Governor Celeste which tend to narrowly define quality and that of the universities who take a broader view. He suggested the idea of choosing certain departments in a given discipline within the state and giving them special support for 5 years. Other disciplinary departments would be chosen as time passed for the same support. The funding would be in addition to the regular subsidy, and would be built into the permanent base. Reaction varied to the idea, but thore was agreoment that any approach would have to be carefully programed in order to assure fairness, and its purpose would have to be carefully explained. One further reality, ACC added, was that much of the progress hoped for had to be accomplished within restricted fiscal bounds.
ACC is a member of the Cabinet Cluster on Human Resource Development and as such asked FAC for ideas in the form of a questionnaire. The final result of the clusters efforts will be in the form of Volume II of Governor Celeste's Strategic Pla? which will be entitled "Human Resources: Investing in Ohio."
he next meeting of FAC will be on Monday, March 12 with the morning session returning to 10:30 ... and with the afternoon meeting still at $1: 15 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. The April meeting will be on Thursday, pril 26. The meetings will be held in the Conference Roorn of OBOR.

## Programs Selected for Second Reviews

## SCIENCE, ENGINEERING \& TECHNOLOGY

1. Aerospace Engineering - University of Cincinnati
2. Agricultural Engineering - Ohio State University
3. Ceramic Engineering Technology - Hocking Technical College
4. Civil Engineering Technology - Cincinnati Technical

College
5. Electrical Engineering - University of Cincinnati
6. Engineering \& Science Technology - Akron General and Technical College (University of Akron)
7. Mechanical Engineering - Ohio State University

## BUSINESS

1. Accounting - Bowling Green State University
2. Business - Miami University
3. Culinary Arts Technology - Hocking Technical College

## HEALTH

1. Associate Degree in Nursing - Cuyahoga Community College
2. Bachelor of Science in Nursing - Kent State University
3. Bachelor of Science in Nursing - Ohio State University
4. Bachelor of Science in Nursing - University of Cincinnati
5. Medical Laboratory Technology - Columbus Technical

Institute
6. Medical Records Technoloqy - Cincinnati Technical College

## LIBERAL ARTS/GENERAL STUDIES

Chemical \& Biological Sciences

1. Botany - Miami University
2. Chemistry - Bowling Green State University
3. Chemistry - Kent State University
4. Forestry Technology - Hocking Technical College
5. Geology - Kent State University
6. Microbiology - Miami University
7. Recreation and Wildife Technology - Hocking Technical
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LIBERAL ARTS/GENERAL STUDIES
Mathematics/Physics

1. Science \& Mathematics Honors Tutorial College Ohio University

Social Sciences

1. Police Science Technology - Hocking Technical College
2. Psychology - Bowling Green State University
3. Urban Planning - University of Cincinnati

Performing Arts/Art

1. Architecture - Miami University
2. Creative Writing - Bowling Green State University
3. Dance - Ohio University
4. Graphic Design - University of Akron
5. Interior Design - University of Cincinnati
6. Theatre Arts - Wright State University

Communications

1. Interpreting/Transliterating Technology - Columbus

Technical Institute
2. Journalism - Ohio University
3. Speech Pathology \& Audiology - Kent State University
4. Telecommunications - Ohio University
5. Visual Communications - Ohio University

TEACHER EDUCATION

1. Elementary Teacher Education - Bowling Green State University
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SCHOOL OF EDUCATION
Robert DiGiulio
Fred Feitler Joseph Kirschner Robert Nickelsburg Gloria Tribble


At Large:
M. Dean Hoops Edward Tokar


SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
Javad ADam
Duane Rose
T. K. Slawecki
Robert Sorokach
Daniel Suchora
At Large:
Richard Jones
Phil Munro

## ADMINISTRATION

Taylor Alderman William O. Barsch H. Robert Dodge James Douglass David Genaway Bernard T. Gillis Sally M. Hotchkiss Charles McBriarty


David McBride
William R. McGraw
Victor A. Richley
David Ruggles
James A. Striven George E. Sutton Bernard J. Yozwiak


