

## **ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES**

**NOVEMBER 7, 1990**

### **CALL TO ORDER**

Dr. M. Beaubien called the meeting to order at 4:04 p.m.

Dr. Beaubien noted that we do not yet have a Chair for the Senate.

### **ELECTION OF CHAIR PRO TEM**

Motion To Elect M. Beaubien Chair pro tem.

L. Satre moved that Mary Beaubien be elected Chair pro tem to serve until a permanent Chair has been elected. Motion seconded by T. Shipka. Motion Carried.

F. Barger--I ask the Charter and Bylaws Committee to make changes in the election process to expedite the choosing of a Chair for the Senate so that this phenomenon does not repeat itself.

### **PARLIAMENTARIAN AND SECRETARY**

The Chair asked for a motion to retain the current Parliamentarian and Secretary until such time as a new Chair is elected.

Motion To Appoint Parliamentarian and Secretary Pro Tem

S. Hotchkiss moved to appoint W. Jenkins Parliamentarian pro tem and Virginia Phillips Secretary pro tem. G. Murphy seconded the motion. Motion Carried.

### **MINUTES OF OCTOBER 3, 1990**

A correction was made to the Minutes of the May 30, 1990, Senate meeting as follows: "On Page 4, under Report from Honors and ICP Committee 'the report is presented for information only' should be changed to 'no report'." The tape for the May 30, 1990, Senate meeting was reviewed and it was determined that the only comments relative to the Honors and ICP Committee report were made by the Chair when she asked if there was any further statement from a committee member. A report from the Honors and ICP Committee was appended to the Agenda for the May 30, 1990, meeting. It was found on Page 12 of the Agenda.

It is customary to have the Senate Minutes reflect that reports are presented for "information only" when they are appended to the Agenda and no action and/or additional comments are made.

Motion To Correct Minutes of October 3, 1990

V. Phillips moved that the Minutes of the October 3, 1990, meeting be corrected by deleting the correction to the Minutes of May 30, 1990. The Minutes of the May 30, 1990, meeting are correct as distributed. G. Mapley seconded the motion.

R. Tabak--Was there a report?

Answer--Yes, it was appended to the Agenda as Page 12.

Motion Carried.

Approval of Minutes of October 3, 1990.

The Chair asked for corrections and/or additions to the Minutes of October 3, 1990. Hearing none, the Chair declared the Minutes approved as circulated.

### ELECTIONS AND BALLOTING COMMITTEE

S. Martin reported.

The Elections and Balloting Committee has conducted three ballots. On the first ballot, there were four candidates; on the second and third ballots, there were three candidates. No majority was received by any candidate on any of the ballots.

There are two alternatives. We can submit a proposed amendment to Bylaw 4c to the Charter and Bylaws Committee. The Charter and Bylaws Committee can act on the proposed amendment and bring it to the Senate at the December meeting. The wording has been drafted. Hopefully, it will be resolved at that time.

Bylaw 4c states that the successful candidate must have a majority of the votes cast. The proposed change would allow for a runoff election between the two individuals with the highest number of votes if there is no candidate with a majority of votes cast.

We have two problems. (1) We will not have a Chair until the January meeting, and (2) Six nominations were received at the October meeting for candidates for the Charter and ByLaws Committee; however, three nominees declined. We should attempt to get some more candidates to place on the ballot for the Charter and Bylaws Committee.

The other option is to continue to conduct elections in the hope that one candidate gets a majority of votes cast. The Committee is reluctant to do this since the second and third ballot were almost identical in vote distribution.

#### Additional Nominations for Charter and Bylaws Committee

Edward Tokar, William Wood, and James Schramer have agreed to let their names be placed on the ballot. Continuing members on the Charter and Bylaws Committee are James Dale, Lowell Satre, and Melissa Smith.

| <u>Additional Nominees</u> | <u>Nominator</u> |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Kathylynn Feld             | E. Sekeres       |
| Mary Beaubien              | W. Jenkins       |
| Bruce Waller               | T. Shipka        |

S. Martin--The Charter and Bylaws states every attempt should be made to obtain six names.

Motion To Close Nominations.

