

MINUTES

Of The Meeting

Of

THE ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Thursday, February 21, 1980
3:30-5:00 p.m.
Room 215 Engineering Science

Present: Dr. Baldino Dr. Kougl
 Dr. Hahn Dr. Munro
 Dr. Hill Dr. Richley
 Dr. Hovey Dr. Scriven
 Dr. Khawaja

ACTIONS

1. The chairman called the meeting to order. He asked for a motion on the minutes. Dr. Scriven referred to the minutes of February 7, page 1, second paragraph of number 2, saying that the statement should read, "prior to the adoption of the PR," rather than the I.

Dr. Munro moved approval, and Dr. Khawaja seconded. The minutes were approved unanimously.

2. The meeting turned to the Continuing Education Unit proposal. Dr. Hill went through the revised proposal with the committee members and pointed out the changes, making comments about it. Committee members also made comments about the proposal.

One member questioned as to what had happened to number 7 of the specific objectives. Dr. Hill said that apparently they decided to delete it along with number 6.

A member raised the question about the appropriateness of approving for Vice President of Academic Affairs authority which he does not have in curriculum matters now.

A member said that he had been one who wanted the Academic Vice President involved in that procedure merely as another check point or control point; he can see a problem if someone does not look after it.

A member brought up the suggestion that there could be a system set up whereby the Academic Vice President, if he saw some reason why he felt that it was not desirable to accept it, could consult with academic deans to resolve it rather than leave it completely up to the Academic Vice President.

Another member suggested having those rare cases sent to the Senate or Academic Affairs Committee rather than consulting an academic dean.

A member commented that he is comfortable with the wording and feels that it is logical and reasonable. He said he sees it as a separate aspect than that which was suggested by the committee member earlier.

A member suggested that the Academic Vice President be the one to determine a resolution over conflicts about the appropriateness of awarding the CEU.

Dr. Hahn made a motion to change the sentence about the Academic Vice President to: "The Academic Vice President will be an agent for resolving disagreements between schools and/or departments concerning the appropriateness of awarding the CEU." A second was not made, however.

One member commented that he thinks that aspect is already built into the statement.

Dr. Hill reminded the committee that they made a motion on the 30th of October approving this proposal with revisions. He said that perhaps technically this is not exactly the same policy, so a motion may be in order.

It was proposed that the Continuing Education Committee do the resolving.

One member said that this work is indeed the work of a Continuing Education Committee; obviously they are happy with it and think it is workable; and if it becomes unworkable in the future, they can resurrect the policy and revise it.

Dr. Hill summarized the progress made by the committee thus far in the meeting in considering the Continuing Education proposal. He said all seem to be satisfied with everything up to point C on page six.

Dr. Hill asked how many members were satisfied with the hearing participants composition of the proposal. All agreed except one.

Dr. Hovey objected to the procedure being followed.

Dr. Hovey made a motion to strike all of paragraph C and to replace it with the following: "If an objection is received in either of the above cases, the course proposal will be referred to the Senate Committee on Continuing Education, which will conduct appropriate hearings and make a recommendation to the University Senate."

The motion was seconded, but Dr. Richley objected to the motion and the second.

There was further discussion.

Dr. Hovey's motion was read aloud to the committee. The vote was taken; all were in favor, with one abstention.

A question was asked about the record-keeping. Dr. Hill said that the last time he spoke to Dr. Loch, Dr. Loch indicated that it is not yet certain how it will be done.

Dr. Scriven then said that there is a difference of opinion as to

where the official records should be housed. He said that if this proposal ever gets approved, he is going to propose that the official records be a part of the national registry.

Another member said that he thinks it is more important to spell out what records are going to be kept rather than where they are going to be kept.

Dr. Hill suggested another formal motion be made. Dr. Richley moved approval of the CEU as amended in article C. It was seconded by Dr. Munro.

The vote was taken; all were in favor, with Dr. Baldino abstaining.

A member asked if the committee will receive this proposal for a third time. He wished that if the corrections are nothing beyond what has already been done by the committee that it could be disposed of without bringing it back to the committee. The chairman would deal with it, instead.

This was made a motion by Dr. Khawaja and seconded by Dr. Richley. A vote was taken; all were in favor, with an abstention by Dr. Baldino.

A member asked if this should be brought to the Charter and Bylaws Committee.

Dr. Hill asked if there is a motion or if the committee generally feels that the Charter and Bylaws should be contacted.

One member suggested letting the Continuing Education Committee deal with that.

It was decided that the Charter and Bylaws would not be contacted.

3. Dr. Hill asked if the committee prefers to review the materials concerning the Honors students, which were distributed just prior to the meeting, and postpone the item until the next meeting. It was agreed.
4. Dr. Hill then turned briefly to the McCracken proposal. He wished to be made clear on the fact that the committee did indeed decide to give no further consideration to the proposal until it has been funded.

Dr. Hill needed to know whether the committee is ready to approve it or if further discussion is warranted, in order to determine if the committee should meet again the following week.

Dr. Richley said he feels there is some work to be done on it; he moved to meet next week, and Dr. Kougl seconded.

5. Dr. Khawaja moved adjournment, and Dr. Baldino seconded. The meeting was adjourned.