## STUDENT MEMBERS

Walter Avdey
Michele Blum
Sonia Ciccone
James Dunn
Patrick Duricy
Patrick Fire
Elaine Getsey
Beth Hildebrand
Jim L, Hook
Clarence Moore
Kristy Organ
Cathe Pavlov
Tony Rossi
Maris Spagnola


FINE \& PERFORMING ARTS
James LaLumia Edward Largent Jaroslav Ryska

At Large:
Donald Byo
Frank Castronovo Michael Gelfand David Robinson


APPLIED SCIENCE \& TMMNOLOM: Diane Foreman Janice Elias James Kohut Francis Krygowski
Bari Lateef Maureen Vendemia


At Large:
Robert Campbell
Cynthia Peterson
John Russo
John Yemma

## INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO_ Dr. Neil Humphrey, Office of the President
DATE 9 March 1984

FROM_ Larry E. Esterly, Chairman, The Academic Senate Fanny Eatali
SUBJECT: Summary of the meeting of the Academic Senate, 7 March 1984

AGENDA ITEM
(Corresponds to numbering of agenda items on circulated Agenda)

1. Call to order; quorum present.
2. With corrections, approval of Minutes of Academic Senate of 1 February 1984.
3. Charter and ByLaws Committee: No report.
4. Senate Executive Committee: Report by Larry Esterly. Next meeting of the Academic Senate to be on Wednesday, 4 April 1984, 4 p.m., Arts/Sciences Auditorium. If needed, given the press of agenda items, a second meeting of the Senate would be scheduled in April for Wednesday, 18 April. Noted assignment of Dr. Mary Anne Pittman, Educational Foundations Department, to the Curriculum Division of Academic Programs and Curriculum Committee as replacement for Dr. Dorothy Scott. Motion offered by Dr. David Robinson for the SEC to place a "five minute" rule in effect for those who would participate in the debate on the proposal of the Academic Standards and Events Committee (under Unfinished Business). Motion carried. Report by Dr. George Beelan, YSU representative, Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor, Ohio Board of Regents.
5. Elections and Balloting Committee: No report.
6. Curriculum Division, Academic Programs and Curriculum Committee: Report by L. Allen Viehmeyer. (Agenda item, 834-4). Removed from Senate consideration curriculum proposal 84-120 (Agenda, p. 9,) Speech Communication and Theatre 896. Approval of all other listed curriculum proposals. No formal Senate action required.

Programs Division, Academic Programs and Curriculum Committee: Report by Frank Castronovo. (Agenda item, 834-5). Moved Senate approval of the BSN degree program. Motion carried.

Programs Division, Academic Programs and Curriculum Committee: Report by Frank Castronovo. (Agenda item, 834-6). Withdrew from Senate consideration proposed changes in the Child Care Technology program.
Student Academic Affairs Committee: Report by Fred Feitler. (Agenda item, 834-7). Moved Senate approval of change in the admission requirements for out-of-state students. After adoption of an amendment offered by George Sutton, the following motion carried:
6. Out-of-state students (Lawrence and Mercer County students are treated as if from Ohio) with a high school diploma shall be admitted if they meet one (1) of the following three (3) criteria: 1) graduate in the upper $2 / 3^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ of their high school class; 2) have a combined SAT score of 700 ; 3) have a composite ACT score of 15.

Subject to Board of Trustees approval the above would be reflected in a change in the admissions statement which now appears on page 32, second column, of The Youngstown State University 1983-1984 Bulletin, Undergraduate Edition.

Information report by Joseph Kirschner, chairman, Academic Research Committee.
7. Unfinsihed Business: Academic Standards and Events Committee, "Proposal of Minimum General Requirements," report by Peter von Ostwalden, chairman. After lengthy discussion, motion to table offered by Hugh Earnhart. Motion carried by a vote of 51 to 16. (Agenda item, 834-3).
8. New Business. None.
9. Adjournment.
cc: Vor. Bernard Gillis, Provost
Ms. Cynthia Peterson, Secretary, The Academic Senate