L. Satre moved to close nominations. Motion received a second. Motion Carried.

D. Hovey--Can the Committee tell us what the distribution of the votes was?

S. Martin--Yes. Third ballot W. Jenkins--31; P. Baldino--22; M. Horvath 18.  
Second ballot W. Jenkins--35; P. Baldino--22; M. Horvath 22.

F. Barger--Is there no provision in the Bylaws to break this deadlock?

S. Martin--We could find no provision to break the deadlock. The successful candidate must have the majority of votes cast.

Parliamentarian--Robert's Rules state that if there are no provisions for a runoff election, you must continue with the balloting process.

S. deBlois--The results of the first ballot were W. Jenkins--25; P. Baldino--24; M. Horvath--15; and V. Phillips--14.

Motion To Distribute a Fourth Ballot.

Lowell Satre moved to "Direct the Elections and Balloting Committee to send out a letter to all Senators to inform them what the counts have been to be followed by a fourth ballot." Motion received a second.

If we are fortunate, we will elect a Chair in the process. If not, then we will fall back on a Charter and Bylaw change.

F. Barger--I would agree with what Lowell says; however, we can also ask the Senators to do one other small thing in the balloting procedure. We can ask them to rank the three candidates in the order of preference. If we do this as a procedure, then that eliminates the necessity for a runoff. One ballot will give the information you need. We would know the status of the situation with regard to the general idea of social choice in that there would be one clear choice in a runoff election or a voter's paradox where three different runoff elections would result in three different winners.

Parliamentarian--You could ask for this ranking but it could not determine any outcomes. It will be for information only.

Motion Carried.

**CHARTER AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE REPORT**

No report.

**SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT**

Chair pro tem Beaubien reported.

The Senate Executive Committee has not met. There are some changes in committee assignments. A list of these changes will be appended to the Minutes as Appendix A. J. Bakos will represent Engineering and S. deBlois will represent Education on the Senate Executive Committee.

The next Senate meeting will be held December 5, 1990. Agenda items should be received by M. Beaubien by November 19 because of the Thanksgiving holiday.

**REPORT FROM FACULTY REPRESENTATIVE TO NCAA**

F. Tarantine reported. See Appendix B for the complete text of the report.

**REPORT FROM ACADEMIC PLANNING COMMITTEE**

There is a report attached to the Agenda. It is presented for information only.

**REPORT FROM ACADEMIC PROGRAMS DIVISION**

There is one correction. M. Beaubien is not a committee member. The report is presented for information only.

**REPORT FROM CONTINUING EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE**

L. Hicken reported.

**Motion To Approve the Guidelines for YSU Noncredit Programs**

L. Hicken moved approval of the Guidelines for YSU Noncredit Programs which were appended to the Minutes of the October 3, 1990, meeting as Appendix D, Page 32. M. Horvath seconded the motion.

R. Tabak--What insures quality control when courses are not offered by an academic department? A course was offered last year that dealt with metaphysics topics. Is there any provision to keep this type of course out of the Department of Continuing Education?

Response--Many courses are taught by people outside the University community. The Guidelines found on Page 32 address this issue.

G. Mapley--The purpose of the Guidelines is to keep these courses from occurring again. The Committee's charge is to keep this type of course from being offered.

J. Loch--Part 1, Section H, states that an Academic Department will be consulted.

**Motion Carried.**

## OTHER COMMITTEE REPORTS

### AD HOC QUARTER/SEMESTER STUDY COMMITTEE

R. Crum, Committee Chair, reported. All full service faculty should have received an initial questionnaire. The Committee found that there are so many facets to the problem that we had to ask for input. We have received many returns. We urge others to return the questionnaires. We are especially interested in responses to the second part asking for advantages and disadvantages. We would like to get on with the process.

