

MINUTES
Of The Meeting
OF
THE ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Thursday, March 6, 1980
3:30-5:00 p.m.
Room 215 Engineering Science

Present: Dr. Baldino Dr. Kougl
 Dr. Hahn Dr. Munro
 Dr. Hill Dr. Rand
 Dr. Hovey Dr. Scriven
 Dr. Khawaja

ACTIONS

1. Dr. Hill called the meeting to order. He called for consideration of the minutes. A member referred to page two and called attention to a typographical error in paragraph three; the correct spelling he said is immaterial. He also referred to paragraph four and suggested the last three words of that sentence be omitted.

Dr. Khawaja moved approval of the minutes, and Dr. Kougl seconded. The minutes were approved unanimously.

2. Discussion of the proposed education courses was resumed at this time. A member asked if the objections are coming from Music. The answer was yes. Another member asked if Business Education and Techonology is still objecting. Dr. Hill said, no, that there was some confusion about it, and that when Bill Jenkins wrote the memo he was not clear on it.

Debating about whether this committee should deal with the matter continued again as at last week's meeting. A member then spoke up and expressed his feeling that the committee should get on with discussing it since the committee has been asked to do so by two different groups of people.

Dr. Hill presented an overview at this time by using a chart which clearly showed the three categories involved. He said that we are not talking about majors or minors but certification. He pointed out which classes have been added and which will be restructured. He said that there is one factor which they have not had a chance to sort out. In some of the subject fields there is some clinical experience that already exists in the program. He said that his department certifies some forty different categories, and in some of them there is no clinical experience. They have set the program up on the basis of those students that have no clinical experience and are now going to try to modify programs for students when some clinical and field experiences currently exist.

A member suggested that it be brought out that complications will be considered. He said that, for instance, home economics students do not have to take media.

A member wished to make it clear that this is only ten hours; it is not outrageously inflationary, as Mr. Byo indicates.

A member agreed that (it) should be in writing, such as putting a footnote in the catalog or something, that by department this is subject to negotiation or to see their academic advisor. This might make people happier, she said. (The reference was to a reduction in field and clinical experience in the proposed courses, for students already experiencing such activities.)
Dr. Hill said that it would have to be put in a supplement since the catalog date has already been missed.

A member said that this will require cooperation by the departments, but that in the end the ultimate responsibility will have to be in the School of Education.

A member asked if it is certain that failure to meet ~~this~~ ^{redesign} requirements will result in loss of certification. Dr. Hill said, yes.

A member asked what the time frame is on it since the Senate meeting that needed to be met is passed.

Dr. Hill said that he would think that the next critical period would be to try to get the courses in place before students register for fall.

Members discussed whether to have Mr. Byo come to the meeting to discuss the objection.

Dr. Munro made a motion that the committee approve it for recommendation to the Senate, and Dr. Scriven seconded.

A member said that it may not even have to go to the Senate if Byo can be convinced that it is worthwhile; perhaps he does not understand that the clinical experience can be worked out with his own shop.

It was decided to have Mr. Byo in before going through with the motion; Dr. Munro made a motion to table the motion, and Dr. Kougl seconded. A vote was taken. All were in favor, with one nay and no abstentions.

3. The committee moved to the next agenda item, the McCracken proposal.

A member asked who will run the program in terms of allocating who will teach, when it will be taught, and so forth.

One member said that what he would be comfortable with is approving it as is. Then, to send to whomever is director of this program, in addition to the Dean of Arts and Sciences, a statement saying that we feel that such and such should be part of the evaluation if this program were to be continued.

Dr. Hill asked if it is the committee's pleasure that Dean Yozwiak come in since whatever would be done would probably be done under his administration. There was general approval.

A member suggested sending a copy of the recommendations to Dean Yozwiak so that he will be prepared for the discussion.

4. Dr. Hill asked members what their pleasure would be about the agenda for the next two weeks.

He asked if they would be willing to meet during exam week. It was decided to meet.

5. Dr. Baldino moved and Dr. Scriven seconded a motion for adjournment. The meeting was adjourned.