ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE Minutes - March 29, 1968

Present: Behen, Botty, Chrisp, Dillon, Edgar, Niemi, Reilly, Richley.

- 1. The minutes of last meeting (March 27) were distributed and were approved with the provision that a closer reading could require some change in the minutes.
- 2. Discussion centered on recommendation no. 1; Dr. Dillon pointed out the impropriety of penalizing the student academically in the matter of withdrawal from a class. Most committee members appeared to agree this needed further consideration. Chairman Behen recognized this as a problem, reminded the committee we are under pressure of time, and proposed we consider this in more detail at a later date.
- 3. Recommendation no. 3 was considered: the 8-week and 4-week limits were changed to six weeks and four weeks, respectively. It was then moved by Reilly, seconded by Dillon, that we accept this recommendation. Unanimous vote.
- 4. Botty moved, Reilly seconded that we approve of redommendation no. 4. Unanimous vote.
- 5. Botty moved, Richley seconded that we approve of page 2, paragraph 2. Unanimous vote.
- 6. It was moved by Reilly, seconded by Edgar that we approve of all of recommendation no. 7, but change the last paragraph to read as follows:

"All academic suspensions will be for a minimum of two quarters. A student who has been suspended and who seeks re-instatement at the end of the second quarter or later must receive the permission of the dean of the school from which he has been suspended or, in case he wishes to enter a different school, of the dean of the school in which he desires to enrol. Application for reinstatement must be made, in writing, to the University Admissions Office at least 3 months before the desired date of re-enrolment. The Admissions Office will channel the application to the appropriate dean for action. Students seeking re-admission under these conditions are responsible for discovering and observing appropriate dead-line dates established by the Admissions Office. The University will not accept transfer credits from any other University or College if earned during the two quarters following suspension."

Unanimous vote.

7. The last sentence of recommendation no. 6, paragraph 2 was approved to read as follows:

"Suspensions, especially, seek to capitalize upon the probability that, in many cases, increased maturity with its attendant improvement of judgment and responsibility will improve the student's academic performance."

- 8. Reilly moved, Richley seconded, that we present the amended recommendations to the University Senate for further action; vote unanimous.
- 9. Chairman Behen expressed both the propriety and wisdom of submitting copies of the amended recommendations to Dean Coffield and the sub-committee which prepared the recommendations. The rest of this committee agreed.
- 10. If the amended recommendations are returned to this committee for further consideration, recommendation no. 2 will be discussed again.

Chrisp,

Recorder

TO: Members of the University Senate

FROM: Academic Affairs Committee

DATE: 1 April 1968

SUBJECT: Recommendation for wording of the "contract provision" of the catalog.

To be the first paragraph under the rubric currently on Page 47 of the catalog:

"GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR GRADUATION"

Every student is entitled to one copy of the University catalog at the time of his entrance. This shall be a guide to his graduation requirements with certain exceptions which are to be interpreted by his department chairman and/or the Dean of the school from which he expects to graduate. (See statements later in this section under Major and Minors.)

The statement above was unanimously adopted by the Committee at meeting of 27 March 1968, and will be submitted as a motion to the Senate with recommendation of approval.

> David M. Behen Chairman