D. Hovey--What was the impetus for doing this again?

R. Crum--The Senate voted to appoint an Ad Hoc Committee to address this issue. We can not dig up any previous data.

### SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES

M. Beaubien has received minutes from several Senate committees. Some have not reported.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None.

## NEW BUSINESS

### EXPOSURE DRAFT -- STRATEGIC PLAN

R. Crum--I am not sure of the parliamentary procedure, but I would like to offer a resolution. The process appears to be taking place without any dialogue with the faculty. Those of you who were at last Friday's meeting realize that what is being conducted is a one-way process. Some individuals are not reacting because they feel it does not affect their programs; however, this may not be true. We have 800 students in a program that will be eliminated. If those students can not get the program they want here, they will go elsewhere. Our majors take four social science courses, three humanities courses, six math courses, three English courses, two geography courses, two business school courses, one speech course, two engineering courses, one economics course, two chemistry courses, two physics courses, and one geology course.

#### Motion to Adopt Resolution

R. Crum moved adoption of the following Resolution:

"Whereas a University is a collegiate body of persons who have come to work together in applying particular expertise to the total education and enrichment of persons interested in various fields of knowledge, and

Whereas this collegiate body, known as the faculty, is best suited to plan this total educational process consistent with the needs in their individual fields and in society as a whole, and

Whereas the present strategic planning process has not made effective use of the faculty, and

Whereas the YSU Academic Senate is comprised of the duly elected representatives of the Faculty,

Therefore, be it resolved on this seventh day of November, Nineteen hundred ninety that the YSU Academic Senate requests that the appointed extra-Senate Planning Committee not continue deliberations until every faculty member who wishes is given the opportunity to meet with the committee in a two-way discussion designed to inform the committee and the administration of the societal needs in various fields, the nature of the education in programs related to these fields and the consequences of changes in these programs.

Resolution seconded by P. Baldino.

D. Robinson--I would like some clarification. The motion states that before deliberations continue, there would be two-way discussion. The process R. Crum has described is deliberations.

R. Crum--The committee just formed has not begun deliberations. The motion is that they not begin until a two-way dialogue takes place.

A. Betz--The Academic Planning Committee would like to have each faculty member and committee review the draft and prepare written responses to the plan.

R. Crum--That is exactly my point. This continues a one-way dialogue.

P. Baldino--The President three times last Friday characterized questions as rhetorical. No discussion was allowed.

D. Ruggles--As a member of the Planning Committee, what committee is this directed to--The President's Committee or the Academic Planning Committee?

R. Crum--The President's Committee.

F. Barger--The Committee's deadline is Spring, 1991. The Committee has an enormous amount of work to do. It needs to start work while initiating dialogue. I support the idea for two-way dialogue, but if the Committee is going to meet its deadline, they cannot schedule a sequence of events before they begin proceedings. If an acceptable plan is not presented, this body has already said it will vote AYE or NAY.

G. Gillis--I would submit it is difficult to have sincere dialogue if you do not know the origin of statements or have the rationale for the statements, or maybe even don't agree with the statements.

Chair--Would you willing to share with this body what your ideas are?

G. Gillis--I have no ideas to present at this time.

Vote resulted in Call for Division. Hand votes were counted twice. Final vote was 32 for the motion, 29 opposed, and 1 abstention. Motion Carried.

#### ADJOURNMENT

D. Ruggles moved adjournment. Motion seconded. Meeting adjourned at 5:02 p.m.

# ATTENDANCE SHEET

Academic Senate, 1990-1991

DATE: November 7, 1990

## APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

At-Large

Robert Campbell  
Ralph Crum  
Karen Duda  
Margaret Horvath  
Anthony Messuri

*RC*  
*RC*  
*RD*  
*MH*  
*AM*

Departmental

\*Madeleine Haggerty, A. H.  
\*\*Virginia Phillips, B.E.T.  
\*\*Joseph Waldron, Crim. Justice  
\*William Wood, Eng. Technology  
\*Jim Dishaw, Home Economics  
\*\*Sharon Phillips, Nursing

