Jate March	5, 1982 Report Number (For Senate Use Only)
Name of Comm	ittee Submitting Report Academic Standards and Events
Committee St	atus: (elected chartered, appointed chartered, ad hoc, etc.)
Names of Com	mittee members: Joan DiGiulio(chairperson), Margaret Braden, Kathy Kog
Raymond Kram	er, Pat Sorenson, Magdalen Stallord, Peter von Ostwalden,
Bernice Brow	nlee, Dennis Henneman, <sub>Dean</sub> McGraw, Theresa <sub>Masku</sub> lka, , Anthony Stocks.
George Sipka	, Antinony because
	a brief summary of the report which the Committee is submitting to
the Senate:_	(attach complete report)
Further disc	cussion took place regarding Ad Hoc Committee on General Requirements.
Penald Could	d, chairperson of that committee was present to answer questions.
Konaid Godic	
	######################################
	•
D= ==4:	
Do you antid	cipate making a forms' motion relative to the report? No
•	the motion:
•	
•	
•	
•	
If so, state	
If so, state	e the motion:
If so, state	e the motion:  e substantive changes that the floor in your committee recommend committee prefer that the matter be sent back to committee for further
If so, state	e the motion:  e substantive changes thee from the floor in your committee recommend
If so, state	e the motion:  e substantive changes that the floor in your committee recommend committee prefer that the matter be sent back to committee for further
If so, state  If there are would the co	e the motion:  e substantive changes from the floor in your committee recommend ommittee prefer that the matter be sent back to committee for further on?
If so, state  If there are would the co	e the motion:  e substantive changes that the floor in your committee recommend committee prefer that the matter be sent back to committee for further
If so, state  If there are would the co consideration	e the motion:  e substantive changes from the floor in your committee recommend ommittee prefer that the matter be sent back to committee for further on?
If so, state  If there are would the co consideration	e substantive changes have from the floor in your committee recommend ommittee prefer that the matter be sent back to committee for further on?
If so, state  If there are would the co consideration	e substantive changes have from the floor in your committee recommend ommittee prefer that the matter be sent back to committee for further on?
If there are would the consideration	e substantive changes have from the floor in your committee recommend ommittee prefer that the matter be sent back to committee for further on?

Chairman (please initial)

Present: Joan DiGiulio, Chairperson

Margaret Braden
Kathy Kogul
Raymond Kramer
Pat Sorenson
Magdalen Stafford
Peter Von Ostwalden

Absent: Bernice Brownlee

Dennis Henneman Dean McGraw Theresa Maskulka George Sipka Anthony Stocks

Guest: Ronald Gould

Meeting was called to order at 4 p.m.

## Old Business

1. University General Requirements — The purpose of today's meeting was to clarify concerns and receive answers on matters pertaining to the University General Requirements. Ronald Gould, chairman of the Ad Hoc Committee on General Requirements, was present in order to discuss the committee's recommendations. He related that the committee formulated policy for requirements but did not address any of the technical issues or matters related to implementation. The general goal of the committee was to mandate courses to insure that the graduating senior would be able to communicate, speak correctly, and do basic computations. He further stated that opposition from various departments is expected as they might have current course requirements deleted or as they are expected to screen—out for competency levels. (Ex. providing basic English and Math competency tests).

Since the Ad Hoc Committee's recommendations, admission requirements have changed at several state universities in response to new state policies on secondary school requirements. It was suggested that any changes in Y.S.U. admission policy be explored prior to acting on the Ad Hoc Committee's suggestions. It was also pointed out that a conflict between majors and minors requirements proposal and university general requirements proposal existed. Majors and minors is trying to lessen hours and university requirements is increasing hours, resulting in problems for several professional fields who must also meet their specific accreditation requirements.

It was suggested that V. P. Gillis be a guest at the next meeting to clarify above concerns. Also the committee requested obtaining copies of the new admission policies from Ohio State, Kent State, and Akron State.

Meeting was adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

you Di Gulio

Joan DiGiulio .