

MINUTES
Of The Meeting
Of
THE ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Tuesday, April 8, 1980
3:00-4:30 p.m.
Room 215 Engineering Science

Present: Dr. Baldino Dr. Kougl
 Dr. Hahn Dr. Munro
 Dr. Hill Dr. Richley
 Dr. Khawaja Dr. Scriven

ACTIONS

1. The meeting was called to order. The chairman called for discussion of the minutes. Dr. Hahn referred to page three, paragraph nine, and made a correction in the recorded vote. He said that it was not he that voted in favor of the motion. It was then established that it was Dr. Hovey who had voted in favor of the motion.

Dr. Hahn moved acceptance of the revised minutes. Dr. Kougl seconded the motion. The minutes were approved unanimously.

2. The chairman began discussion of the next item on the agenda, the proposed education courses.

A member recalled that in approving the education proposal, our position was to suggest that somehow the School of Education or Secondary Education ought to consider equity of clinical experience and give consideration of that to other disciplines. He asked if there has been any understanding arrived at as to the process.

Dr. Hill said that it is difficult at this point to make such a determination, because we do not know yet exactly what we are dealing with. He said that Dr. Douglass has built in 65 hours already to account for accepting clinical and field experience which the students may have already taken. He said that beyond that, the suggestion that he made to the Department would be that of variable credit in a course for the purpose of accommodating possible additional hours.

The discussion continued.

A member said that we should get back to the idea of variable credit and the asterisk. The member said that if we could approve the program because it is justified and put in the asterisk, the program could go through for everyone else while they negotiate. She said that we don't want any part of that; let the people that should make that decision do so.

Another member of the committee agreed; he said that he thinks that should be a negotiation between the parties, and we should not get involved with that.

Dr. Munro made a motion that the committee positively recommend the proposal. Dr. Khawaja wished to add to that motion, "...with the provision that Secondary Education take existing clinical/field experiences into consideration as appropriate." Dr. Munro agreed to the addition. Dr. Hahn seconded the motion.

Dr. Hill asked for further discussion on the motion. Dr. Richley wished to abstain from voting because he was not present for the last meeting at which time the proposal was discussed thoroughly.

Dr. Munro said that there does not seem to be anything substantial against it, and also it seems to be a matter of whether we trust Secondary Education in what they are proposing. He said that the fact that only one objection remains seems to indicate that this is a sound proposal.

The chairman took the vote. All were in favor, with one abstention by Dr. Richley.

A member asked if this then goes to the Curriculum Committee. Dr. Hill said it should probably go to the Senate, but he will inform the Curriculum Committee.

3. At this time, the chairman brought attention to the fourth item on the agenda, the request by Dr. Rand for a memo concerning action taken by the committee on the Honors Program. He asked what the pleasure is in responding to Dean Rand.

Dr. Hill asked if it would be the pleasure of the committee that he contact Mr. Baer and/or Dr. Altinger to reinforce the committee's position and to communicate that to Dr. Rand. It was consensus this be done.

4. The meeting turned to business concerning selection of honors students.

In reviewing the rules concerning honors students, two members expressed concern about number two. They felt that it should be changed, because it does not seem fair to eliminate a student just because he/she did not happen to be in attendance Winter Quarter.

The chairman suggested going down the list of rules one by one and discussing each. He asked if there is any objection to number one. There was no objection.

In discussing number two, Dr. Scriven said that if the committee would like to change that statement, he would like to have a week to consider implications.

A member suggested that the committee give Dr. Scriven the opportunity to look at this, (a week or two) because it may be a substantial factor.

The chairman said that it seems to him that this needs to be explored. He asked the committee members if it would be acceptable for Dr. Scriven to take a week to see whether students who attended quarters during the year other than Winter Quarter could be reasonably included in the honors program, using the criteria which we have. The committee agreed.

5. Dr. Hill advised the committee that next week they will be discussing a proposal from the School of Business Administration.
6. The motion for adjournment came from Dr. Scriven and was seconded by Dr. Kougl. The motion was carried unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned.

- * (Concerning item three above, the Academic Affairs Committee suggested that the Honors Committee revise their proposal and resubmit it to the Academic Affairs Committee. Mr. Baer and Dr. Altinger agreed to do this.)