*MH*  
*VP*  
*JW*  
*JD*

## ARTS AND SCIENCES

At-Large

Samuel Floyd Barger  
George Beelen  
Paul Dalbec  
Larry Esterly  
William Jenkins  
Gratia Murphy  
Lowell Satre  
Thomas Shipka  
Ronald Tabak  
John White

*SFB*  
*GB*  
*PD*  
*LE*  
*WJ*  
*GM*  
*LS*  
*TS*  
*RT*  
*JW*

Departmental

\*Anthony Sobota, Biology  
\*\*Friedrich Koknat, Chemistry  
\*Teresa Riley, Economics  
\*\*Sandra Stephan, English  
\*John Sarkissian, Foreign Languages  
\*William Buckler, Geography  
\*\*C. Earl Harris, Geology  
\*John Neville, Health & Physical Educ.  
\*\*George Kulchytsky, History  
\*Richard Goldthwait, Math and Comp. Sci.  
\*\*Linda Tessier, Philosophy & Religion  
\*Edward Mooney, Physics and Astronomy  
\*\*George Haushalter, Political Science  
\*James Morrison, Psychology  
\*\*Guido Dobbert, Sociology, Anthrpolgy

*AS*  
*FR*  
*TR*  
*SS*  
*JS*  
*WB*  
*CEH*  
*JN*  
*GM*  
*RM*  
*ET*  
*EM*  
*JM*  
*GD*

## BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

At-Large

James Daly  
Inez G. Heal  
Donald H. Mathews  
Jane S. Reid  
Dean Roussos  
Eugene A. Sekeres

*JH*  
*IGH*  
*DM*  
*JSR*  
*DR*  
*ES*

Departmental

\*Richard Magner, Accounting  
\*Donald Hovey, Management  
\*\*Donald Mathews, Marketing

*RM*  
*DH*  
*DM*

## EDUCATION

At-Large

Dora Bailey  
Peter Baldino  
Susan deBlots

*DB*  
*PB*  
*SD*

Departmental

\*Phillip Ginnetti, Elementary Education  
\*\*James Pusch, Foundations  
\*\*Lawrence DiRusso, Guidance & Counseling  
\*\*James Douglas, Administration & Sec.  
\*Special Education

*PG*  
*JP*  
*LD*  
*JD*

+ Effective:

September 30, 1990

\* First year of two-year term  
\*\* Second year of two-year term





EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT  
November 7, 1990

1. Executive Committee has not met.

Some announcements -  
Committee list, October 3, agenda

Dr. Mary Loud replaces Dorothy Kennedy on Academic Standards and Events.

Martin (not Martha) Cola is on Library/Media

Executive Committee Members are:

Dr. Jack Bakas - Engineering, reappointed  
Dr. Susan de Blois - new appointment, Education  
Kathlynn Feld - CAST  
Larry Esterly - A&S  
Joe Edwards, FPA  
Dr. Don Mathews, Business  
Dean David Sweetkind, Administration  
Brian Fry, Student Government

---

Darryl Mincey has been appointed to the Academic Research Committee

Len Schaiper is the Senate Representative for the Special Education Department

Dr. Shakir Husain has been elected to serve a one year term as department senator. He replaced Dr. Javed Alam who is on sabbatical leave.

---

Joe Mistovich - Academic Curriculum Division  
Dr. Louis Harris - Academic Programs Division  
Dr. Duane Rost - Continuing Education Committee  
Dr. Jean Aboul-Ela - Academic Standards and Events  
Cheryl Schmidt - Student Academic Affairs  
Alice Betz - Academic Planning  
Scott Martin - Chair, Elections and Balloting

3. Next Senate meeting is December 5, 1990. Items for the agenda should be sent to the Chair by November 19, 1990, because the Thanksgiving holiday removes two working days.

Submitted by,

*Chair*  
*Mary J. Beaubien*

Dr. Mary J. Beaubien

tc

November 7, 1990

Frank J. Tarantine

## Faculty Athletics Representative to the NCAA 1987-1990

Having completed my three (3) year term as the YSU Faculty Athletics Representative to the NCAA, President Humphrey asked that I report to you on matters pertaining to Intercollegiate Athletics.

First, I would like to explain the role of a FAR, and in particular the FAR's duties at YSU. The NCAA's definition of a FAR: "A FAR is a member of an institutions faculty or administrative staff who is designated by the institution's chief executive officer to represent the institution and its faculty in the institution's relationships with the NCAA and its conference, if any." The NCAA also adopted (1/11/89) the following requirement pertaining to a FAR: "A member institution shall designate an individual to serve as FAR. An individual so designated after January 12, 1989, shall be a member of the institution's faculty or an administrator who holds faculty rank and shall not hold an administrative or coaching position in the athletics department. Duties of the FAR shall be determined by the member institution.

The duties of the FAR at YSU consist primarily of serving on the Certification of Eligibility Committee and participating in the process of reviewing the progress of our student athletes and certifying their eligibility. The rules pertaining to eligibility are quite involved and are covered in Article 14 of the NCAA By Laws (pages 101 - 132) of the 89/90 NCAA Manual).

Briefly, a student-athlete must satisfy all of the following requirements to remain eligible:

1. Must be registered for a minimum of 12 quarter hours;
2. Must satisfactorily complete 36 quarter hours per sport year;
3. Must be in "good academic standing";
4. The calculation of 36 hours per year shall be based on accepted degree credit in a specific baccalaureate degree program;
5. Must declare a major by the beginning of the 7th quarter of enrollment.

A form has been developed for assisting the Eligibility Committee with the certification process. The form which previously required the student-athlete to complete (with advisor's approval) each quarter is being modified so that a single form can be used for the entire academic year.

The second item I would like to discuss involves some of the impending changes under consideration by the NCAA. Many of the changes have been and are being promoted by the "haves" of the NCAA and involve the pushing of the "have-nots" out of the Division I category. Much of this has resulted from the vast sums of money being made by the NCAA basketball championship tournament. This category of change comes under the heading of the restructuring and would, in general, require a more stringent criteria for membership in Division I. Included here are specified minimum financial aid requirements along with a minimum sponsorship of seven (7) sports for men and seven (7) for women.

Cost reduction is another major area under consideration. A special Committee on Cost Reduction is proposing reductions in the size of coaching staffs, the permissible number of grants-in-aid, recruiting costs, the maximum number of contests in nearly all sports, and a number of other measures that would reduce the costs of maintaining an athletic program.

The President's Commission of the NCAA is proposing more stringent requirements for entrance and continuing eligibility of student-athletes. Also, a one time \$25,000 grant to all member institutions for academic improvement is under consideration.

My third topic concerns the athletic program here at YSU. Last year at the urging of the Athletic Committee of the Board of Trustees and responding to a request from President Humphrey, our Athletic Director, Mr. Joseph Malmisur, prepared a report dealing with pros and cons of a division change. Considered in the report were the costs comparisons, advantages and disadvantages of three identified options: (I) Change to Division I (I-A Football), (II) Change to Division II; and (III) Remain in present division but adopt the "Tier Concept".

It was determined that options (I) and (II) were not feasible at this time: (I) being more expensive with football scheduling problems; and (II) has possible cost reduction in only football, otherwise little to gain with devastating loss of public image. Option (III) was recommended and ultimately approved by the Board. The tier concept calls for funding Tier I sports (football, men's and women's basketball and volleyball) at 100% of NCAA grant limits; Tier II sports (men's and women's tennis, baseball, softball and golf) at 75%; and Tier III sports (men's and women's cross country and track, and when feasible to re-instate soccer, wrestling, and men's and women's swimming) at 50% of NCAA grant limits.

The Tier concept was adopted in an effort to reinforce our present divisional position and to express specific direction for the non-revenue sports; and, to align the entire program with the intention of the NCAA.

Finally, I would like to introduce my successor, Bob Campbell, Assistant Professor, Business Education and Technology Department.